

Delft University of Technology

Manufacture of highly loaded silica-supported cobalt Fischer-Tropsch catalysts from a metal organic framework

Sun, Xiaohui; Olivos Suarez, A.I.; Meijerink, Mark; Van Deelen, Tom; Ould-Chikh, Samy; Zečević, Jovana; De Jong, Krijn P.; Kapteijn, Freek; Gascon, Jorge

DOI 10.1038/s41467-017-01910-9

Publication date 2017 Document Version Final published version Published in Nature Communications

Citation (APA)

Sun, X., Olivos Suarez, A. I., Meijerink, M., Van Deelen, T., Ould-Chikh, S., Zečević, J., De Jong, K. P., Kapteijn, F., & Gascon, J. (2017). Manufacture of highly loaded silica-supported cobalt Fischer-Tropsch catalysts from a metal organic framework. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), Article 1680. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01910-9

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01910-9

OPEN

Manufacture of highly loaded silica-supported cobalt Fischer-Tropsch catalysts from a metal organic framework

Xiaohui Sun¹, Alma I. Olivos Suarez¹, Mark Meijerink², Tom van Deelen², Samy Ould-Chikh ³, Jovana Zečević², Krijn P. de Jong², Freek Kapteijn¹ & Jorge Gascon ^{1,3}

The development of synthetic protocols for the preparation of highly loaded metal nanoparticle-supported catalysts has received a great deal of attention over the last few decades. Independently controlling metal loading, nanoparticle size, distribution, and accessibility has proven challenging because of the clear interdependence between these crucial performance parameters. Here we present a stepwise methodology that, making use of a cobalt-containing metal organic framework as hard template (ZIF-67), allows addressing this long-standing challenge. Condensation of silica in the Co-metal organic framework pore space followed by pyrolysis and subsequent calcination of these composites renders highly loaded cobalt nanocomposites (~ 50 wt.% Co), with cobalt oxide reducibility in the order of 80% and a good particle dispersion, that exhibit high activity, C5 + selectivity and stability in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis.

¹ Catalysis Engineering, Chemical Engineering Department, Delft University of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZDelft, The Netherlands. ² Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, Universiteitsweg 99, 3584 CGUtrecht, The Netherlands. ³ King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST Catalysis Center, Advanced Catalytic Materials, Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.G. (email: jorge.gascon@kaust.edu.sa)

etal (oxide) nanoparticles are instrumental in the development of new applications: from the production of fuels and chemicals through catalytic processes¹ to nanoelectronics² and energy conversion and storage³. Because most chemical and electronic phenomena occur at the surface, the intrinsic properties of nanoparticles depend strongly on their size, spatial distribution and even on their shape^{4, 5}. In general, small nanoparticles show high surface energies and are thermally unstable and prone to aggregate into larger clusters⁶. To tackle this issue, a general strategy consists of the use of supports with high surface area and well-developed porosity (e.g., SiO₂ and Al₂O₃) that stabilize and prevent nanoparticle aggregation^{5, 7, 8}.

Ion-adsorption^{9, 10}, impregnation and subsequent drying⁷, or deposition–precipitation^{11, 12} are among the most commonly used methods for the preparation of supported nanoparticles. Metal loading, nanoparticle size, and distribution are the three most important parameters that define performance of supported nanoparticles. Although it would be ideal to control independently each one of these parameters, in reality a strong interdependence exists. For example, for the methods described above, metal loading and particle size usually go hand in hand as a result of the fact that bigger nanoparticles and/or clusters are formed when high metal loadings are used. This interdependence is a clear drawback for the development of more efficient nanoparticle based composites for application in, i.e., heterogeneous catalysis. Structure sensitive reactions such as Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) are an outstanding example. For this specific process, when Co is used as the active metal, catalytic activity and selectivity to long-chain hydrocarbons are maximized when nanoparticles in the order of 8-30 nm are used¹³⁻¹⁵. Because of this reason, impregnation is the most widely used method for the preparation of industrial FTS catalysts^{16, 17}. However, using this method the maximum metal loading usually achieved is not higher than a 20 wt.%^{7, 18, 19}. As an alternative, deposition-precipitation methods have been developed to achieve higher metal loadings^{20, 21}. Yet, a

large fraction of irreducible species (i.e., metal silicates and/or aluminates) is formed, resulting in non-optimal utilization of the active phase (that requires to be in the metallic form under reaction conditions)^{21, 22}. In this respect, it is not surprising that the development of alternative methods for the preparation of these composites is gaining a tremendous attention in both the open and patent literature.

Among the different strategies suggested in literature, the use of metal organic-frameworks (MOFs) as precursors for the synthesis of nanomaterials such as metal (oxide) nanoparticles^{23–26}, porous silica^{27, 28}, or nanoporous carbons²⁹ offers unrivaled design possibilities, as we also demonstrate in this work. Herein we report a multi-step approach for the preparation of highly loaded Co on silica FTS catalysts that circumvents the inter-dependence between metal loading, active site dispersion, and accessibility. By using this approach, highly loaded cobalt nanocomposites (~ 50 wt.% Co) with cobalt oxide reducibility in the order of 80% and good particle dispersion were synthesized and tested in FTS. These catalysts exhibit high activity, C5 + selectivity, and excellent stability.

