

# **Delft University of Technology**

## **Author Correction**

Possible alleviation of symptoms and side effects through clinicians' nocebo information and empathy in an experimental video vignette study (Scientific Reports, (2022), 12, 1, (16112), 10.1038/s41598-022-19729-w)

Meijers, M. C.; Stouthard, J.; Evers, A. W.M.; Das, E.; Drooger, H. J.; Jansen, S. J.A.J.; Francke, A. L.; Plum, N.; van Vliet, L. M.; More Authors

10.1038/s41598-023-42781-z

**Publication date** 

**Document Version** Final published version

Published in Scientific Reports

Citation (APA)

Meijers, M. C., Stouthard, J., Evers, A. W. M., Das, E., Drooger, H. J., Jansen, S. J. A. J., Francke, A. L., Plum, N., van Vliet, L. M., & More Authors (2023). Author Correction: Possible alleviation of symptoms and side effects through clinicians' nocebo information and empathy in an experimental video vignette study (Scientific Reports, (2022), 12, 1, (16112), 10.1038/s41598-022-19729-w). Scientific Reports, 13(1), Article 16392. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42781-z

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# scientific reports



# OPEN :

Published online: 29 September 2023

# Author Correction: Possible alleviation of symptoms and side effects through clinicians' nocebo information and empathy in an experimental video vignette study

M. C. Meijers, J. Stouthard, A. W. M. Evers, E. Das, H. J. Drooger, S. J. A. J. Jansen, A. L. Francke, N. Plum, E. van der Wall, Y. Nestoriuc, E. Dusseldorp & L. M. van Vliet

Correction to: Scientific Reports https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-19729-w, published online 27 September 2022

The original version of this Article contained errors in the dataset, where one participant was incorrectly labelled as Western immigrant and should have been labelled as Native Dutch. Another participant was incorrectly labelled as Western immigrant and should have been labelled as Non-Western immigrant.

As a result, in the Abstract,

"Anxiety was not influenced by empathy or information (Stai-state: p = 0.295; p = 0.390, VAS p = 0.399; p = 0.823)."

now reads:

"Anxiety was not influenced by empathy or information (Stai-state: p = 0.281; p = 0.410, VAS p = 0.387; p = 0.838)."

In addition, in the Results section, under the subheading 'Main and interaction effects of nocebo information and empathy', under the subheading "Nocebo information",

"As demonstrated in Table 4, in controlled models the nocebo explanation did not influence APs' anxiety levels (Stai-state: p = 0.390, VAS p = 0.823), or their feelings of satisfaction, trust, and self-efficacy (p > 0.05)."

now reads:

"As demonstrated in Table 4, in controlled models the nocebo explanation did not influence APs' anxiety levels (Stai-state: p = 0.410, VAS p = 0.838), or their feelings of satisfaction, trust, and self-efficacy (p > 0.05)."

Also in the Results section, under the subheading 'Main and interaction effects of nocebo information and empathy', under the subheading "Empathy",

"As demonstrated in Table 4, in controlled models reassurance of continuing support did not influence anxiety levels (Stai-state: p = 0.295, VAS p = 0.399) but did increase feelings of satisfaction, trust, and self-efficacy (p < 0.001)."

now reads:

