

Shaped Elevation Patterns for 5G Base Stations

Roederer, Antoine; Puskely, Jan; Aslan, Yanki; Yarovoy, Alexander

DOI

[10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704580](https://doi.org/10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704580)

Publication date

2021

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

2021 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting (APS/URSI)

Citation (APA)

Roederer, A., Puskely, J., Aslan, Y., & Yarovoy, A. (2021). Shaped Elevation Patterns for 5G Base Stations. In *2021 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting (APS/URSI): Proceedings* (pp. 795-796). Article 9704580 IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704580>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' – Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

Shaped Elevation Patterns for 5G Base Stations

Antoine Roederer, Jan Puskely, Yanki Aslan, Alexander Yarovoy
 MS3 Group, Department of Microelectronics, Faculty of EEMCS, Delft University of Technology
 Delft, The Netherlands
 (a.g.roederer, j.puskely-1, y.aslan, a.yarovoy)@tudelft.nl

Abstract—This paper discusses the advantages and an example of using shaped elevation patterns for 5G base stations.

A simple iterative power synthesis method involving the phase of shaped patterns, and suitable for both center- and end-fed sub-arrays, is used. Numerical simulations and some experimental results for an array of linear sub-arrays with cosecant squared patterns are presented to validate the concept.

Keywords— Array antennas, 5G base stations, array antenna synthesis, power synthesis, cosecant squared pattern

I. INTRODUCTION

Many 8x8 or 16x16 element arrays are discussed for 5G base stations at millimeter waves. To increase spectrum re-use, various MIMO schemes were proposed, initially using several distributed single beam antennas, and then, as long validated for satellites [1], with analog, hybrid or digital (DBF) forming of multiple simultaneous beams, each using the full array. An excellent review of multiple beam arrays for 5G is found in [2].

At millimeter waves, with very low linear power amplifier efficiencies and high consumption of ADC's and processing, active arrays with full DBF for massive MIMO producing 2D adaptive multiple beams might not yet be competitive. Moreover, for a 200 m cell from a base station at $H=10$ m, about 90% of users are within 3° to 10° from the horizon (Fig. 1), and therefore with no frequency re-use benefits in elevation with 15° beams, or even the 7.5° wide beams of 16x16 element arrays.




Fig. 1. Angular distribution of base station users

This work was supported in part by NWO and in part by NXP Semiconductors in the program Advanced 5G Solutions - Antenna Topologies and Front-end Configurations for Multiple Beam Generation. More info: www.nwo.nl.




Fig. 2. Array of vertical shaped beam sub-arrays
 a) Configuration b) End-fed SIW sub-array

Instead, using sub-arrays (Fig. 2), with analog fixed or reconfigurable beam shaping in elevation and multiple agile beams in azimuth with DBF, drastically reduces the number of array chains, the complexity, processing, consumption and cost. Such an approach is discussed for a base station at 42 GHz in [3], and its system modelling for 5G at 28 GHz in [4][5][6]. The elevation beam can be a cosecant squared, better for the safety issues related to the vertical compliance distance [7], or similar. Slotted waveguides (possibly SIW) are often preferred for higher gains and frequencies. Microstrip or stripline/triplate designs are more suitable for lower gains and frequencies.

With low thickness requirements, series or travelling wave type end-feeding, despite some potential frequency scanning, is often preferred. It is easiest to design for tapered amplitude distributions, while corporate type center-feeding is easier for symmetrical ones [6]. A simple synthesis algorithm, based on iterative rephasing and projection of the wanted pattern, can generate realizable symmetrical or tapered distributions with, in both cases, an acceptable fit to the wanted template. It will be presented and validated by measurements.

II. SYNTHESIS

Synthesis of shaped, sector or cosecant squared patterns started in the 1940's with the pioneering work of Woodward [8], effectively connecting the Shannon [9] sampling theory and the pattern synthesis and interpolation of antenna (array) patterns, linked to their illumination by a Fourier transform.

Most field synthesis methods imply real pattern/templates, which reduces the number of degrees of freedom used for the synthesis [10][11]. Involving the phase of the wanted pattern, helps reduce the "distance" between the wanted and the synthesized patterns belonging to the subset of complex, and not only real, patterns realizable with the array, possibly under certain excitation constraints. This has been further developed, with excellent results, by numerous authors [12][13][14].

With the huge dynamic range of signals used in 5G systems, the fit to the template is not too critical and allows to use, as a criterium, the minimization of the weighted mean-square error, rather than the equal relative error.




Fig. 3. Synthesized amplitudes for center and end feeding of the sub-array




Fig. 4. Computed patterns for center- and end- feeding

While for the symmetrical center-fed amplitude excitations a real pattern might be optimum, for end-feeding asymmetrical tapered amplitudes, the pattern phase will play a key role.