Results

Catalyst synthesis and characterization. Figure 1 illustrates the followed synthetic procedure. We used the zeolitic imidazolate-framework ZIF-67, containing a 30 wt.% Co (Co(MeIm)₂, MeIm = 2-methylimidazolate) and tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS) as starting materials for the synthesis of cobalt catalysts. In this approach, a TMOS impregnated ZIF-67 was first subjected to a wet N₂ flow under ambient conditions to facilitate TMOS hydrolysis inside the pores of the MOF. The obtained ZIF-67@SiO₂ sample was then pyrolyzed at different temperatures in the range of 773–973 K under N₂ for 4 h, followed by calcination in air at 673 K for 2 h. The catalysts after pyrolysis and calcination are denoted as Co@C-SiO₂-T and Co@SiO₂-T, respectively, with



Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the synthesis of the Co@SiO₂ catalysts. (1) Impregnation and hydrolysis of TMOS molecules in the porosity of ZIF-67. (2) Pyrolysis of the mixture of ZIF-67@SiO₂ in N₂ to decompose ZIF-67 and form Co@C-SiO₂. (3) Calcination of the Co@C-SiO₂ in air leads to carbon removal and oxidation of Co. (4) Reduction of the Co@SiO₂ in H₂ leads to the formation of metallic Co for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. The resulting composite is an excellent catalyst for the low temperature Fischer-Tropsch synthesis



Fig. 2 Electron microscopy images and corresponding nanoparticle size distributions of cobalt based samples. **a** High-angle annular dark-field scanning electron (HAADF-STEM) micrograph of ZIF-67@SiO₂ (scale bar 200 nm). Elemental mapping of **b** Si, **c** Co, and **d** C in ZIF-67@SiO₂ sample (scale bars 200 nm). TEM micrograph of **e** Co@SiO₂-*cal*, **f** Co@SiO₂-773 with an inset of the observable needle-like structure, **g** Co@SiO₂-873 and **h** Co@SiO₂-973 (scale bars from (e) - (h) 50 nm). Particle size histograms obtained from TEM analysis for **i** Co@SiO₂-*cal*, **j** Co@SiO₂-873, and **l** Co@SiO₂-873, and **l** Co@SiO₂-873, and **l** Co@SiO₂-873. Electron tomography results for **m**, **n**, **o** Co@SiO₂-*cal* (scale bar 50, 50, and 100 nm, respectively), and **p**, **q**, **r** Co@SiO₂-873 (scale bar 50, 50, and 100 nm, respectively)



Fig. 3 TPR(H₂) profiles of Co@SiO₂ catalysts. **a** Co@SiO₂-773, **b** Co@SiO₂-873, **c** Co@SiO₂-973, and **d** Co@SiO2-*cal*. The TPR(H₂) experiments were performed from 303 to 1223 K at a ramp of 5 K min⁻¹ in 10 vol.% H₂/Ar

T representing the pyrolysis temperature. For comparison, a Co@SiO2-*cal.* sample was also prepared by direct calcination (skipping the intermediate pyrolysis step) of ZIF-67@SiO₂ in air (details of the preparation process for all materials are shown in the Methods section). The Co loadings of the Co@SiO₂ catalysts are ~50 wt.% (Supplementary Table 1).

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the original ZIF-67 (Supplementary Fig. 1), confirms the structure of the MOF precursor³⁰. Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis in N₂ atmosphere of the hydrolyzed ZIF-67@SiO₂ indicates that the complete pyrolytic decomposition of the crystalline ZIF-67 occurs in the range of 800–850 K (Supplementary Fig. 2), further confirmed by XRD (Supplementary Fig. 3a). After the pyrolysis step, graphite $(2\Phi = 30.6^{\circ})$ and metallic cobalt $(2\Phi = 51.8^{\circ}, 60.6^{\circ})$ phases are formed. Notably, when a higher pyrolysis temperature is used, these peaks become much narrower and sharper, indicating a higher graphitization degree and a larger crystallite size of cobalt nanoparticles³¹. After the additional calcination step, the characteristic peaks corresponding to ZIF-67, graphite, and metallic cobalt phases have disappeared and only the Co₃O₄ phase is observed (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

Both ZIF-67 and ZIF-67@SiO₂ display type-I N₂ sorption isotherm (Supplementary Fig. 4a) typically associated with microporosity³². The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller area (S_{BET}) and pore volume (V_p) decreases from 1930 m² g⁻¹ and 0.71 cm³ g⁻¹ to 1430 m² g⁻¹ and 0.56 cm³ g⁻¹ after incorporation of SiO₂ (Supplementary Table 1)³³. In contrast with the original ZIF-67@SiO₂, the S_{BET} and V_p of all Co@SiO₂ catalysts decreases drastically and exhibit type IV isotherms with type H₃ hysteresis that closes at $P/P_0 \approx 0.4$, suggesting the presence of a predominantly mesoporous structure which is the result of the agglomeration of small SiO₂ particles (Supplementary Fig. 4b).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) analysis in combination with elemental mapping (STEM/EDX (elemental energy dispersive X-ray)) give further information on the textural properties of the composites at different synthesis stages. Highangle annular dark-field scanning electron (HAADF-STEM) (Fig. 2a) analysis shows a well-defined rhombic dodecahedral morphology (~ 250 nm) of the ZIF-67@SiO₂ catalysts similar to that of the original ZIF-67³⁰, whereas elemental mapping demonstrates an homogeneous dispersion of Si, Co and C

Table 1 Average cobalt particle size and DOR of $\mbox{Co}@\mbox{SiO}_2$ catalysts

Samples	d _{co} a	d _{co} b	DOR (%)
Co@SiO ₂ -773	8.6	7.6	66
Co@SiO ₂ -873	12.3	11.8	78
Co@SiO ₂ -973	14.3	13.5	79
Co/SiO ₂ -cal.	10.7	9.5	52

