

Interrogation of Oxidative Pulsed Methods for the Stabilization of Copper Electrodes for CO₂ Electrolysis

Kok, Jesse; de Ruiter, Jim; van der Stam, Ward; Burdyny, Thomas

DOI [10.1021/jacs.4c06284](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c06284)

Publication date 2024

Document Version Final published version

Published in Journal of the American Chemical Society

Citation (APA)

Kok, J., de Ruiter, J., van der Stam, W., & Burdyny, T. (2024). Interrogation of Oxidative Pulsed Methods for the Stabilization of Copper Electrodes for CO₂ Electrolysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.*
https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c06284 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c06284>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Interrogation of Oxidative Pulsed Methods for the Stabilization of Copper Electrodes for CO2 Electrolysis

[Jesse](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jesse+Kok"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Kok, Jim de [Ruiter,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jim+de+Ruiter"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ward van der [Stam,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ward+van+der+Stam"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Thomas [Burdyny](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Thomas+Burdyny"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-10-0)

Cite This: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2024, 146, [19509−19520](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/jacs.4c06284&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?ref=pdf) ACCESS IN THE META** [Metrics](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?goto=articleMetrics&ref=pdf) & More **Article [Recommendations](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?goto=recommendations&?ref=pdf) Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?goto=supporting-info&ref=pdf)** ABSTRACT: Using copper (Cu) as an electrocatalyst uniquely Long-Term Chemical oxidation produces multicarbon products (C_{2+} -products) during the CO_2 Pulsed Operation anne annan Michie 28888

reduction reaction (CO2RR). However, the CO2RR stability of Cu is presently 3 orders of magnitude shorter than required for commercial operation. One means of substantially increasing Cu catalyst lifetimes is through periodic oxidative processes, such as cathodic−anodic pulsing. Despite 100-fold improvements, these oxidative methods only delay, but do not circumvent, degradation. Here, we provide an interrogation of chemical and electrochemical Cu oxidative processes to identify the mechanistic processes leading to stable CO2RR through electrochemical and *in situ* Raman spectroscopy measurements. We first examine chemical oxidation using an open-circuit potential (OCP), identifying that

copper oxidation is regulated by the transient behavior of the OCP curve and limited by the rate of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). Increasing O_2 flux to the cathode subsequently increased ORR rates, both extending lifetimes and reducing "off" times by 3fold. In a separate approach, the formation of $Cu₂O$ is achieved through electrochemical oxidation. Here, we establish the minimum electrode potentials required for fast Cu oxidation (-0.28 V vs Ag/AgCl, 1 M KHCO₃) by accounting for transient local pH changes and tracking oxidation charge transfer. Lastly, we performed a stability test resulting in a 20-fold increase in stable ethylene production *versus* the continuous case, finding that spatial copper migration is slowed but not mitigated by oxidative pulsing approaches alone.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

With the use of electrical energy as an input, carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$ can be converted to useful chemical compounds, enabling a more circular industrial carbon cycle.^{[1](#page-11-0)} One enabling technology is $CO₂$ electrolyzers which can form a bridge between renewable energy sources and the production of chemical feedstocks. The product species found at the outlet of $CO₂$ electrolyzers, however, depend on the cathode material used and the reaction medium.^{2−[4](#page-11-0)} Using copper (Cu) allows for the formation of multicarbon (C_{2+}) products, such as ethylene (C_2H_4) , ethanol (C_2H_5OH) , and acetate $(CH_3COOH).$ ⁵ These products are desirable compounds given their market value and function in society. $6,7$

Electrochemical production methods, however, must be cost-competitive in order to flourish, placing an emphasis on performance metrics such as Cu product selectivity, $8-10$ $8-10$ $8-10$ efficiency, current density, and stability. Increases in current densities have been enabled through the introduction of gas diffusion electrodes $(GDEs)$,^{[11](#page-11-0)-[13](#page-11-0)} while placing GDEs in a membrane electrode assembly $(MEA)^{14}$ $(MEA)^{14}$ $(MEA)^{14}$ allows for high energy efficiencies. Unfortunately, Cu stability acts as a major barrier to the commercialization of $CO₂$ electrolyzers, where operational time scales of $>40,000$ h of $CO₂$ reduction reaction $(CO2RR)$ production are needed.^{[15](#page-11-0)} The Faradaic efficiency

(FE) of C_2H_4 and other C_2 -products for most lab-scale systems currently degrades within 10 h of operation due to a mixture of Cu catalyst degradation,^{[16](#page-11-0)−[18](#page-11-0)} impurity deposition,^{[19,20](#page-11-0)} and salt formation. $21,22$ $21,22$ $21,22$ Of these possible forms of failure mechanisms, the degradation of Cu is the most unresolved and severely hampers $CO₂$ electrolyzers.

