A New Fully-Focused SAR Altimetry Processor in the ESA G-POD SARvatore Family: Validation and Applications on Inland Waters

Nielsen, K.; Altiparmaki, O.; Fenoglio-Marc, L.; Passaro, M.; Bercher, N.; Scagliola, M.; Abis, B; Fornari, M.; Restano, M.; Benveniste, J.

DOI
10.13140/RG.2.2.28915.40485

Publication date
2021

Document Version
Final published version

Citation (APA)
Nielsen, K., Altiparmaki, O., Fenoglio-Marc, L., Passaro, M., Bercher, N., Scagliola, M., Abis, B., Fornari, M., Restano, M., & Benveniste, J. (2021). *A New Fully-Focused SAR Altimetry Processor in the ESA G-POD SARvatore Family: Validation and Applications on Inland Waters*. Poster session presented at HYDROSPACE-GEOGloWS 2021. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28915.40485

Important note
To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

A New Fully-Focused SAR Altimetry Processor in the ESA G-POD SARvatore Family: Validation and Applications on Inland Waters

Poster · June 2021

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.28915.40485

10 authors, including:

Karina Nielsen
Technical University of Denmark
42 PUBLICATIONS **955** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

Ourania Altiparmaki
Delft University of Technology
9 PUBLICATIONS **3** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

(94) A New Fully-Focused SAR Altimetry Processor in the ESA G-POD SARvatore Family: Validation and Applications on Inland Waters

Karina Nielsen[5], Ourania Altiparmaki[4], Luciana Fenoglio-Marc[6], Marcello Passaro[3], Nicolas Bercher[7], Michele Scagliola[2], Beniamino Abis[1], Marco Fornari[1], Marco Restano[1] and Jérôme Benveniste[1]

Introduction to Service

To enhance the portfolio of services available on the ESA Grid Processing on Demand (G-POD) platform, a new service for fully-focused SAR processing of CryoSat-2 SAR data is currently under validation, and is expected to be released to all users by the end of 2021.
The service is based on the AREALT-FF1 Processor Prototype, which has been developed by Aresys within the framework of the ESA-ESTEC contribution to the Sentinel-6 Mission. It produces fully-focused SAR altimetry waveforms exploiting the 2D transformed frequency domain focusing technique proposed by Guccione, P., Scagliola, M., & Giudici, D. (2018).

Through the G-POD graphical interface, users can select CryoSat-2 data over a specific area of interest and set a series of parameters to tailor the processing. Output products, in netCDF format, will also include geophysical corrections and threshold peak & ALES-like empirical sub-waveform retracker estimates (ALES+FF-SAR, see Passaro et al. (2020))



Additional information

Guccione, P.; Scagliola, M.; Giudici, D. 2D Frequency Domain Fully Focused SAR Processing for High PRF Radar Altimeters. Remote Sens. 2018,10, 1943.
https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10121943
Passaro et al. (2020) Baltic+ SEAL: Algorithm Theoretical Baseline Document (ATBD), Version 2.1. Technical report delivered under the Baltic+ SEAL project. DOI: 10.5270/esa.BalticSEAL.ATBDV2.1 (see Section 3 for details).
Aresys link -> http://www.aresys.it
G-POD link -> http://gpod.eo.esa.int
FF-SAR manual -> https://wiki.services.eoportal.org/tiki-index.php?page=FF-SAR+for+CryoSat-2+Service+User+Manual

Evaluation over Lake IJssel,The Netherlands

Lake IJssel is located in The Netherlands, covers an area of approx. 1100km^2 and has an average depth of 5.5m.Lake heights (LH) produced by the FFSAR-GPOD service are compared with ones (FFSAR-DUT) produced by Kleinherenbrink et al., 2020[1] (Fig. 1a) and thereafter with Delay/Doppler SAR data (UFSAR-GPOD) obtained from the GPOD SARvatore CryoSat-2 service (Fig. 1b). All data are baseline C. Figure 1 depicts GPOD-DUT LH differences [unit: meters]. Outliers: 14.5% of 60000 (1a) and 25% of 12000 (1b) have been rejected.

Data processing info:

	FFSAR-GPOD	FFSAR-DUT
Single-look wf spacing	~44 cm	~56 cm
Multilooking (n.wf)	181-182	200
Focusing method	Omega-Kappa	Back-projection[2]
Retracker	60% threshold (Davis 1997)	

	FFSAR-GPOD	UFSAR-GPOD
Multilook posting rate	20Hz	
Retracker	60% threshold	SAMOSA+

Note 1: 100Hz FFSAR-GPOD ▭ approx. 64m along-track resolution. ~100Hz FFSAR-DUT ▭ approx. 100m along-track resolution.
Note 2: bias is calculated as the median of the dataset differences
Note 3: SD is calculated as 1.4826×median absolute deviation (Blewitt et al., 2016)[3]

GPOD FFSAR settings:
range oversampling factor: set to 1
zero padding (applied): set to 2
multilook posting rate: 20 & 100Hz

Data editing:
Step 1: Data alignment
Step 2: Outlier-removal scheme
2.1 LH > 30 cm from median
2.2 LH > 3×SD from median



Figure 1: GPOD-DUT LH differences [unit: meters]. Outliers: 14.5% of 60000 (1a) and 25% of 12000 (1b). CryoSat-2 data from 08/2010 to 06/2018.