^aCobalt particle size is obtained from TEM analysis using at least 200 Co₃O₄ nanoparticles and calculated from Co₃O₄ particle size using Co and Co₃O₄ densities ^b Cobalt particle size is calculated from H₂-chemisorption assuming the surface stoichiometry H/ Co = 1 and an atomic cross-sectional area of 0.0662 nm². Cobalt oxide degree of reduction (DOR)

(Fig. 2b–d). After pyrolysis under N₂ atmosphere, well dispersed cobalt nanoparticles in the carbon matrix can be observed in $Co@C-SiO_2-T$ samples (Supplementary Fig. 5a–c), with average particle size increasing from 5.4 nm in Co@C-SiO₂-773 to 11.0 nm in Co@C-SiO₂-873, and 13.3 nm in Co@C-SiO₂-973 (Supplementary Fig. 5d-f). According to HR-TEM, during pyrolysis, cobalt nanoparticles are encapsulated by multilayers of graphitic-carbon shells (Supplementary Fig. 5g-i) that render them, most likely, inaccessible. XRD analysis further confirm this observation, since reoxidation of most Co does not occur upon exposure to atmospheric conditions (vide supra). In addition, leaching experiment using HCl demonstrates that only a 30% of cobalt can be leached (Supplementary Table 2). The subsequent calcination removes the graphite shells and oxidizes metallic cobalt to Co₃O₄ (Fig. 3f-h, and Supplementary Fig. 6b-d), but hardly affects Co-particle size (Fig. 3j-l, and Table 1). No large cobalt clusters can be found in the Co@SiO2-873 sample even after reduction in H₂ at 673 K for 10 h (Supplementary Fig. 7a-d). Interestingly, Co@SiO2-cal. (Fig. 2e, m and Supplementary Fig. 8a) and Co@SiO₂-773 (the inset of Fig. 2f) show the presence of needle-like structures absent in samples pyrolyzed at higher temperatures. Additional analysis by combining TEM and EDX (Supplementary Fig. 8b, c) reveals the presence of both Si and Co in needle- rich areas and made us tentatively attribute this morphology to the formation of cobalt phyllosilicates³⁴.

The reducibility of the metallic species in all calcined samples was studied by temperature-programmed reduction in H₂ (TPR (H₂)). All of the Co@SiO₂ samples exhibit two overlapping reduction peaks centered at ~ 570 K and 700 K, and a broad reduction band between 850 and 1150 K, as shown in Fig. 3. The first two peaks are ascribed to the two-step reduction of Co₃O₄ via CoO to metallic Co³⁵, along with gasification of the residual carbon in the samples (Supplementary Fig. 9), whereas the broad feature illustrates the reduction of highly dispersed cobalt species in strong interaction with the SiO₂ support (e.g., cobalt phyllosilicate)³⁶. In the case of Co@SiO₂-cal., the second reduction occurs at a slightly higher temperature, indicative of a stronger interaction between cobalt nanoparticles and support, most likely due to the presence of very small cobalt particles, as proven from the electron tomography results in Fig. 2m. Moreover, the broad high-temperature band in Co@SiO2-cal. and Co@SiO2-773 implies the presence of a large fraction of irreducible cobalt silicates, in agreement with the TEM analysis above. This is further confirmed by the lower degree of reduction (DOR) of cobalt oxide in Co@SiO2-cal. (52%) and Co@SiO2-773 (66%) than in Co@SiO₂-873 (78%) and Co@SiO₂-973 (79%), see Table 1. These results highlight the importance of the intermediate pyrolysis step at a sufficiently high temperature as to achieve full destruction of the ZIF-67 sample to prevent the formation of irreducible cobalt silicate and therefore ensure an almost full utilization of the catalyst's cobalt loading.



Fig. 4 Catalytic performance. **a** Time-on-stream evolution of CO conversion for the Co@SiO₂ catalysts. **b** Molar fraction distribution of FTS products from Co@SiO₂-873 after 201 h on stream. Chain growth probability ($\alpha = 0.94$) obtained from the ASF plot in the C15-C100 hydrocarbon range. Reaction conditions: 483 K, 20 bar, and H₂/CO = 1, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹

Table 2 Catalytic performance of Co@SiO ₂ catalysts after 102 h TOS									
Sample	Sample weight (mg)	Cobalt loading (wt.	X _{co} (%)	CTY ($10^{-5} \text{ mol}_{cog}^{-1}_{co} \text{s}^{-1}$)	TOF (10⁻² s	S (%)			
		%)			•)	C1	C2-C4	C5+	
Co@SiO ₂ -773	100	49	13.7	4.0	1.9	6.5	6.3	87.2	
Co@SiO ₂ -873	100	51	15.8	4.4	3.1	5.3	4.2	90.5	
Co@SiO ₂ -973	100	50	10.9	3.3	2.8	5.8	4.7	89.5	
Co/SiO ₂ -cal.	100	46	10.6	3.3	1.9	7.5	6.8	85.7	
Carbon conversion (X, %), activity per gram of Co (CTY), apparent turnover frequency (TOF, mol CO converted per mol Co surface atoms per second), hydrocarbon selectivity (S, %). FTS experiments									

were carried out at 483 K, 20 bar, and $H_2/CO = 1$, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹

Catalytic results. The Co@SiO2 catalysts were tested in the FTS at 483 K, 20 bar, $H_2/CO = 1$, and a space velocity of 0.5 mol_{CO} $g^{-1}_{cat.}$ h^{-1} . Figure 4a shows time-on-stream (TOS) evolution of CO conversion. All catalysts exhibit a good stability, and differences observed in activity are in line with the observed textural properties. Co@SiO₂-873 displays the highest CO conversion, followed by Co@SiO₂-773 and Co@SiO₂-973. Table 2 summarizes cobalttime-yield (CTY), apparent turnover frequencies (TOF) and product selectivity for these catalysts after 102 h on stream. When CTY is plotted as a function of the pyrolysis temperature, a volcano-like curve is obtained, with an optimum for the sample pyrolyzed at 873 K. The TOF values calculated for samples pyrolyzed at 873 and 973 K are similar and higher than that of the Co@SiO₂-773 sample. The FTS process occurs on the surface of metallic cobalt nanoparticles with an optimal particle size around 10 nm. On one hand, small cobalt nanoparticles normally possess a large fraction of low-coordinated surface sites (i.e., corner, kink, edge etc.), which to a large extent hamper CO dissociation and/or CH_x hydrogenation^{13, 37}. Hence, we attribute the superior activity of Co@SiO₂-873 to the high Co reducibility and the optimal Co-particle size (Table 1)^{13, 14, 16, 38, 39}. On the other hand, small cobalt nanoparticles have only few step sites, known for C-C formation towards long chain hydrocarbons, therefore resulting in a high methane selectivity^{40, 41}. Thus, the larger Co-particle size in the Co@SiO₂-873 and Co@SiO₂-973 samples when compared to Co@SiO₂-773 results in a lower CH₄ and a higher C5 + selectivity for these catalysts (Table 2), in excellent agreement with literature¹³. We argue that the low H₂/CO ratio and operating temperature applied in this work ($H_2/CO = 1,483$ K) along with an optimal cobalt particle size in the synthesized Co@SiO2-873 catalyst result in a chain growth probability (α) as high as $0.94^{42}, 43$

The performance of the Co@SiO₂-*cal.* sample further emphasizes the key role of the intermediate pyrolysis step (Table 2). A high initial CO conversion over this sample along with a clear deactivation during the first 50 h on stream (Supplementary Fig. 10) is observed. We attribute the severe deactivation at the initial stage to the presence of a substantial amount of small cobalt nanoparticles (<4 nm), that are more susceptible to aggregation and/or oxidation than larger particles during highpressure FTS and which also more selective for the formation of CH₄^{44, 45}. In addition, although pyrolysis of Co-based MOFs under an inert atmosphere has recently been demonstrated as a promising route to prepare highly loaded Co@C hybrids with controllable cobalt particle size and distribution^{25, 46-49}, these directly pyrolyzed samples such as Co@C-873 and Co@C-SiO2-873 synthesized in this work show a poor activity and low C5 + selectivity along with an unacceptable CH₄ selectivity in the FTS process under the same conditions as Co@SiO2 catalysts (Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Table 3). The inferior performance of these pyrolyzed samples can be ascribed to the inaccessibility of most cobalt nanoparticles, which are completely encapsulated by graphitic shells. (Supplementary Fig. 12 and Supplementary Table 2)^{50, 51}. Comparison of our results demonstrates the importance of the synthetic protocol here presented).

Discussion

The results here presented demonstrate that the stepwise hydrolysis-pyrolysis-calcination methodology is a promising route to synthesize highly loaded $Co@SiO_2$ catalysts using ZIF-67 as a sacrificial template and TMOS as silicon source. During the high-temperature pyrolysis, the ZIF-67 structure decomposes, generating cobalt nanoparticles encapsulated by graphitic-carbon shells, which prevent the formation of large agglomerates, controlling in this way cobalt particle dispersity, whereas optimization of the pyrolysis temperature improves cobalt reducibility.



Fig. 5 Catalytic performance. a Time-on-stream evolution of CO conversion for the Co@SiO₂-873 and Co/SiO₂ catalysts prepared using conventional methods. M' refers to melt infiltration. IWI refers to incipient wetness impregnation. A refers to Aerosil-200 support and F refers to CARIACT Q-10 support. Reaction conditions: 483 K, 20 bar, $H_2/CO = 1$, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹. **b** Time-on-stream evolution of CO conversion for the Co@SiO₂-873 and Co/SiO₂-F-T/WI catalysts prepared using two-step incipient wetness impregnation method (T/WI). Reaction conditions: 483 K, 26 bar, H₂/CO = 2, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹

Table 3 Catalytic performance of Co@SiO ₂ -873 and Co/SiO ₂ catalysts prepared using conventional methods									
Sample	Sample weight (mg)	Cobalt loading (wt. %)	Х_{со} (%)	CTY (10 ⁻⁵ mol _{cog} ⁻¹ _{co} s ⁻¹)	S (%)				
					C1	C2-C4	C5+	CO2	
Co@SiO ₂ -873 ^a	100	51	15.2	4.2	5.2	3.8	91.0	_	
Co/SiO ₂ -A-MI ^a	100	42	7.5	2.6	4.5	4.1	91.5	_	
Co/SiO ₂ -F-Ml ^a	100	42	8.6	3.0	4.8	4.3	90.9	_	
Co/SiO ₂ -F-IWI ^a	250	16.5	8.7	3.1	4.7	4.9	90.4	_	
Co@SiO ₂ -873 ^b	175	51	70.2	7.8	9.7	5.2	84.7	0.4	
_			25.8	6.4	10.7	6.5	82.8 ^c	_	
Co/SiO ₂ -F-TIWI ^b	175	32	19.1	3.5	9.0	7.4	83.6	_	
			26.0	3.4	9.3	7.6	83.0 ^c	_	

Carbon conversion (X_{CO} , %), activity per gram of Co (CTY), hydrocarbon selectivity (S, %). ^a FTS experiments were carried out at 483 K, 20 bar, and H₂/CO = 1, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹, and data were collected after 201 h TOS;