In recent years, the degradation of Cu catalysts in near neutral media has been investigated more intensively, with a specific focus on the mechanisms resulting in structural deformations, also called restructuring. These mechanisms have been separately identified as oxidation-induced, electrolyte-induced, potential-induced, and carbon monoxide (CO) induced. For example, in the work by Raaijman *et al.*, Cu restructuring was shown to be a result of the initial reduction step of the copper oxide (Cu*x*O) precatalyst to Cu upon applying a potential. 20 Further, when a Cu electrode is

Received: May 10, 2024 Revised: June 21, 2024 Accepted: June 24, 2024 Published: July 5, 2024

immersed in an electrolyte at the open circuit potential (OCP), the dissolution of Cu species can occur as an initial oxide layer forms. $23,24$ These changes to the structural morphology were also observed using *in situ* atomic force microscopy[.18](#page-11-0) Use of reducing potentials has been shown to, besides the dissolution and redeposition mechanism, induce Ostwald ripening, a phenomenon characterized by the growth of secondary particles.^{[25](#page-11-0)} This particle dissolution and coalescence mechanism is frequently described as the first restructuring mechanism found after an oxidation/reduction cycle. *In situ* Raman spectroscopy and fluorescence measurements have shown the partial dissolution of the Cu electrode to be in the form of a copper−carbonate hydroxide complex[.24,26](#page-11-0)[−][28](#page-11-0) The carbonate ions within this complex allow for the direct formation of CO at low cathodic overpotentials.

With time, a transition from dissolution and coalescence into Ostwald ripening^{29,30} leads to a broadening of the particle size distribution. The migration of dissolved copper complexes is made possible here due to a local alkaline environment, where the presence of local OH[−] ions has been shown to induce autonomous oxidation/redeposition reactions involving the metallic Cu species.^{[31](#page-12-0)} In addition, cathodic corrosion could explain the migration of dissolved Cu complexes, similar to platinum[.32](#page-12-0) This mechanism was found to be the main cause of the deteriorating catalyst performance during cathodic polarization on the Cu catalyst, in both argon and CO environments.[33](#page-12-0) Here, nanofragments were formed during the reduction reactions and resulted in an increased CO_{bridge}/ COatop (spatiotemporal-resolved *in situ* Raman spectroscopy) ratio that poisoned the catalyst surface. Without removal of poisoned sites *via* methods such as pulsing, the catalytic selectivity to the desired products degrades. Despite the many proposed ways in which copper dissolves and redeposits over time, there is a consensus in the field that Cu restructuring in general is responsible for the loss of $CO₂$ reduction selectivity, especially to C_{2+} compounds.

Although surface restructuring can be the dominant catalyst degradation pathway, the other possible failure mechanisms should not be excluded immediately. When a system is predominantly suffering from flooding or salt formation, it can be easily tracked through ECSA calculations, changing the local $CO₂$ concentration or simply by studying the GDE postoperation. Evaluating the possibility of impurity deposition is more challenging. Impurities originating from the system materials or electrolyte salts, such as Fe, Zn, or Pb, can be reduced on the cathode electrode during electrolysis. As a result, the system can start showing an increase in the activity for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) over $CO2RR³⁴$ This results in a selectivity change over time, although the characteristics of the changes are different than when Cu restructuring is described as the main cause of catalyst degradation. Studies that exclude impurity deposition as a possible degradation do frequently so by showing the purity of the electrode using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). It can be an excellent method to study the top 0.5−2 nm surface composition, but as the catalyst layer is often in the order of 100 nm, there is a likelihood that the metallic impurities are present beyond the 2 nm detection limit of XPS.³

Electrochemical systems suffering from limited stability caused by the deposition of metallic impurities during reductive phases frequently have a low geometric cathode area to catholyte volume ratio in combination with a low

roughness factor of the cathode catalyst.^{[19](#page-11-0)} These systems often show decay in the FEs of CO2RR products within 10 min of applying a reductive current or potential. Chemically purifying the electrolyte, for example with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), has been shown to increase the catalyst lifetime in multiple works and can be an excellent way to show how much the catalyst lifetime is affected by present impurities. $34,35$ Only by detailed evaluation of the FE trends of the CO2RR products and of the Cu electrode structure post-operation, failure mechanisms can be characterized as a main or minor contributor to the limited catalyst lifetime at different time scales.

In efforts to counteract Cu restructuring and "reset" a Cu electrode system during operation, pulsed electrolysis has been put forward as a method to achieve stable CO₂ reduction.^{36–[38](#page-12-0)} During pulsed electrolysis, the applied Cu electrode voltage is periodically varied between $CO₂$ reducing potentials and more anodic potentials capable of passivating Cu to Cu*x*O. The formation of an oxide layer in the more anodic pulse, for example cuprite (Cu_2O) , is shown to revive the electrocatalytic activity toward C_2 product formation during the cathodic pulse.^{38−[40](#page-12-0)} The reasons for C_{2+} product regeneration are heavily debated. For example, some works state that reducing the $Cu₂O$ to oxide-derived copper (OD-Cu) leads to increased density of grain boundaries and surface defects, promoting the formation of C_2 products like C_2H_5OH or C_2H_4 . Other works, such as the work from Xiao *et al.*, suggest that the promoted electrochemical activity of OD-Cu originates from simultaneously present metallic copper (Cu^0) and oxidized copper (Cu^+) under reducing conditions. $4^{1,42}$ $4^{1,42}$ $4^{1,42}$ However, these statements have frequently been discredited by other scientific works using electron energy loss spectroscopy and XPS as these show no Cu⁺ species to remain present during CO2RR. Notably, the thermodynamic energy barrier for the reduction of $Cu⁺$ to $Cu⁰$ is lower than that required to perform CO2RR, and beyond subsecond time scales at reducing potentials all oxide species should be reduced.^{[43](#page-12-0),[44](#page-12-0)}

As an added complexity, pulsed operation can be applied in numerous ways to form Cu passivation layers, with each approach impacting product selectivity and stability differently. Work from Obasanjo *et al.* set the Cu electrode as an anode, using oxidative currents to electrochemically form Cu*x*O.[36](#page-12-0) Conversely, Nguyen *et al.* utilized 15 min periods of OCP to achieve chemical oxidation of a catalyst deposited onto a GDE, which also renewed the cathode's activity toward C_2H_4 .^{[37](#page-12-0)} In both of the above-described works, *in situ* Raman spectroscopy showed the formation of Cu*x*O when the cathode was exposed to oxidation charges or the OCP.

