

Evaluation of the CO2-storage potential in depleted gas fields of the West Netherlands Basin

Case study P18 gas field

van Toorenenburg, Koen; Donselaar, Rick; Flores Colmenares, Jonatan; Groenenberg, RM; Pluymaekers, MP; Vandeweijer, VP; van de Weerd, AA

Publication date

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

van Toorenenburg, K., Donselaar, R., Flores Colmenares, J., Groenenberg, RM., Pluymaekers, MP., Vandeweijer, VP., & van de Weerd, AA. (2015). Evaluation of the CO2-storage potential in depleted gas fields of the West Netherlands Basin: Case study P18 gas field. Poster session presented at 8th Dutch CCS symposium, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policyPlease contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Evaluation of the CO₂-storage potential in depleted gas fields of the West Netherlands Basin: Case study P18 gas field

K.A. van Toorenenburg¹, M.E. Donselaar¹, J.S. Flores Colmenares², R.M. Groenenberg³, M.P. Pluymaekers⁴, V.P. Vandeweijer⁴, and A.A. van de Weerd⁵

Introduction

Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS) is expected to become a serious CO_2 -emission reduction technology in the Netherlands. This study aims to evaluate the CO_2 -storage potential of depleted gas reservoirs in the West Netherlands Basin (WNB) based on geological boundary conditions.

Basin-scale evaluation The identification of suitable reservoirs for CO₂ storage is based on Subgroup (MBS) in

predefined geological boundary conditions, such as trap type,

reservoir architecture and capacity, seal quality, and depth. Depleted gas fields inherently meet most criteria and their depth is derived from a basin-scale structural model (Fig. 1).

Depth 5 km Scale bar 0 50 km

P18

The nearly-depleted P18 gas field is located 20 km NW of the E.ON MPP3 power station (Fig. 2) and comprises fluvial and aeolian deposits of the Triassic Main Buntsandstein Subgroup (MBS) in several fault-bounded compartments (Fig. 2A and 3) whose juxtaposition locally inhibits connectivity.




Fig. 3 Seismic inline through the P18 field (NE-SW; inset top right) showing interpreted faults and the facies model of the reservoir interval. Note that juxtaposition may isolate fault-bounded compartments.

The reservoir meets the criteria for carbon sequestration with a production-derived capacity of $\sim\!40$ Mt $\rm CO_2$. Monte-Carlo simulations of the reservoir model capacity average $\sim\!33$ Mt $\rm CO_2$ (Fig. 4) and identify bulk rock volume (BRV) and geological heterogeneities as the main uncertainties.




Fig 4 A) Static reservoir model of the effective porosity distribution in the P18 field. **B)** Results of Monte-Carlo simulatons of the modelled reservoir capacity.

Fig. 2 A) Overlay of oil

and gas fields on Google

Q13-FB

Oil and gas map of the Netherlands (modified from TNO Geological Survey of the Netherlands 2015, 2nd edn.). Producing gas fields in green, depleted gas fields in grey with green edge.

Conclusions

- Depleted gas reservoirs may have CO₂-storage potential
- The P18 offshore field is geographically and geologically suitable for carbon sequestration
- Several compartments add to a capacity of 33 40 Mt CO₂
- The main uncertainties are in BRV and geological heterogeneities