Figure 2: Water level variations of one CryoSat-2 pass at 03/10/2020. FFSAR products are compared with UFSAR

Water level variations in the along-track direction of one CryoSat-2 pass that crossed the Lake at 03/10/2020 are depicted in Figure 2. FFSAR-GPOD data of 100 Hz (light blue) and 20Hz (red) are compared with FFSAR-DUT data of ~100 Hz (green) and UFSAR-GPOD OF 20Hz (black). The aforementioned datasets have been processed with a 60% threshold retracker. ALES+ FFSAR-GPOD data of 100 Hz (yellow) are plotted as well.

[1]Blewitt, G., Kreemer, C., Hammond, W., & Gazeaux, J. (2016). MIDAS robust trend estimator for accurate GPS station velocities without step detection. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 121 , 2054-2068.

[2]Davis, C. (1997). A robust threshold retracking algorithm for measuring ice-sheet surface elevation change from satellite radar altimeters. IEEE Trans. Geosci. RemoteSens., 35(4), 974-979.

[3]Kleinherenbrink, M., Naeije, M., Slobbe, C., Egido, A., & Smith, W. (2020).The performance of CryoSat-2 fully-focussed SAR for inland water-level estimation. Remote sensing of Environment, 237 , 111589,ISSN 0034-4257.

Evaluation over the Canadian River, US

In this study CryoSat-2 FF-SAR data over the Canadian river in the Mississippi basin was evaluated. This river has a width of a few hundred meters. The derived water levels were evaluated using ICESat-2 data and gauge data from the USGS. In the study we considered water levels based on different retrackers including the ALES+ and threshold retrackers. To enhance the amount of data we selected a *posting rate of 500* and the *band width factor of 0.5*



Figure 1: Study area

To compare the altimetry data to the gauge data we need to construct a water level time series at the location of the gauge. We used the C2 FF-SAR data and ICESat-2 data to compile a time series. The time series was constructed by using data available along a reach of approximately 200 km

- The data was projected to the centerline of the river. Providing these as a function of space and time
- The data was then combined via a state-space model (*model paper submitted to RSE)*



Figure 2: The reconstructed water level time series (blue) compared to the gauge water levels (red). The gray lines indicates the times where data is available

As seen on Figure 2, we are able to identify the main water level signal. We find RMSE values of approximately 30 cm and a correlation of 0.6. The result is similar for all the retrackers.

Evaluation over the Elbe Estuary

This case study analyses the CryoSat-2 FF-SAR data over the Elbe estuary and river (Fig. 1). The time period from 2019-01 and 2020-02 includes a full CryoSat-2 geodetic orbit. The selected setting for FFSAR is: multi-look posting rate 200 Hz, zero padding applied (set to 2), bandwidth factor 0.5, Threshold retracker.
First goal is to investigate the quality of the FF-SAR data, final goal will be to extract time-series of water surface elevation and the elevation mean profile. The river Elbe has about 300-400m width between Geerstacht and Neue Darchau and is larger in the estuarine part which is affected by ocean tides. It ends in the German Bight at Ottendorf.



Figure 1. (left) CryoSat-2 ground-tracks is open sea and in Elbe estuary and river, (right) zoom in the Elbe region

The studied section is a downstream reach ~150 km long where gauge stations are available.
From an along-track comparison in coastal and open ocean of FFSAR with GPOD SARVatore and TUDaBo data (Fig. 2), FF-SAR data look noisier than the other products. In the estuarine intertidal and in river parts FF-SAR provides a smooth surface and more data due to its higher sampling. Figs. 3 and 4 show the results in Otterndorf, where the along-track section width is 13 km and in Geerstacht.



Figure 2. Uncorrected heights in open sea from FF-SAR (blue), unfocused SAR (red) and reduced SAR (violet) along open sea ground-track location in Fig. 1



Figure 3. Water heights from FF-SAR at Ottendorf (red track in Fig. 1).

Figure 4. Water heights from FF-SAR at Geerstacht (green track in Fig. 1).

Evaluation over a narrow river, Amazon's tributary, Brasil

This Case study is a preliminary analysis of the CryoSat-2 FF-SAR data exploited to derive water level time series over a narrow river. Nhamunda river is a northern Amazon river's tributary of about ~100m width. The studied section is a downstream reach of ~150km long. Among selection criteria were the availability of contemporary gauging data (ANA station "Mineração Caima", code 16368000), the ability to derive river's longitudinal elevation mean profile and the absence of obstruction along the river reach, a long enough reach to cope with the space-time sampling of the CryoSat-2 geodetic orbit.
The time period of the study is 2017-01 – 2018-12.

FF-SAR parameters:
- No zero padding applied: 1
- Processed bandwidth factor: 1
- Multilook posting rate: 500 Hz (=14m posting distance)
- Retrackers: Threshold, ALES+ (embed zero padding x2)

Water surface height processing:
- Geoid model: Eigen-6C4
- No range/tide corrections applied
- Migration of Cryosat-2 meas. along mean river profile to gauge location



Figure 1: Study are over the Amazon basin. The processing box (zoomed in) is 1.5 ×1.0 degrees square



Figure 2: (Black dots) FF-SAR/Threshold retracker along the longitudinal path of the river. (Blue curve) Mean river profile derived from the SWORD data base and (vertical dashed line) the location of the ANA gauging station.

First analysis of extracted L2 measurements exhibits some outlier measurements in the FF-SAR data, for both Threshold and ALES+ retrackers (Fig2 & 3). However, the temporal signature of the water level has been fairly captured (Fig. 3), considering the challenging aspect of the study.

Next steps : Try to improving FF-SAR settings (reduce bandwidth factor?) ; Compute real L3 time series ; quantified validation against in situ data



Figure 3: (Black) L2 river water level time series, (bluee) gauging data.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7