The improved stability was attributed to the formation of these oxides through both the electrochemical and chemical oxidation steps *via* pulsed operation, but the impacts of precise anodic potentials, the effects of local pH, and chemical oxidation rates can all be varied to give different copper stabilities.

More importantly, while pulsing has shown the ability to increase catalyst lifetimes, activity is still shown to steadily degrade over longer time scales. Thus, net restructuring is either still happening even with pulsed operation, or long operation reveals a previously uninvestigated separate degradation pathway. Greater knowledge and contextualization on the chemical processes involved in pulsed electrolysis for extending catalyst lifetime are a necessity to understand and extend Cu catalyst lifetimes.

Figure 1. (a) Current profile during a constant chronopotentiometry experiment at -100 mA \cdot cm⁻² along with schematics illustrating the changes in chemical state and morphology at the different stages of the experiment. (b) FE curves of C_2H_4 , CO, CH_4 , and H_2 as a function of operating time during the experiment depicted in (a). (c) Current profile during pulsed CO₂ electrolysis where a reductive current at -100 mA · cm⁻² was alternated with an oxidative pulse. (d) FE curves of C_2H_4 , CO, CH₄, and H₂ as a function of operating time during the experiment depicted in (c). Here, 15 min at -100 mA \cdot cm⁻² was followed by 15 min at OCP.

Within this work, we provide context and the underlying chemical conditions governing copper oxidation processes during pulsed electrolysis to maintain the catalyst's activity toward C_2 product formation through a combination of electrochemical and *in situ* Raman spectroscopic measurements. We examine both chemical and electrochemical oxidation processes which generate $Cu₂O$ to formulate the different criteria necessary to extend C_2H_4 production from $CO₂$ electrolysis. By examining oxidation potential, time, and charge, we define the half reactions occurring and the minimum required anodic pulse time. With the formulated criteria, a stability test was designed reaching 18 h of $CO₂$ electrolysis with a minimal drop in the FE of C_2H_4 under realistic reaction conditions.

■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this work, for all experiments unless stated otherwise, we used a 300 nm Cu catalyst layer sputtered onto a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) GDE and operated in a PEEK-flow cell with a flowing catholyte. Using the flowing catholyte and a PTFE GDE avoids both salt formation and flooding instabilities in all tests allowing for the catalyst stability to be assessed individually on the basis of Cu restructuring. Further, no pretreatments or roughening have been done to the sputtered Cu, which oxidizes to copper(II) oxide (CuO) after exposure to air after deposition. A 1 M $KHCO₃$ catholyte was also chosen for these experiments as this represents a stable $CO₂$ electrolyzer configuration as compared to alkaline electrolytes. Notably, Cu catalysts are significantly more stable in higher pH electrolytes, but the use of a neutralpH electrolyte is more representative of future applications.

The PTFE sputtered Cu GDE ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S1), and all the details of the setup are found in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S2 and S3.

Using the above-described setup, we first wanted a continuous operation baseline (Figure 1a) with no pulsing as a benchmark to evaluate Cu stability. We chose a fixed current density of −100 mA·cm⁻² and operated in chronopotentiometry mode, with the measured potential given in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S4. The gas FEs were measured during operation with a gas chromatograph (GC). Here, we will primarily use the C_2H_4 FE as a criterion for stability. While the selectivity of hydrogen $(H₂)$, methane $(CH₄)$, and CO also shows particular trends during degradation and adds further dimensions to the analysis, as the desired target product for the reaction, we will focus primarily on C_2H_4 as a stability descriptor. As shown in Figure 1b, while the C_2H_4 FE starts at 35%, there is a rapid decay within 1 h operation. Followed by an increase in H_2 as was found to be consistent with other works.^{[36](#page-12-0)–[38](#page-12-0)} It is worth noting that these C_2H_4 FEs can likely be increased up to 40− 50% by roughening, using higher current densities or a higher pH as shown elsewhere, but this was not a focus of the work. As a negative current was applied, the Cu is believed to initiate a restructuring response^{[45](#page-12-0)} as the change in chemical state from $Cu⁺$ to $Cu⁰$ forces the material to take up a new unit cell. The high number of uncoordinated atoms at the surface can result in a significant amount of surface defects with this sudden change in the crystal lattice.^{[46](#page-12-0)} The restructuring was studied using *ex situ* SEM analysis (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S5). SEM images show a more agglomerative and dense structure at the sides of the GDE as well as large needlelike structures.^{[23](#page-11-0),[29](#page-11-0),[47,48](#page-12-0)} The CO2RR gas product selectivity curves of three independent experiments continuously operated at current density of 100 mA \cdot cm⁻² are plotted in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S6. The time evolution of

