Graansilo Maashaven









Urban Configuration







Part I

1

Part II



Methodology
Programme
Design Approach
Main Interventions
Design Elaboration
Reflections



Maassilo: major silo complex





storage, processing and distribution of grain



Developed into an ensemble





relationship to the waterfront



Building phases: 1910 J.P. Stok









Commissioner: N. V. Rotterdam grain silo society

Capacity: 20.000 tones

Style-Typology: Post-eclecticism

Building phases: 1931 J. A. Brinkman & L.C. Van der Vlugt









Commissioner: (AVG) Grain elevator company

Capacity: 44.000 tones Style-Ty

Style-Typology: New Objectivity

Building phases: 1951 J

1951 J. D. Postma



Commissioner: (AVG) Grain elevator company





Capacity: 22.000 tones Style-Typology: Modernism

A monolythic construction





largest in-situ construction in Europe

Process



Basement

Ground floor

Historical Development: Movement of Industry



Present





Future plans





Urban challenge



City scale

Catalyst location

Necessity for flexibility- social resilience

Site scale

Inhibiting site conditions

limited open space, daylight condintions

Industrial Heritage



Research question

How can the Maassilo act both as an **object** of historical continuity, and **urban mediator**?



mixed-use approach combining both public and private

Surroundings: Tarwewijk and Bloemhof







Views from Tarwewijk

Site: Current conditions



Traffic



Accessibility







Structural studies: Monolythic construction





Axonometric study of the silos of the 1st phase, by David van Weeghel

Space plan











Building Analysis: Approach





Value Assessment



Aesthetic Value















Analysis Summary

Brand's layers

Key Values

Rarity value

Surroundings Site Spatial Composition



Structure Skin

Historical value



Space Plan Surfaces Services

Aesthetical value



Key Words

Fragmented/ Duality Ensemble

Monolythic/ Phases/ Technology/ New Objectivity

Colonnade, Funnels, Conveyors, Conceilment

Key Discussions





public & private



mass & movement



readability & conceilment

Silo challenge



Conceiled

Exposed

Design question

How can a new hierarchy of public and private compartments, allow internal movement and daylight conditions without compromising the building's closed-off character?



Cultural value

Highlighting:

ensemble closed-off

Methodology: Scales-Emphasis of interventions



Methodology: references

Circulation

Daylight



Royal Festival Hall

Yale School of Architecture

Methodology: Silo structure as guideline



Floor construction/mezzanines











Programme: Transshipment Society





Programme: breakdown



Logistics

ublic hall emporary exhibition space ervice areas arking space	1080 m2 540 m2 400 m2
ntrance hall ld machinery exhibition ervice areas	380 m2 450 m2
Main conference/ seminar space Board rooms Archive Office space Jeisure/ Living space Headquarters Fervice areas	350 m2 400 m2 175 m2 750 m2 180 m2 150 m2 800 m2
Auditorium Storage Gervice areas Main event space	430 m2 120 m2 215 m2 670 m2 750 m2
Yoyer Voyer Vervice areas	730 m2 900 m2 450 m2
Reception hall Bar/ Restaurant Representatives' rooms Perrace Gervice areas Laundry/ Drying Staff Kitchen space Storage	230 m2 340 m2 470 m2 170 m2 100 m2 85 m2 315 m2

Programme: Adjustments







Working

Event

Leisure/ Education

Exhibition

Service



6 %	Private
26 %	Semi- private
68 %	Public

Design Approach: Starting Points





Ensemble (Public & Private)

Emphasis of public & private profiles in Stok & Brinkmann

Closed- off (Daylight)

Main openings from the roof for providing both diffused and direct daylight



Internal Movement (conveyor belts)

Circulation 'merging' the two buildings based on the past movement of the conveyor belts

Design Approach: Spatial Sequence





Design Approach: Spatial Sequence



Main levels

spatial sequence & circulation



L.

Design Approach: Spatial Sequence

Main levels- voids





L

Design Approach: Further Investigation of new zones





Studies of main volumes and circulation











Design Approach: Concluded scheme























Main interventions: First cut-outs and new load bearing elements



Main interventions: New floors and programme adjustments



Main interventions: Main levels



Main interventions: Main levels



L _ _ _ _





Daylight conditions



Design Elaboration: upper levels











Design Elaboration: roof articulation



Climate zones



Air flows

Temperature	Air flow
sum 21- 25 win. 25-30	800 fpm
sum 21- 25 win. 25-30	800 fpm
sum 21- 25 win. 25-30	1200 fpm
sum 24- 26 win. 22- 24	1200 fpm



Facade strategy: waterfront





Facade strategy: back facade





Facade articulation





Reflections: a still unchartered territory



Reflections: main discussion points

Programme

1. In cases of post-industrial settings of such multilayered historical context and diverse social context, the re-use appoach should investigate a new function, which however allows, new functions to develop and be defined by the needs of the surrounding communities.

Intervention strategy

2. Particularly looking at cases of such immense scale such as the Maassilo, a good design strategy would be to work in layers, constructing a language/hierarchy of interventions, deploying a strategy of multiple scales (from site to surface e.t.c) which remains consistent with the existing spatial qualities.

(That involving the solution of objective necessities, such as new circulation and daylight, to more subjective and commemorative interventions (such as the facade).

Public/ Communal transformations

Socioeconomic transparency

Heritage Commemoration

Thank you

Interventions: Strategy

51

Stok