If $f_w(u)$ and $f_r(u)$ are the complex wanted and realized patterns, with their respective modules and phases $F_w(u)$, $\Phi_w(u)$ and $F_r(u)$, $\Phi_r(u)$, $f_r(u)$ can be seen as a complex Hilbert space vector function, projection of $f_w(u)$ in the N dimensional sub-space R of the patterns realizable by the array. In the equation:

$$F_w(u)e^{j\Phi_w(u)} = F_r(u)e^{j\Phi_r(u)} + \delta_{wr}(u) \quad (1)$$

the “distance vector” $\delta_{wr}(u)$ must be minimized.

The iterative projection technique which used is inspired from [11], with a constraint of realizability of the excitations, here a 10 dB dynamic range for 12 element sub-arrays, as done in [14]. For the end-feeding case, to produce asymmetrically tapered amplitudes, the origin is chosen at the first element. In iteration m , the phase $\Phi_w^m(u)$ of the wanted pattern, is replaced by that of its projection on the R subspace $\Phi_r^{m-1}(u)$. The converging equalisation of the phases reduces the distance vector $\delta_{wr}(u)$.

As shown in fig. 3 and 4, the procedure can produce very different realizable center- and end-fed illuminations, with a reasonable fit to the desired pattern. Optimisation including mutual coupling has been validated at 28.5 GHz (Fig. 5) and measurements confirming predictions will be presented from arrays of 16 sub-arrays, both in microstrip and SIW [6].

III. CONCLUSION

Most 5G users in a (flat) cell will be seen from base stations within 10° from the horizon, leaving little scope for spectrum re-use gains from adaptive multiple beam forming in elevation. Arrays of vertical sub-arrays with cosecant squared elevation patterns and adaptive multiple beams in azimuth seem feasible. They equalize both the base station transmit power and the flux




Fig. 5. Array of 16 sub-arrays: simulated v.s measured patterns

received for all line-of-sight users. Compared to large square arrays with 2D digital beamforming, their complexity, consumption and cost are potentially much reduced.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to ir. Marcel Geurts for his continued support.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Whitefield, R. Gopal, and S. Arnold, “Spaceway now and in the future: on-board IP packet switching satellite communication network,” in Proc. IEEE MILCOM, Washington, DC, USA, Oct. 2006.
- [2] W. Hong et al., “Multibeam Antenna Technologies for 5G Wireless Communications,” in IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 6231-6249, Dec. 2017.
- [3] M. Koubeissi, L. Freytag, C. Decroze and T. Monediere, “Design of a Cosecant-Squared Pattern Antenna Fed by a New Butler Matrix Topology for Base Station at 42 GHz,” IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 7, pp. 354-357, 2008.
- [4] S. Salman, Y. Aslan, J. Puskely, A. Roederer and A. Yarovoy, “System Modeling and Simulation in 5G: A Hybrid Beamforming Approach With Power Flux Equalization in the Elevation Plane,” 2019 49th European Microwave Conference (EuMC), 2019, pp. 746-749.
- [5] J. Puskely, Y. Aslan, A. Roederer and A. Yarovoy, “SIW based antenna array with power equalization in elevation plane for 5G base stations,” 12th European Conf. on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP 2018), 2018.
- [6] J. Puskely, T. Mikulasek, Y. Aslan, A. Roederer and A. Yarovoy, “5G SIW Based Phased Antenna Array with Cosecant-Squared Shape Pattern”, unpublished.
- [7] T. Kopacz and D. Heberling, “Impact of the elevation scanning angle on the vertical compliance distance of 5G massive MIMO antennas,” in Proc. 13th European Conf. on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), 2019.
- [8] P. M. Woodward, “A method for calculating the field over a plane aperture required to produce a given polar diagram,” J. IEE, vol. 93, pt. IIIA, 1946.
- [9] C. E. Shannon, “Communications in the presence of noise,” Proc. IRE, vol. 37, pp. 10–21, Jan. 1949.
- [10] A. C. Schell and A. Ishimaru, “Antenna pattern synthesis”, Antenna Theory, Part 1, R. Collin and F. Zucker, Eds.: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- [11] Steyskal, H., “On Antenna Pattern Synthesis,” IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-18, No. 1, January 1970.
- [12] Stutzman, W., “Synthesis of shaped-beam radiation patterns using the iterative sampling method,” IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., Vol. 19, No. 1, 36–41, Jan. 1971.
- [13] Elliott, R. S., and G. J. Stern, “A New Technology for Shaped Beam Synthesis of Equispaced Arrays,” IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-32, 1984, pp. 1129–1133.
- [14] O.M. Bucci, G. Franceschetti, G. Mazzarella, G. Panariello., “a general projection approach to array synthesis,” Digest on Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium, 1989, pp. 146-149.