Figure 2. (a) The different performed chronopotentiometry experiments. A constant current density at -100 mA·cm⁻² (black). A pulsed current with 15 min at −100 mA·cm[−]² , followed by 15 min at OCP (red). A pulsed current with 15 min at −100 mA·cm[−]² , followed by 5 min at OCP (blue). (b) FE of C_2H_4 as a function of time for the current profiles depicted in (a). (c) Change in OCP value with time during the oxidative pulse. (d) The *in situ* Raman spectroscopy setup. (e) Raman spectroscopy data showing the intensity of the Cu2O signal at different OCP times. (f) The half reactions associated with the formation of $Cu₂O$ during OCP.

other products can provide additional information on the nature of the catalyst. After 1 h, the FE curves of C_2H_4 and CO experience a decay, whereas the activity of the Cu GDE toward $CH₄$ and $H₂$ increases. The increase in $CH₄$ is especially more noticeable at a higher number of operational hours. Comparing [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S2 and S5, it becomes clear that the electrode underwent a restructuring change as a result of early dissolution and redeposition and Ostwald ripening. With operating time, the spherical-like particles [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S2) were converted into smaller cubic structures [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S5a) at the center of the GDE. The perimeter of the GDE shows, in comparison to the center, a much more agglomerated dense structure ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S5b). A difference in Cu structure has been correlated with different CO2RR product activities.^{[49](#page-12-0)}

We speculate the difference in morphology between the center and perimeter of the Cu GDE to be the result of the uneven potential distribution across the GDE, resulting in directional migration of dissolved and redeposited species.

Looking at our continuous operation benchmark, we then wanted to confirm that other failure modes (impurity deposition, salt formation, and flooding) were not dominant on these time scales. Some conclusions can be taken from the selectivity trends displayed in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S6. As deposited metallic impurities should suppress the formation of all hydrocarbons, impurity deposition is not likely to be a dominating factor in the observed results. This is to be expected as the geometric area of the electrode−to−catholyte volume ratio of our electrochemical cell (2 cm⁻¹), the roughness factor of the

used GDE (>12) as determined by an ECSA analysis [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S7 and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S2), and the time scale of the observed change in selectivity all suggest the impurity deposition to be a less plausible explanation of our results. As a confirmatory analysis, with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), no metallic impurities were found to accumulate on the catalyst surface within the detection limits of the technique ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S8 and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S3).

Furthermore, no salt particles were found on the GDE after the test ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S9). Hence, the observed trend of product selectivity is attributed to the morphology and chemical state change of copper with operating time.

We also assessed the comparable rate of C_2H_4 decay as a function of catalyst layer thickness, applied current density, and catholyte pH [\(Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S10−S12) all of which will impact the amount of Cu restructuring and the stability during operation. The results show a clear relation between catalyst layer thickness and stability, with thicker catalyst maintaining higher stability during operation. Although a higher current density proved to be detrimental in terms of stability for a thin Cu layer (100 nm), thicker catalyst appeared to show improved stability and starting FE of C_2H_4 with increasing current density in the first operational hour. These improvements in performance metrics are suspected to be a result of the increase in local pH with higher current densities as this is known to suppress HER.⁵⁰ However, going beyond a certain current density does not pay back with improved stability. Similarly, utilizing a higher pH catholyte, for example 0.5 M K_2CO_3 (pH

 $= 12$), resulted in both a more stable and more selective C_2H_4 production as compared to the 1 M KHCO₃ catholyte ($pH =$ 8.3). Understanding the effect of the above evaluated parameters on the lifetime of Cu catalyst allows for the design of an experimental setup that can assess the stability in a low number of operational hours.

As discussed before, (electro)chemical oxidation can be periodically implemented to stabilize the FE of C_2H_4 and prevent the HER from becoming more dominant. A general illustration of these pulsed experiments is shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 1c. Here, we fixated the current density of the pulsed experiments at a reductive current density of -100 mA \cdot cm⁻², while varying the conditions of the oxidative pulse. During the oxidative pulse, the voltage on the Cu electrode is more anodic and can therefore result in the oxidation of Cu to $Cu₂O$ depending on the local pH and applied potential as prescribed by the Pourbaix diagram.^{[51](#page-12-0)} An oxidative pulse can be done in two ways (i) either through setting the cathode to OCP resulting in chemical oxidation or (ii) by actively setting the Cu electrode as an anode and applying an oxidative current, resulting in electrochemical Cu oxidation. During pulsed operation, the length and potentials of the reductive pulses and oxidative pulses were controlled by a potentiostat. The effect of incorporating oxidation phases is shown in an initial experiment in [Figure](#page-3-0) 1d. Here, a pulsed operation was applied where 15 min of operation at −100 mA · cm[−]² ("on" time) was followed by 15 min of OCP ("off" time). These cycles then continued for a total $CO₂$ reduction operating time (*e.g.*, time spend at -100 mA · cm⁻²) of 157 min. The potential during operation remained close to −2.2 V *vs* Ag/AgCl for both the continuous and pulsed operation in [Figure](#page-3-0) 1 as shown in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S4 and S13. As shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 1d, a 3% drop in the FE of C_2H_4 was observed for the pulsed operation providing a stark contrast *versus* the continuous operation case [\(Figure](#page-3-0) [1](#page-3-0)a,b). These combined results then provide a baseline to further evaluate the effect of copper oxidation processes.

