

Reply to Lee and Howden

Manson, Abigail L.; Abeel, Thomas; Galagan, James; Chandrabose Sundaramurthi, Jagadish; Shanmugam, Siva Kumar; Palaniyandi, Kannan; Narayanan, Sujatha; Swaminathan, Soumya; Earl, Ashlee M.

DOI

[10.1093/cid/cix751](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix751)

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Clinical Infectious Diseases

Citation (APA)

Manson, A. L., Abeel, T., Galagan, J., Chandrabose Sundaramurthi, J., Shanmugam, S. K., Palaniyandi, K., Narayanan, S., Swaminathan, S., & Earl, A. M. (2018). Reply to Lee and Howden. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 66(1), 160-161. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix751>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

genomics for resistance prediction in India and potentially other endemic regions with diverse lineages of *M. tuberculosis*. By extension, this study emphasizes the critical need to collect strains and categorize the mutations circulating in these regions to better inform such predictions.

Although we reiterate the importance of this work, we have some considerations that warrant further attention. First, we note that the authors apply a threshold of 10 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) distance for “recent transmission,” stating this threshold was derived in previous publications. Given this, they then conclude that transmission “was occurring among patients from the same and not different regions.” We would argue that this inference cannot be made from the data available in this study. The sampling fraction, which corresponds to the proportion of total cases included in the study, is an essential (yet often overlooked) consideration in genomic epidemiology. Previous studies have shown that, as sampling fraction decreases, clustering is underestimated [5, 6]. With a low sampling fraction, numerous potential transmission events may be missed due to failure to observe source or secondary cases. When making inferences about transmission in genomic epidemiology (or deciding which inferences should be made), this is therefore a critical consideration [7]. In the Manson et al study, the authors included samples from 196 unique patients from 2 districts of India that were collected over a 6-year period. Because India accounts for >2 million cases of tuberculosis per year [8], the Manson et al study clearly includes only a small proportion of the total cases that would have been diagnosed in this time. We therefore argue that transmission between districts cannot and should not be excluded. To do so not only sends a potentially erroneous message to regional public health units but also risks promoting a “silo effect,” wherein public health officials within regions overlook risk factors for transmission beyond their administrative borders, which may ultimately prove detrimental to tuberculosis control in India and elsewhere.

We would also caution about the general application of SNP thresholds derived from external studies. Although such thresholds are useful from a public health perspective, it is important to note that their sensitivity and specificity for transmission often depends on local strain diversity (eg, [9]) and may not be readily transferrable across settings. We agree that ≤ 10 SNPs distance does suggest a close genetic relationship; however, it is important to keep in mind that direct person-to-person transmission cannot be ruled in absent more detailed epidemiologic and contextual data.

Notes

Financial support. R. S. L. is supported by a Fellowship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Funding Reference Number 152448). B. P. H. holds a Practitioner Fellowship from the National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia (GNT1105905).

Potential conflicts of interest. Both authors: No reported conflicts of interest. Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Robyn S. Lee and Benjamin P. Howden

Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection, University of Melbourne and Immunity, Melbourne, Australia

References

1. Manson AL, Abeel T, Galagan JE, et al. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* whole genome sequences from Southern India suggest novel resistance mechanisms and the need for region-specific diagnostics. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64:1494–501.
2. Coll F, McNeerney R, Preston MD, et al. Rapid determination of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance from whole-genome sequences. *Genome Med* 2015; 7:51.
3. Walker TM, Kohl TA, Omar SV, et al; Modernizing Medical Microbiology (MMM) Informatics Group. Whole-genome sequencing for prediction of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug susceptibility and resistance: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; 15:1193–202.
4. Cohen KA, Abeel T, Manson McGuire A, et al. Evolution of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis over four decades: whole genome sequencing and dating analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from KwaZulu-Natal. *PLoS Med* 2015; 12:e1001880.
5. Murray M. Sampling bias in the molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002; 8:363–9.
6. Glynn JR, Vynnycky E, Fine PE. Influence of sampling on estimates of clustering and recent transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* derived from DNA fingerprinting techniques. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999; 149:366–71.