^b FTS experiments were carried out at 483 K, 26 bar, and H₂/CO = 2, and syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹, and data were collected after 100 h TOS. ^c C5 + selectivity was obtained after 118 h TOS by changing the feed flow rate after 100 h TOS

To further demonstrate the advantages of this synthetic methodology, we prepared additional highly loaded Co catalysts, with Co supported on commercially available Aerosil-200 (denoted as A) or CARiACT Q-10 (denoted as F) silica, by using melt infiltration (MI). Also two benchmark Co/SiO₂ catalysts with cobalt loading of 16 wt.% and 32 wt.%, respectively, were prepared by means of incipient wetness impregnation (IWI). The 32 wt.%Co/SiO₂-F-TIWI and 40 wt.%Co/SiO₂-A-MI catalysts consist mostly of large aggregates (Supplementary Fig. 13a-c, and Supplementary Fig. 14a, b) as a result of the lower versatility of the MI and IWI methods for high cobalt loadings. The comparison between the FTS performance of these catalysts and Co@SiO₂-873 is shown in Fig. 5a, b and Table 3. Under the studied conditions, the Co@SiO2-873 displays a CTY at least 1.5 times $(H_2/CO = 1)$ (entry 1–4, Table 3) and/or 2.2 times (H_2/CO) = 2) (entry 5 and 7, Table 3) higher than the other samples (in spite of the higher Co loading) and a comparable C5 + selectivity (~83%) to its Co/SiO₂-F-TIWI counterpart at a similar CO conversion level (~26%). Interestingly, TEM images of the Co@SiO₂-873 catalyst after 201 h TOS show a very good dispersion of cobalt nanoparticles on the SiO₂ support along with very few aggregates (Supplementary Fig. 15a-d), in good agreement with the observed very mild catalyst deactivation with timeon stream. In comparison with other highly loaded catalysts prepared using traditional methods, the optimal particle size and high stability of cobalt nanoparticles in Co@SiO2-873 lead to more available cobalt sites and explain the high activity of Co@SiO₂-873 in the FTS process⁷.

Overall, our results further highlight the potential and versatility of the use of MOFs as catalyst templates and opens the door to the controlled fabrication of highly loaded, accessible, active and stable metal supported catalysts thus coping with a major challenge in materials science and industrial catalysis.

Methods

Synthesis of the parent ZIF-67. In the synthesis of ZIF-67, 2.933 g of Co (NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 6.489 g of 2-methylimidazole (MeIm) were separately dissolved in 200 ml methanol. The latter clear solution was rapidly poured into the former pink solution with vigorous stirring for 24 h at room temperature. Afterwards, the bright purple products were collected by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried at 353 K for 10 h under vacuum.

Synthesis of ZIF-67@SiO2. A total of 0.8 g of the synthesized ZIF-67 was immersed in 5 ml TMOS in an autoclave, which was further transferred into a rotation oven and heated up to 333 K overnight. After the oven was cooled down to room temperature, the mixture was carefully washed with 1 ml ethanol to remove the excess TMOS on the external surface of ZIF-67 by filtration. Then the purple material was placed in a cotton thimble of 22 mm diameter and placed in a glass tube of 25 mm diameter. The glass tube was fitted to a round bottom flask containing 500 ml of water. A needle to bubble the water with 10 ml min⁻¹ of N₂ flux was also fitted. The temperature was raised to 323 K to create a wet N2 stream to directly hydrolyze the TMOS molecules for 30 h, followed by air drying at 333 K and vacuum drying at 373 K for 10 h, successively. The obtained sample was denoted as ZIF-67@SiO2.

Synthesis of Co@C-SiO2-T. A total of 0.8 g of ZIF-67@SiO2 were transferred into a quartz tubular reactor ($\sim L = 1.0 \text{ m x ID} = 5.0 \text{ cm}$) horizontally situated in a ceramic fiber oven (Carbolite, Sheffield). The reactor was flushed with N2 at 303 K for 0.5 h, followed by direct carbonization at different temperature for 4 h under N₂

(150 ml min⁻¹) at a ramp of 2 K min⁻¹. The obtained sample was denoted as Co@C-SiO₂-*T*, where *T* (*T*=773, 873, 973 K) refers to the pyrolysis temperature.

Synthesis of Co@SiO₂-T and Co@SiO₂-cal. The obtained Co@C-SiO₂-*T* samples were further calcined at 673 K in air (150 ml min⁻¹) for 2 h at a ramp of 1 K min⁻¹, and denoted as Co@SiO₂-*T*, where *T* (*T*=773, 873, 973 K) refers to the pyrolysis temperature. For comparison, 0.8 g of ZIF-67@SiO₂ was directly calcined at 673 K in air (150 ml min⁻¹) for 2 h at a ramp of 1 K min⁻¹, and this sample was denoted as Co@SiO₂-*cal*.

Synthesis of Co@C-873. Co@C-873 was prepared by pyrolysis of 0.8 g ZIF-67 at 873 K for 4 h under 150 ml min $^{-1}$ N₂ flow at a ramp of 2 K min $^{-1}$.