Model 1—uncontrolled main effects (+interaction effect if	Nocebo information		Empathy		Nocebo information × Empathy									
significant)	В	p	В	p	В	p								
Anxiety (Stai_state)	0.09	0.260	-0.03	0.694										
Anxiety (VAS)	0.05	0.575	-0.02	0.816										
Probability of specific	-0.06	0.420	-0.25	0.001*										
Intensity of specific	0.05	0.516	-0.24	0.002*										
Coping of specific	0.20	0.011	0.03	0.680	0.20	0.009*								
Probability of non-specific	-0.02	0.765	-0.16	0.043**										
Intensity of non-specific	0.05	0.531	-0.20	0.011**										
Coping of non-specific	0.09	0.273	0.15	0.057										
Probability of partial	0.06	0.426	-0.17	0.032**										
Intensity of partial	0.09	0.289	-0.19	0.016**										
Coping of partial	0.12	0.133	0.08	0.295										
Satisfaction (VAS)^	-0.06	0.462	0.26	0.001*										
Trust^	-0.05	0.492	0.25	0.001*										
Self-efficacy	-0.08	0.324	0.32	< 0.001*										
Model 2—controlled main effects (+interaction effect if	Nocebo information		Empathy		Nocebo information x Empathy		Migrant background (Western migrant vs native Dutch)		Migrant background (non- Western migrant vs native Dutch)		Trait anxiety		Treatment information need	
significant)	В	p	В	p	В	p	В	p	В	p	В	p	В	p
Anxiety (Stai_state)	0.06	0.390	-0.8	0.295			0.13	0.065	-0.06	0.385	-0.46	< 0.001*	0.01	0.941
Anxiety (VAS)	0.02	0.823	-0.06	0.399			0.18	0.100	-0.06	0.379	-0.46	<0.001*	0.01	0.867
Probability of specific	-0.06	0.459	-0.22	0.006*			0.21	0.779	0.10	0.194	0.09	0.263	0.20	0.012
Intensity of specific	0.04	0.591	-0.23	0.005*			0.08	0.325	0.14	0.075	-0.02	0.828	0.13	0.100
Coping of specific	0.20	0.008*	0.05	0.537	0.19	0.016**	0.03	0.667	-0.13	0.109	-0.14	0.065	0.16	0.044**
Probability of non-specific	-0.02	0.760	-0.13	0.092			0.03	0.729	0.19	0.015**	0.20	0.011**	0.09	0.256
Intensity of non-specific	0.03	0.669	-0.19	0.012**			0.11	0.158	0.30	<0.001*	0.15	0.054	0.03	0.715
Coping of non-specific	0.08	0.329	0.15	0.059			0.08	0.291	-0.05	0.521	-0.18	0.031**	0.09	0.276
Probability of partial	0.07	0.404	-0.14	0.082			-0.003	0.968	0.17	0.035**	0.08	0.319	0.18	0.025**
Intensity of partial	0.07	0.339	-0.17	0.033**			0.10	0.203	0.21	0.007*	0.07	0.370	0.14	0.069
Coping of partial	0.12	0.122	0.09	0.248			0.03	0.722	-0.15	0.068	-0.20	0.013**	0.13	0.093
Satisfaction (VAS)^	-0.04	0.612	0.30	< 0.001*			-0.07	0.365	-0.02	0.781	0.02	0.807	0.21	0.007*
Trust^	-0.04	0.604	0.28	0.001*			-0.10	0.203	0.01	0.950	-0.04	0.606	0.17	0.036**
Self-efficacy	-0.07	0.336	0.33	< 0.001*			-0.04	0.642	0.07	0.387	-0.03	0.667	0.08	0.316

**Table 4.** Main and interaction effects of nocebo information and empathy. Significant values are in [bold]. B = standardized beta \*p < 0.01 \*\*p < 0.05 (trend significance). Transformation of these negatively skewed variables did not alter the effects, so the non-transformed variables were maintained.

"As demonstrated in Table 4, in controlled models reassurance of continuing support did not influence anxiety levels (Stai-state: p = 0.281, VAS p = 0.387) but did increase feelings of satisfaction, trust, and self-efficacy (p < 0.001)."

Table 3 contained errors in mean (SD) values for "Migrant background," "Native Dutch," "Western immigrant," "Non-Western immigrant".

### Incorrect:

	Information-Empathy- N=43	Information+Empathy+ N=42	Total N=160	
Variables	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F (df); (p)
Migrant background				(Fisher Exact) p=0.76
Native Dutch	38 (88.4)	33 (78.6)	129 (80.6)	
Western immigrant	3 (7.0)	5 (11.9)	16 (10.0)	
Non-Western immigrant	2 (4.7)	4 (9.5)	15 (9.4)	

### Correct:

	Information-Empathy- N=43	Information+Empathy+ N=42	Total N = 160		
Variables	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F (df); (p)	
Migrant background				(Fisher Exact) p=0.80	
Native Dutch	38 (88.4)	34 (81.0)	130 (81.3)		
Western immigrant	2 (4.7)	4 (9.5)	14 (8.8)		
Non-Western immigrant	3 (7.0)	4 (9.5)	16 (10.0)		

Table 4 contained errors for the "Western migrant vs native Dutch" and "non-Western migrant vs native Dutch". Adjustments have been made in Table 4.

The original Table 4 and accompanying legend appear below.

The original Article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>.

© The Author(s) 2023