Chemical Oxidation. With chemical oxidation established to extend Cu lifetimes as elsewhere, 37 we sought to resolve the chemistry governing the oxidation process and the timeresolved growth of the oxide layers. In particular, we performed an electrochemical analysis of the Cu electrode under various "off" times to assess the importance of "off" time on Cu oxidation and C_2H_4 stability. Further, we examine how the addition of oxygen influences the cathode voltage during these "off" periods and cathode stability as this method has been previously utilized to lengthen catalyst lifetimes.³

As shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2a, three scenarios were compared including continuous and pulsed operation, with OCP set during the anodic pulse. Here, the "on" times were fixed at 15 min, while the OCP periods were held at 15 and 5 min ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S13 and S14). When looking at the measured FE of C_2H_4 as a function of operating time in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2b, we can see 5-min OCP periods are an improvement over the continuous case, but less stable than 15-min OCP periods which maintained most of the starting C_2H_4 FE after 157 min of operating time. The FEs of all the gas products are included in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S15. From these findings, it can be determined that chemical oxidation is a slow process, and that 5 min is insufficient to fully oxidize the entire Cu catalyst layer, although partial oxidation is occurring.

Despite the differences in the FE curves of C_2H_4 in [Figure](#page-4-0) [2](#page-4-0)b, morphological changes can be observed to all three GDE samples as shown by the *ex situ* SEM images in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S5 and

[S16.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) The microneedles observed in the latter figure can be attributed to the formation of copper hydroxide (Cu- $(OH)₂$).^{[52,53](#page-12-0)} These nanowires form as a consequence of Cu dissolving shortly before the passivation layer is formed.^{[48](#page-12-0)}

As confirmation that the OCP periods can also regenerate the activity of the Cu catalyst after the C_2H_4 selectivity decreased, we also performed experiments using longer reduction times of 1 h instead of the 15 min in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2b. These results, shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S17, illustrate that a 15-min OCP period can recover catalyst activity.

To understand the relation between the programmed OCP time and Cu stability, we can look at the half reactions occurring on the cathode and track the electrochemical potential during OCP. There is no complete electrochemical circuit during OCP, meaning the cathode potential will equilibrate according to the electrode−electrolyte interface. Under these conditions upon turning the potentiostat off, the cathode potential will drop from the applied potential of −2.2 V *vs* Ag/AgCl to −0.5 V *vs* Ag/AgCl within 1 s ([Figure](#page-4-0) 2c). This process is regulated by the capacitive discharge. After spending 15 min at OCP, the OCP approaches the thermodynamic value for a Cu electrode surface located in a 1 M KHCO3 solution (−0.27 V *vs* Ag/AgCl). The red curve ([Figure](#page-4-0) 2c) stabilizes at this value, whereas spending only 5 min at OCP did not allow this to happen. Stabilization occurs close to a potential window where, as described by the Pourbaix diagram of our catalyst, $Cu₂O$ starts to become the thermodynamically most stable state.⁵¹ As 15 min at OCP allowed for more time to be spend in this potential window, more Cu is expected to become activated through oxidation/ reduction cycles as compared to spending 5 min at OCP. Accordingly, the latter resulted in a mixed active/inactive $CO₂$ electrocatalyst as indicated by the steadily decaying FE of C_2H_4 over time [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 2b), but one that is still more stable than the continuous case.

In situ Raman spectroscopy was then used to understand the correlation between the plotted OCP curves in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2c and the catalyst lifetime as depicted in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2b. The *in situ* Raman spectroscopy setup used for this purpose is shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2d. The setup is explained in more detail in [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) Information (see In Situ Raman [Spectroscopy](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) section). The Cu electrode used to perform Raman spectroscopy measurements differed from those used during electrochemical measurements. Formation of $Cu₂O$ was studied as a function of both potential and time by measuring Raman signals characteristic for the presence of $Cu₂O$. [Figure](#page-4-0) 2e shows a Raman signal at a wavenumber of 630 cm^{-1} , one that can be assigned to $Cu₂O$, after roughly 9 min of "off" time, which can be assigned to $Cu₂O$. In literature, Raman spectra of $Cu₂O$ electrodes display three distinct features at 390, 520, and 630 cm[−]¹ , ascribed to (partially) Raman-allowed lattice vibra-tions.^{[28](#page-11-0)} The 630 cm⁻¹ feature (ascribed to defects in the Cu₂O lattice) is the most intense vibrational band for $Cu₂O$, and the signal-to-noise ratio of the current measurements only allows identification of this characteristic $Cu₂O$ band. The Raman data together with the OCP curves explain that the oxidation of Cu to $Cu₂O$ is not initiated immediately after switching from a reductive current density to OCP. Instead, some time is required for the properties of the local environment to equilibrate back to the bulk environment. This change then allows for the OCP to transient back to a potential that falls within the borders of the $Cu₂O$ region of the Pourbaix diagram.⁵¹ In other words, the fraction of the OCP period

Figure 3. (a) A schematic illustrating the electron transfer between the copper oxidation reaction and the oxygen reduction reaction across the Cu electrode/electrolyte interface. The effect of a low (blue) or high (orange) oxygen flux to the cathode on the rate of the $Cu₂O$ formation is shown. (b) FE of C_2H_4 as a function of operating time for a continuous operation and the two pulsed electrolysis experiments shown in (a). Raman signal plotted between 300 and 850 cm[−]¹ at different OCP times without (c) and with (d) added oxygen supply.

during which the chemical oxidation of Cu is set to take place is largely determined by the transient behavior of the OCP curve.

Within a certain OCP potential range of [Figure](#page-4-0) 2c, Cu oxidation is then continuously occurring (eq 1 below). This oxidation requires sufficient time and is expected to require an oxygen source in the form of hydroxide. These hydroxides conveniently come from the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) which also occurs during the OCP period and is given by eq 2. Although water reduction could also act as a supplier of these hydroxides, we decided to focus on the reaction that is thermodynamically the most favorable to occur, which is ORR. ORR requires electrons, which are no longer provided by the anode during open-circuit operation. The necessary electron exchange then takes place across the cathode/electrolyte interface where the electrons are provided by the Cu oxidation reaction. Thus, the Cu electrode has simultaneous oxygen reduction and Cu oxidation spatially occurring on the same electrode when oxygen is present in the electrolyte [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 2f), and over time will result in full oxidation of Cu as long as sufficient oxygen is available. The process then becomes selflimiting once Cu is fully passivated or oxygen is depleted.

The two half reactions responsible for passivating the Cu electrode, along with the total reaction, are shown in eqs $1-3$ ^{[54](#page-12-0)–[56](#page-12-0)}

$$
2Cu + 2OH^- \rightarrow Cu_2O + H_2O + 2e^- E_{red}
$$

= 0.265 - 0.059 pH (vs Ag/AgCl 3.5 M KCl) (1)

$$
O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 4OH^- E_{red} = 1.03 - 0.059 \cdot pH
$$

$$
\left(\nu s \text{ Ag}/\text{AgCl } 3.5 \text{ M KCl}\right) \tag{2}
$$

$$
4Cu + O_2 \rightarrow 2Cu_2O \tag{3}
$$

We note that the equations above are formulated for neutral and alkaline media specifically. In more acidic environments, the half reactions exchange water molecules and electrons, not hydroxides.

Prior to $Cu₂O$ formation, Cu dissolves at OCP.^{[29](#page-11-0)} Using ICP-MS, it was found that for every minute at OCP, 0.5% of the initial present mass is lost due to dissolution [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S4). Thus, an increased number of oxidation and reduction cycles and increased time spent at OCP lead to more structural deformations that impact stable C_2H_4 production.^{[20](#page-11-0)} A sufficient amount of dissolved Cu is necessary before $Cu₂O$ becomes the thermodynamically most stable state at the bulk pH (8.3) as given by the Pourbaix diagrams for different local Cu concentrations.⁵

To reduce time spent at OCP, it is desired to accelerate full Cu oxidation. Given the hydroxide ion and electron dependency between the two half reactions, the reaction rates of both processes can be controlled by the supply of reactants (Figure $3a$). The change in the rate of Cu₂O formation and the OCP value can then be understood on a fundamental level using Evans (or mixed potential) diagram.^{[51,57](#page-12-0)}

Specifically, $Cu₂O$ formation is a corrosion process and can be described by the intersection of the anodic and cathodic polarization curves of the reactions in eqs 1 and 2, respectively, inside an Evans diagram. The construction of an Evans diagram can help understand the effect of reactant supply to the cathode on the chemical oxidation kinetics. We produced an anodic polarization curve of the Cu electrode by performing a linear sweep voltammetry from −0.4 to 0.3 V *vs* OCP at 20 mV/s ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S18). This potential sweep was chosen to first remove the already existing oxide layer. Cu oxidation then initiates at −0.28 V *vs* Ag/AgCl, and a maximum oxidation current is reached at 0.0 V *vs* Ag/AgCl. The 15-min OCP curve starts to flatten out near −0.28 V *vs* Ag/AgCl, further confirming the dependency of chemical oxidation on the transient behavior of the OCP curve. The expected cathodic polarization curve of the ORR is studied from literature and is expected to look similar to that depicted in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S19. Produced oxygen at the anode side that crossed over the membrane to the cathode and the little oxygen content of the catholyte are both the sources of the oxygen consumed by [eq](#page-6-0) [2](#page-6-0).

[Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S20 then shows the expected Evans diagram extracted from [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S18 and S19. In [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S21, the effect of increasing the oxygen content is visualized. The red line represents an anodic polarization curve for Cu oxidation, while the cathodic polarization curves are shown for a "low" (blue line) and "high" (yellow line) cathode oxygen content scenario. The plateau in the cathodic polarization curve is the limiting current density and is dependent on the oxygen availability ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S19). Thus, a greater oxygen presence will shift the cathodic polarization curve up and cause the intersection point of the ORR and Cu oxidation to move to higher rates (*y*-axis coordinate) and a more positive OCP (*x*axis coordinate).[57](#page-12-0)−[59](#page-12-0) With this positive shift in OCP, increased oxygen pressure in the cathode compartment allows the OCP after a reductive current density to transient back quicker to a potential at which $Cu₂O$ formation is allowed ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S18). With this in mind, the potential at which Cu starts to chemically oxidize to $Cu₂O$ is theoretically met faster with the increased oxygen pressure.