Synthesis of Co/SiO2 catalysts with conventional methods. For the MI samples, 2.9 g Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 0.6 g of degassed SiO₂ support (Aerosil-200 or CARiACT Q-10) were physically mixed in a mortar with a pestle under ambient conditions until the powder was homogeneously pink. Then the samples were transferred into a Teflon-lined steel autoclave and kept at 333 K for 24 h, followed by calcination by heating to 673 K (1 K min⁻¹, 2 h) in a flow of air (150 ml min⁻¹) for 0.8 g precursor loaded catalyst) in the same setup as mentioned above. The obtained samples were denoted as Co/SiO2-A-MI (Aerosil-200) and Co/SiO2-F-MI (CARiACT Q-10), respectively. For the IWI sample, 1 g of degassed SiO₂ support (CARiACT Q-10) was impregnated with 1 ml of aqueous cobalt nitrate solution. The catalyst precursor was dried overnight under vacuum at 373 K followed by calcination by heating to 673 K (1 K min⁻¹, 2 h) in a flow of air (150 ml min⁻¹ for 0.8 g precursor loaded catalyst) in the same setup as mentioned above. The obtained sample was denoted as Co/SiO2-F-IWI. Co/SiO2-F-TIWI sample was prepared by two-step IWI of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O aqueous solution to SiO₂ support (CARiACT Q-10), followed by drying overnight under vacuum at 373 K, and calcination by heating to 673 K (1 K min⁻¹, 2 h) in a flow of air (150 ml min⁻¹ for 0.8 g precursor loaded catalyst) in the same setup as mentioned above.

Characterization. The Co contents in the samples were measured by atomic adsorption spectroscopy (AAnalyst 200, Perkin Elmer, USA). PXRD patterns were measured by a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer using monochromatic Co $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.179026$ nm). N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained using a Micromeritics Tristar 3020 at 77 K, and samples were outgassed under vacuum at 423 K overnight prior to the analysis. For the analysis, the BET area was determined as outlined in Lange et al.³³. The mesopore surface area was obtained from the *t*-plot applied to the N₂ isotherm. TG analysis was carried out using a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851e instrument by heating samples in N₂ (100 ml min⁻¹) from room temperature to 1073 K at a ramp rate of 5 K min⁻¹. TEM imaging and EDX mapping were performed on a JEM-2100 (JEOL) and a Talos F200X (FEI) microscopes operated at 200 kV. Tilt series of bright-field TEM images for electron tomography were taken with a Talos F200X (FEI) microscope, over the angle tange of \pm 76° with a tilt increment of 2°. Tilt series were aligned and reconstructed using IMOD software package⁵². Cobalt particle diameter (d_{TEM}) was calculated based on a minimum of 200 nanoparticles using the equation (1)

$$d_{TEM} = \sum_{i} n_i d_i^3 / \sum_{i} n_i d_i^2 \tag{1}$$

where n_i is the number of particles with diameter of d_i . The bright-field and HAADF-STEM imaging of the Co/SiO₂-*F*-*TIWI* and spent Co@SiO₂-*873* catalysts were performed using a FEI TEM (model Titan 80–300 ST) at 300 kV. Temperature-programmed reduction in hydrogen (TPR(H₂)) was performed in a flow of 10 vol.% H₂/Ar (30 ml min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ from ambient temperature to 1223 K. The DOR was measured using TGA (Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851e) in a flow of 10% H₂/He. The samples were heated to 673 K and held there for 8 h (No weight loss was obtained after this time). After that the temperature was further increased to 1273 K (5 K min⁻¹). The DOR of cobalt was calculated using the equation (2)

$$\left(n_{Co}^{total} - n_{Co}^{>673}\right) / n_{Co}^{total} \tag{2}$$

A Micromeritics ASAP 2020 was used to measure H₂-chemisorption. Samples dried at 100 °C were submitted to reduction in H₂ at 673 K (10 h, 5 K min⁻¹) and evacuation at the same temperature. Isotherms were measured at 423 K. The accessible cobalt surface areas were calculated assuming a one to one stoichiometry (H:Co) and a Co-atomic cross section of 0.0662 nm².

Catalytic testing. The FTS was carried out in a parallel 6-flow fixed-bed microreactor setup as previously described⁵³. Certain amount of catalyst was mixed with SiC of similar size and loaded into a stainless steel tube lined with a quartz layer. Catalysts were reduced in situ in pure H₂ at 673 K for 10 h at 2 K min⁻¹. Afterwards, the reactors were cooled to 453 K at which the pressure was increased to the target pressure (20 or 26 bar) under H₂. Then, a CO flow was gradually introduced into the system, and finally reached an H₂/CO ratio of 1 or 2 with syngas flow of 40 ml min⁻¹. Next, the temperature was increased to the reaction temperature of

483 K at 2 K min⁻¹. The C5 + selectivity was calculated from the CO conversion by subtracting the fraction of CO used for the formation of C_1 to C_4 products, as determined via online GC (Hewlett Packard 5890, Series II) using N₂ as an internal standard, from the total amount of CO converted.

Data availability. The authors declare that all other relevant data not included in the Supplementary Information and supporting the findings of this study are available on request.

Received: 9 March 2017 Accepted: 23 October 2017 Published online: 22 November 2017

References

- Torres Galvis, H. M. et al. Supported iron nanoparticles as catalysts for sustainable production of lower olefins. *Science* 335, 835–838 (2012).
- Poizot, P., Laruelle, S., Grugeon, S., Dupont, L. & Tarascon, J. M. Nano-sized transition-metal oxides as negative-electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Nature* 407, 496–499 (2000).
- O'Regan, B. & Gratzel, M. A low-cost, high-efficiency solar cell based on dyesensitized colloidal TiO2 films. *Nature* 353, 737–740 (1991).
- Bell, A. T. The impact of nanoscience on heterogeneous catalysis. Science 299, 1688–1691 (2003).
- Eggenhuisen, T. M., Breejen, J. Pd, Verdoes, D., Jongh, P. Ed & Jong, K. Pd. Fundamentals of melt infiltration for the preparation of supported metal catalysts. The case of Co/SiO2 for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132, 18318–18325 (2010).
- Petit, C., Taleb, A. & Pileni, M.-P. Self-Organization of magnetic nanosized cobalt particles. Adv. Mater. 10, 259–261 (1998).
- Munnik, P., de Jongh, P. E. & de Jong, K. P. Control and impact of the nanoscale distribution of supported cobalt particles used in Fischer–Tropsch catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 7333–7340 (2014).
- Espinosa-Alonso, L. et al. Tomographic energy dispersive diffraction imaging to study the genesis of Ni nanoparticles in 3D within γ-Al2O3 catalyst bodies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 16932–16938 (2009).
- Schreier, M. & Regalbuto, J. R. A fundamental study of Pt tetraammine impregnation of silica: 1. The electrostatic nature of platinum adsorption. *J. Catal.* 225, 190–202 (2004).
- Regalbuto, J. R., Navada, A., Shadid, S., Bricker, M. L. & Chen, Q. An experimental verification of the physical nature of pt adsorption onto alumina. *J. Catal.* 184, 335–348 (1999).
- Che, M., Cheng, Z. X. & Louis, C. Nucleation and particle growth processes involved in the preparation of Silica-Supported nickel materials by a Two-Step procedure. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 2008–2018 (1995).
- Serp, P., Kalck, P. & Feurer, R. Chemical vapor deposition methods for the controlled preparation of supported catalytic materials. *Chem. Rev.* 102, 3085–3128 (2002).
- Bezemer, G. L. et al. Cobalt particle size effects in the fischer-tropsch reaction studied with carbon nanofiber supported catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 3956–3964 (2006).
- Prieto, G., Martínez, A., Concepción, P. & Moreno-Tost, R. Cobalt particle size effects in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: structural and in situ spectroscopic characterization on reverse micelle-synthesised Co/ITQ-2 model catalysts. *J. Catal.* 266, 129–144 (2009).
- Barbier, A., Tuel, A., Arcon, I., Kodre, A. & Martin, G. A. Characterization and catalytic behavior of Co/SiO2 catalysts: influence of dispersion in the Fischer–Tropsch reaction. *J.Catal.* 200, 106–116 (2001).
- Girardon, J.-S. et al. Effect of cobalt precursor and pretreatment conditions on the structure and catalytic performance of cobalt silica-supported Fischer–Tropsch catalysts. J. Catal. 230, 339–352 (2005).
- Mile, B., Stirling, D., Zammitt, M. A., Lovell, A. & Webb, M. The location of nickel oxide and nickel in silica-supported catalysts: Two forms of "NiO" and the assignment of temperature-programmed reduction profiles. *J. Catal* 114, 217–229 (1988).
- Munnik, P., Velthoen, M. E. Z., de Jongh, P. E., de Jong, K. P. & Gommes, C. J. Nanoparticle growth in supported nickel catalysts during methanation reaction —larger is better. *Angew. Chem.* 53, 9493–9497 (2014).
- Munnik, P., Krans, N. A., de Jongh, P. E. & de Jong, K. P. Effects of drying conditions on the synthesis of Co/SiO2 and Co/Al2O3 Fischer–Tropsch catalysts. ACS Catal. 4, 3219–3226 (2014).
- Nele, M., Vidal, A., Bhering, D. L., Carlos Pinto, J. & Salim, V. M. M. Preparation of high loading silica supported nickel catalyst: simultaneous analysis of the precipitation and aging steps. *Appl. Catal. A* **178**, 177–189 (1999).

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 8:1680 | DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01910-9 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