7. Guthrie JL, Gardy JL. A brief primer on genomic epidemiology: lessons learned from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2017; 1388:59–77.
8. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2016. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2016.
9. Lee RS, Radomski N, Proulx JF, et al. Reemergence and amplification of tuberculosis in the Canadian arctic. *J Infect Dis* 2015; 211:1905–14.

Correspondence: R. S. Lee, Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, 792 Elizabeth St, Level 1, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia (robyn.s.c.lee@gmail.com).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2018;66(1):159–60

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix750

Reply to Lee and Howden

TO THE EDITOR—We thank Dr Lee and Prof Howden for their letter and for giving us an opportunity to better articulate our interpretation of findings, especially with respect to transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* among patients within 2 southern Indian districts. Based on analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) differences between 223 *M. tuberculosis* strains from 196 patients within the Thiruvallur and Madurai districts of Tamil Nadu, we report recent intradistrict, but no recent interdistrict, transmission of strains among patients. In drawing these conclusions, we limited our interpretation to the data available to us, which showed that the closest SNP distance between *M. tuberculosis* isolated from patients in different districts was 85 SNPs, which is substantially higher than the very small numbers of SNPs (as few as 0) observed when comparing isolates from patients treated in the same district and treatment center.

However, the absence of highly related *M. tuberculosis* between the 2 districts (Madurai and Tiruvallur) does not exclude the possibility of interdistrict transmission. We fully agree that our sample size was extremely small relative to the number of isolates circulating in either studied region and that a larger sample size could

point to cases of interdistrict transmission. In fact, we and others have used similar whole-genome sequences and SNP thresholds to show that strains of *M. tuberculosis* can be carried across great distance, both within countries and even between different continents [1–8]. We also agree that transmission chains are exceedingly difficult to establish across any distance without detailed epidemiological data, which we did not have for this study, and we, therefore, did not attempt to model specific transmission links. We regret that we did not emphasize the limitations of our data for inferring interdistrict transmission because we agree that misinterpretation of our results could have unintended consequences for tuberculosis control efforts. However, our results suggest that attention to local infection control is in order.

Notes

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts. All authors have submitted

the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Abigail L. Manson,¹ Thomas Abeel,^{1,2} James Galagan,^{3,4} Jagadish Chandrabose Sundaramurthi,⁵ Siva Kumar Shanmugam,⁵ Kannan Palaniyandi,⁵ Sujatha Narayanan,⁵ Soumya Swaminathan,⁵ and Ashlee M. Earl¹

¹Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts; ²Delft Bioinformatics Lab, Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands; ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, and ⁴National Emerging Infectious Diseases Laboratory, Boston University, Massachusetts; and ⁵National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India

References

1. Rullán JV, Herrera D, Cano R, et al. Nosocomial transmission of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Spain. *Emerg Infect Dis* **1996**; 2:125–9.
2. Casali N, Nikolayevskyy V, Balabanova Y, et al. Evolution and transmission of drug-resistant tuberculosis in a Russian population. *Nat Genet* **2014**; 46:279–86.
3. Walker TM, Lalor MK, Broda A, et al. Assessment of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission in Oxfordshire, UK, 2007–12, with whole pathogen genome sequences: an observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* **2014**; 2:285–92.

4. Williams OM, Abeel T, Casali N, et al. Fatal nosocomial MDR TB identified through routine genetic analysis and whole-genome sequencing. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2015**; 21:1082–4.
5. De Beer JL, Kodmon C, van der Werf MJ, van Ingen J, van Soolingen D; Participants EM-TMSP. Molecular surveillance of multi- and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis transmission in the European Union from 2003 to 2011. *Euro Surveill* **2014**; 19.
6. Merker M, Blin C, Mona S, et al. Evolutionary history and global spread of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Beijing lineage. *Nat Genet* **2015**; 47:242–9.
7. Anderson LE, Tamne S, Brown T, et al. Transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in the UK: a cross-sectional molecular and epidemiological study of clustering and contact tracing. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2014**; 14:406–15.
8. Coscolla M, Barry PM, Oeltmann JE, et al. Genomic epidemiology of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* during transcontinental spread. *J Infect Dis* **2015**; 212:302–10.

Correspondence: A. M. Earl, Broad Institute of MIT & Harvard, 415 Main St, Cambridge, MA 02142 (aearl@broadinstitute.org).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® **2018;66(1):160-1**

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix751