

## Quantum thermometry in optomechanics

Chowdhury, A.; Vezio, P.; Bonaldi, M.; Borrielli, A.; Marino, F.; Morana, B.; Pandraud, G.; Pontin, A.; Sarro, P. M.; More Authors

**DOI**

[10.1364/QIM.2019.F5A.70](https://doi.org/10.1364/QIM.2019.F5A.70)

**Publication date**

2019

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Quantum Information and Measurement, QIM 2019

**Citation (APA)**

Chowdhury, A., Vezio, P., Bonaldi, M., Borrielli, A., Marino, F., Morana, B., Pandraud, G., Pontin, A., Sarro, P. M., & More Authors (2019). Quantum thermometry in optomechanics. In *Quantum Information and Measurement, QIM 2019* Article paper F5A.70 (Optics InfoBase Conference Papers; Vol. Part F165-QIM 2019). OSA - The Optical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1364/QIM.2019.F5A.70>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

***Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository***

***'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project***

**<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>**

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

# Quantum Thermometry in Optomechanics

A. Chowdhury<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Vezio<sup>2,3</sup>, M. Bonaldi<sup>4,5</sup>, A. Borrielli<sup>4,5</sup>, F. Marino<sup>1,2</sup>, B. Morana<sup>4,6</sup>, G. Pandraud<sup>6</sup>, A. Pontin<sup>7</sup>, G. A. Prodi<sup>5,8</sup>, P. M. Sarro<sup>6</sup>, E. Serra<sup>5,6</sup> and F. Marin<sup>1,2,3,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CNR-INO, L.go Enrico Fermi 6, I-50125 Firenze, Italy. <sup>2</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Firenze, Via Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy. <sup>3</sup> European Laboratory for Non-Linear Spectroscopy (LENS), Via Carrara 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy. <sup>4</sup> Institute of Materials for Electronics and Magnetism, Nanoscience-Trento-FBK Division, 38123 Povo, Trento, Italy. <sup>5</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Application, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy. <sup>6</sup> Dept. of Microelectronics and Computer Engineering /ECTM-EKL, Delft University of Technology, Feldmanweg 17, 2628 CT Delft, The Netherlands. <sup>7</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom. <sup>8</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy. <sup>9</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze, Via Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy  
marin@fi.infn.it

**Abstract:** We describe a method to control the cavity detuning in optomechanics experiments. This helps accurate measurements of the asymmetry in the motional sidebands, that testify the quantum behavior of the oscillator and quantifies its occupation number. © 2019 The Author(s)

**OCIS codes:** 270.0270, 000.1600.

## 1. Introduction

A crucial outcome of cavity optomechanics [1] is the observation of peculiar quantum features in the behavior of macroscopic mechanical oscillators. The most relevant indicator of the achieved mechanical quantum domain is the so-called motional sidebands asymmetry. The optomechanical interaction generates spectral peaks around the carrier frequency of a probe field, at distances equal to the mechanical oscillation frequency  $\Omega_m$ . Their amplitudes are generally different according to quantum theory. Different interpretations have been proposed to explain such asymmetry, all agreeing in recognizing it as a non-classical signature of the mechanical oscillator [2], as soon as spurious experimental features are avoided. A particularly elucidating explanation considered that the anti-Stokes (blue) sideband implies an energy transfer from the oscillator to the field (frequency up-conversion of photons), and vice versa for the Stokes (red) sideband. Since the quantum oscillator cannot yield energy when it is in the ground state, the anti-Stokes process is less favored. It turns out that the blue and red sideband strengths are proportional respectively to  $\bar{n}$  and  $(\bar{n} + 1)$ , where  $\bar{n}$  is the mean occupation number of the oscillator.

In this work we experimentally investigate the sidebands asymmetry as signature of quantum performance, and we compare it with a further indicator, i.e., the oscillator displacement variance measured from the area of the corresponding peak in the probe phase spectrum. Furthermore, we demonstrate a method for correcting the measured sidebands asymmetry for non-null probe detuning, exploiting the spectral features of the device oscillating modes that are weakly coupled to the cavity field (“heavy” modes).

## 2. Experimental results




Fig. 1. (a) Simplified scheme of the experimental setup. (b) Scheme of the beam frequencies. The LO is placed on the blue side of the probe and detuned by  $\Delta_{LO} \ll \Omega_m$ , therefore the Stokes lines are on the red side of the LO, while the anti-Stokes lines are on the blue side. In the heterodyne spectra, they are located respectively at  $\Omega_m + \Delta_{LO}$  (Stokes) and  $\Omega_m - \Delta_{LO}$  (anti-Stokes).

The measurements are performed on a circular SiN membrane with a thickness of 100 nm and a diameter of 1.64 mm, supported by a silicon ring frame [3–5]. The resonance frequencies of the drum modes are given by the expression  $f_{mn} = f_0 \alpha_{mn}$  where  $\alpha_{mn}$  is the  $n$ -th root of the Bessel polynomial  $J_m$  of order  $m$ . For  $m > 0$  we expect couples of quasi-degenerate modes. The oscillator is placed in a Fabry-Perot cavity of length 4.38 mm, in a “membrane-in-the-middle” setup. The cavity optical axis is displaced from the center of the membrane, so that the optomechanical coupling and readout are much more efficient for one of the modes in each quasi-degenerate couple. In this work we mainly focus on the (1,1) modes at 370 kHz, having a quality factor of  $8.9 \times 10^6$  at cryogenic temperature. The optomechanical cavity is cooled down to  $\sim 7$  K. The light of a Nd:YAG laser is split into several beams, whose frequencies are controlled by means of acousto-optic modulators (AOM) (Fig. 1a), that are used to cool optically cool the mechanical modes and to probe their displacement spectrum in heterodyne and homodyne setups.




Fig. 2. Close symbols report the occupation number  $\bar{n}$  calculated from the corrected values  $R$  of the sideband ratio for the “light twin” mode, according to  $\bar{n} = 1/(R - 1)$ . The red solid curve represents the occupation number  $\bar{n}$  calculated according to a theoretical model using independently measured parameters. Red, green and blue areas represent respectively the contributions of the thermal noise, the probe beam back-action, and the cooling beam back-action.

The occupation number  $\bar{n}$  calculated from the corrected sideband ratio is shown in Figure 2 as a function of the width  $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}$  of the mechanical resonance, obtained at increasing values of cooling power. Filled solid curves reflect the expected  $\bar{n}$  and its different contributions, calculated according to a theoretical model. without any free fitting parameters: all the contributions to  $\bar{n}$  are calculated on the basis of independent measurements. The agreement with the experimental data is excellent, considering the experimental statistical uncertainty, suggesting the absence of non-modeled extra noise. Each single data point can thus be exploited to extract the occupation number, using as experimental error its statistical uncertainty.

## References

1. M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, F. Marquardt, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 1391 (2014).
2. K. Børkje, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 043816 (2016).
3. A. Borrielli *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 121403(R) (2016).
4. E. Serra *et al.*, *AIP Advances* **6**, 065004 (2016).
5. E. Serra *et al.*, *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, DOI: 10.1109/JMEMS.2018.2876593 (2018).