ARTICLE

- Bitter, J. H., van der Lee, M. K., Slotboom, A. G. T., van Dillen, A. J. & de Jong, K. P. Synthesis of highly loaded highly dispersed nickel on carbon nanofibers by homogeneous deposition–precipitation. *Catal. Lett.* **89**, 139–142 (2003).
- Bezemer, G. L. et al. Preparation of Fischer–Tropsch cobalt catalysts supported on carbon nanofibers and silica using homogeneous deposition-precipitation. J. Catal. 237, 291–302 (2006).
- Hall, A. S., Kondo, A., Maeda, K. & Mallouk, T. E. Microporous Brookite-Phase titania made by replication of a metal–organic framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 16276–16279 (2013).
- deKrafft, K. E., Wang, C. & Lin, W. Metal-organic framework templated synthesis of Fe2O3/TiO2 nanocomposite for hydrogen production. *Adv. Mater.* 24, 2014–2018 (2012).
- Santos, V. P. et al. Metal organic framework-mediated synthesis of highly active and stable Fischer–Tropsch catalysts. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6451 (2015).
- Čelič, T. B., Grilc, M., Likozar, B. & Tušar, N. N. In situ generation of Ni nanoparticles from metal–organic framework precursors and their use for biomass hydrodeoxygenation. *ChemSusChem* 8, 1703–1710 (2015).
- Kondo, A., Hall, A. S., Mallouk, T. E. & Maeda, K. A new synthetic route to microporous silica with well-defined pores by replication of a metal–organic framework. *Chemistry* 21, 12148–12152 (2015).
- Rieter, W. J., Taylor, K. M. L. & Lin, W. Surface modification and functionalization of nanoscale metal-organic frameworks for controlled release and luminescence sensing. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 9852–9853 (2007).
- 29. Liu, B., Shioyama, H., Akita, T. & Xu, Q. Metal-Organic framework as a template for porous carbon synthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 5390–5391 (2008).
- Banerjee, R. et al. High-throughput synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and application to CO2 capture. *Science* 319, 939–943 (2008).
- Torad, N. L. et al. Direct synthesis of MOF-Derived nanoporous carbon with magnetic Co nanoparticles toward efficient water treatment. *Small* 10, 2096–2107 (2014).
- Tang, J. et al. Thermal conversion of core-shell metal-organic frameworks: a new method for selectively functionalized nanoporous hybrid carbon. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 1572–1580 (2015).
- De Lange, M. F., Vlugt, T. J. H., Gascon, J. & Kapteijn, F. Adsorptive characterisation of porous solids: error analysis guides the way. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 200, 199–215 (2014).
- 34. Kiss, G., Kliewer, C. E., DeMartin, G. J., Culross, C. C. & Baumgartner, J. E. Hydrothermal deactivation of silica-supported cobalt catalysts in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. J. Catal. 217, 127–140 (2003).
- Sartipi, S. et al. Hierarchical H-ZSM-5-supported cobalt for the direct synthesis of gasoline-range hydrocarbons from syngas: Advantages, limitations, and mechanistic insight. J. Catal. 305, 179–190 (2013).
- Puskas, I., Fleisch, T. H., Hall, J. B., Meyers, B. L. & Roginski, R. T. Metalsupport interactions in precipitated, magnesium-promoted cobaltsilica catalysts. J. Catal. 134, 615–628 (1992).
- den Breejen, J. P. et al. On the origin of the cobalt particle size effects in Fischer –Tropsch catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 7197–7203 (2009).
- Tsubaki, N., Sun, S. & Fujimoto, K. Different functions of the noble metals added to cobalt catalysts for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. J. Catal. 199, 236–246 (2001).
- Khodakov, A. Y., Griboval-Constant, A., Bechara, R. & Zholobenko, V. L. Pore size effects in fischer tropsch synthesis over Cobalt-Supported mesoporous silicas. J. Catal. 206, 230–241 (2002).
- 40. Van Santen, R. A. Complementary structure sensitive and insensitive catalytic relationships. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **42**, 57–66 (2009).
- Ralston, W. T., Melaet, G., Saephan, T. & Somorjai, G. A. Evidence of structure sensitivity in the Fischer–Tropsch reaction on model cobalt nanoparticles by time-resolved chemical transient kinetics. *Angew. Chem.* 56, 7415–7419 (2017).
- Espinoza, R. L., Steynberg, A. P., Jager, B. & Vosloo, A. C. Low temperature Fischer–Tropsch synthesis from a sasol perspective. *Appl. Catal. A* 186, 13–26 (1999).
- Vervloet, D., Kapteijn, F., Nijenhuis, J. & van Ommen, J. R. Fischer–Tropsch reaction-diffusion in a cobalt catalyst particle: aspects of activity and selectivity for a variable chain growth probability. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2, 1221–1233 (2012).
- van Steen, E. et al. Stability of nanocrystals: thermodynamic analysis of oxidation and re-reduction of cobalt in Water/Hydrogen mixtures. J. Phys. Chem. B. 109, 3575–3577 (2005).

- Tsakoumis, N. E. et al. Evaluation of reoxidation thresholds for γ-Al2O3-Supported cobalt catalysts under Fischer–Tropsch synthesis conditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 3706–3715 (2017).
- Wang, X. et al. MOF derived catalysts for electrochemical oxygen reduction. J. Mater.Chem. A 2, 14064–14070 (2014).
- Zhou, Y.-X., Chen, Y.-Z., Cao, L., Lu, J. & Jiang, H.-L. Conversion of a metalorganic framework to N-doped porous carbon incorporating Co and CoO nanoparticles: direct oxidation of alcohols to esters. *Chem. Commun.* 51, 8292–8295 (2015).
- 48. Qiu, B. et al. Highly dispersed Co-based Fischer–Tropsch synthesis catalysts from metal-organic frameworks. J. Mater. Chem. A 5, 8081–8086 (2017).
- Pei, Y., Li, Z. & Li, Y. Highly active and selective Co-based Fischer–Tropsch catalysts derived from metal–organic frameworks. *AIChE J.* 63, 2935–2944 (2017).
- 50. Zhang, L. et al Co–N–C catalyst for C–C coupling reactions: on the catalytic performance and active sites. ACS Catal. 6563–6572 (2015).
- Sun, X. et al. Metal–Organic framework mediated Cobalt/Nitrogen-Doped carbon hybrids as efficient and chemoselective catalysts for the hydrogenation of nitroarenes. *Chem. Cat. Chem* 9, 1854–1862 (2017).
- Kremer, J. R., Mastronarde, D. N. & McIntosh, J. R. Computer visualization of three-dimensional image data using IMOD. J. Struct. Biol. 116, 71–76 (1996).
- 53. Sartipi, S. et al. Six-flow operations for catalyst development in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: bridging the gap between high-throughput experimentation and extensive product evaluation. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **84**, 124101 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Hernandez-Mejia for additional FTS testing, H. Oosterbeek for the GC analysis and A. Dikhtiarenko for help in the design of the graphical abstract. X.S. acknowledges financial support from China Scholarship Council (CSC).

Author contributions

X.S. and J.G. conceived, coordinated the research and designed the experiments. X.S. and A.I.O.S. synthesized and characterized the catalysts. X.S. performed most of the catalytic testing and data interpretation. T.v.D. performed and analyzed H₂ chemisorption. S.O.-C., M.M. and J.Z. carried out and analyzed the microscopy characterization. The manuscript was primarily written by X.S. and J.G. with input from all authors. All authors participated in the discussion of the results.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01910-9.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017