We can then conclude that the amount of reactivated Cu in a given anodic time frame is limited by the O_2 content in the cathode compartment ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S20), which likely stems from crossover from the anolyte chamber through the membrane. To better understand these effects and shorten the required OCP time, we then performed the 5-min OCP experiment again [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 2a), but this time with oxygen supplied to the catholyte's headspace at a rate of 5 sccm throughout the entirety of the experiment [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S22).

As shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 3b, we can then see that under a supply of oxygen, a 5-min OCP period is then sufficient to maintain the FE of C_2H_4 as compared to the scenario without added O_2 to the catholyte. After 40 min of CO2RR, the FE of C_2H_4 stabilized at 30% and remained close to this value for another 140 min of operation. Thus, the chemical oxidation process was accelerated with the additional oxygen supply, resulting in a higher amount of $Cu₂O$ after 5 min at OCP compared to when no additional oxygen was supplied. By observing the measured cathode potential over time during OCP [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) [S22c\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf), we can see a quicker thermodynamic stabilization toward the passivated Cu2O potential of −0.27 V *vs* Ag/AgCl. This positive shift in OCP is also expected based of the insights from the Evans diagram as shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S21.

The accelerated process of chemical oxidation with an elevated oxygen content at the cathode is further confirmed with *in situ* Raman spectroscopy data in [Figure](#page-6-0) 3c,d. Here, the

Raman signal between 300 and 850 cm[−]¹ is plotted for different OCP times· The spectroscopy data verify that higher oxygen content near the Cu GDE significantly reduces the minimal required OCP time to start oxidizing Cu to $Cu₂O$. Then, the oxygen-filled Raman setup detected the presence of $Cu₂O$ after less than 2 min at OCP. This duration is substantially shorter than the comparable case without added oxygen (∼7 min). [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S23 shows Raman spectroscopy heatmaps where the Raman signal intensity is plotted as a continuous function of both time and wavenumber.

From [eqs](#page-6-0) 1 and [2](#page-6-0), it is also apparent that the measured OCP value is local pH dependent. This further explains the direction of the curves in [Figure](#page-4-0) 2c. Beyond the potential jump regulated by capacitive discharge, the OCP curve is a result of the local pH equilibrating back to that of the bulk value.⁵⁹ With the local environment becoming less alkaline with time, the equilibrium potentials of [eqs](#page-6-0) 1 and [2](#page-6-0) become more positive. Accordingly, the *x*-coordinate intersection of the polarization curves, the OCP value, becomes more positive with time. The flattening of the OCP curve indicates the local pH is then equal to bulk pH. This, in combination with the previously results, suggests that the fraction of the OCP period during which the chemical oxidation of Cu is set to take place is largely determined by what rate the local pH drops back to the bulk value. The relation between OCP curve, local pH, and growth of $Cu₂O$ is also schematically explained in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S24 using *in situ* Raman spectroscopy data.

In order to further demonstrate the effect of the change in local pH to the measured OCP value, a 1-h CO2RR experiment was performed in 0.5 M K_2CO_3 catholyte, followed by 5 min at OCP while recording the potential as a function of time ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S25). A lower increase in local pH during CO2RR is expected to occur when using K_2CO_3 as compared to $KHCO₃$, meaning equilibration to the bulk pH should occur notably quicker for the former electrolyte. The difference between local pH and bulk pH is then plotted as a function of OCP time for both electrolytes in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S26. [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S25 and [S26](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) show the flattening of the OCP curve to occur as the local pH approaches the bulk pH.

The observations made in this section provide insights in the chemical processes that take place during OCP, how OCP can be utilized to increase the catalyst lifetime and how the variance of different parameters can alter the required "off" time. This "off" time is aimed to be minimized to both increase the capacity factor of the overall process and to reduce the loss of catalyst mass through dissolution at OCP. Further, by monitoring the OCP, the progress of Cu oxidation can be tracked during each "off" period. Interestingly, the requirement for O_2 as a source of Cu oxidation also has implications for the usefulness of this pulsing approach. For example, in larger-scale systems where oxygen crossover across the membrane is either limited or may have spatial variations, it may be hard to guarantee full periodic oxidation of Cu catalysts without substantial "off" periods. These complications motivate more active or controllable Cu oxidation processes such as electrochemical routes in the next section.

Electrochemical Oxidation. A different means of oxidizing Cu is through the use of oxidative currents as a result of setting the Cu electrode as an anode and withdrawing electrons. As a closed electrochemical circuit exists, this method is an electrochemical oxidation approach, but similarly results in the formation of Cu*x*O just like with chemical oxidation. However, the closed electrochemical circuit removes

Figure 4. (a) The FE of C2H4 as a function of operating time for different "off" potential values (*vs* Ag/AgCl) during pulsed electrolysis. (b) The decay in the FE of C_2H_4 after 140 min of operating time (black) and the oxidation charge (red) as a function of programmed "off" potential. (c) Schematic picture showing the difference in $Cu₂O$ growth at two oxidation potentials.

the necessity of consuming the liberated electrons by the ORR. Similar to the previous section, the Cu catalysts can be cycled through reduction and oxidative pulses to periodically recover $CO₂$ reduction selectivity toward products such as $C₂H₄$. Despite achieving the same goal of oxidizing Cu, the chemical and electrochemical oxidation processes will impact Cu restructuring and stability differently. Here, we contrast the electrochemical oxidative processes. As the previous section showed the number of oxidized Cu particles to be an important parameter in stabilizing $CO₂$ reduction through varying the length of the OCP period and oxygen levels, the same was believed to uphold for electrochemical oxidation with regards to potential. To assess this, CO2RR was performed for an hour at a reductive current density of −100 mA·cm[−]² , followed by 30 s at various "off" potentials ranging from −0.27 to −0.31 V *vs* Ag/AgCl [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S27a). These potentials fall within the measured OCP range in the previous section. These cathodic and anodic cycles were repeated for a total operating time of 3 h. In these experiments, however, the flow of electrons between the anode and cathode is not restrictive, and the oxidative current on the Cu electrode can be measured using the potentiostat ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S27b).

The FE of C_2H_4 as a function of operating time for these various potential tests is shown in Figure 4a. Although only a minor difference of 10 mV between the oxidating potentials existed, differences in the effect on the catalyst lifetime were clearly visible (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S28 for all gaseous products). In all cases, despite minor reduction in the ethylene FEs, a clear increase in H_2 is seen in all cases after 1 h of operation. This indicates that for this pulsed approach, an operating time of 1 h using a 300 nm thick Cu layer is too long and will negatively impact CO2RR product formation overall.

More information can also be gained by observing the measured current during the electrochemical oxidation process at various potentials. As shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S27b, for each of the anodic potential steps there is a decaying current curve over time from which a sampled-current voltammogram can be derived.^{[60](#page-12-0)} These current measurements can be analyzed to define the total accumulated charge (Figure 4b, red), which represents the total electrons withdrawn from the Cu electrode at each oxidation potential. When plotting the decay in the FE of C2H4 after 140 min of operation *versus* the total oxidative charge passed, there is a clear correlation (Figure 4b, black). These results indicate that a set potential of at least −0.28 V *vs* Ag/AgCl is required to sufficiently oxidize the entirety of the Cu electrode requiring a charge of roughly 16 mC for the electrode area and morphology. Potentials more negative than this value resulted in a lower FE of C_2H_4 after the three operational hours ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S27d). Interestingly, however, every "off" potential did result in a measured oxidation charge as shown in Figure 4c.

Potentially, similar to chemical oxidation, the degree to which the catalyst activity is maintained depends on the number of oxidized Cu particles during the oxidation periods. With the "off" potentials becoming more anodic, the measured oxidation charge increased, oxidation charge is proportional to the extent of passivation as illustrated in Figure 4d. Hence, the difference in the observed Cu catalyst lifetime with different applied oxidation potentials could be correlated, similar to chemical oxidation, to the degree to which Cu was oxidized.

Another possible reason for the detection of oxidation charge but a lack of C_2H_4 production stability with time would be the local pH effect on the stability of the different chemical states of Cu. Right after a reductive phase, the high local alkalinity would allow the Cu layer to oxidize even at potentials more cathodic than the −0.28 V *vs* Ag/AgCl which was based on the bulk pH. As the activity of CO2RR dropped to zero during this anodic phase and the oxidation reaction of Cu

Figure 5. (a) Current profile during a constant chronopotentiometry experiment at −100 mA cm[−]² along with schematics illustrating the changes in chemical state and morphology at the different stages of the experiment. (b−d) *Ex situ* postmortem SEM images of perimeter (b, 30,000× magnified and c, 25,000 \times magnified) and center (d, 25,000 \times magnified) of Cu GDE that was subjected to the current profile in (a). (e) Current profile during pulsed CO2 electrolysis where a 30-min reductive current at −100 mA cm[−]² was followed by a 30 s oxidative pulse of 0.524 V *vs* RHE. (f,g) *Ex situ* postmortem SEM images of perimeter (f, 10,000× magnified) and center (g, 13,000× magnified) of Cu GDE that was subjected to the current profile in (d). (h) FE of gas products as a function of operating time during stability test. (i) Schematic illustrating the directional dissolution and migration as a result of the uneven potential distribution.

consumes OH[−] ions, the local pH fell back to that of the bulk. With that in mind, during the 30 s "off" time, the catalyst layer could have moved from a condition in which $Cu₂O$ was stable, to metallic Cu being the more stable phase. The likelihood of this happening increases with more cathodic "off" potentials, as these are more near the borders of the $Cu/Cu₂O$ stability regions in the Pourbaix diagram anyway.

The approach of using chronoamperometry to oxidize your catalyst as opposed to chronopotentiometry, with the latter being the most frequently used method in other works, does come with the benefit of controlling your oxidation state more accurately. With chronopotentiometry, the lack of control of potential could result in the (partial) formation of CuO when Cu₂O was the aimed compound.

In order to understand the effect of oxidative pulses on electrochemical surface area (ECSA), we utilized cyclic voltammetry to approximate the ECSA before and after continuous and pulsed electrolysis utilizing electrochemical oxidation. The analysis [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S7 and Table S2) shows no significant difference in the change of ECSA over time between the two types of operations, indicating that the observed stability difference is not driven by the surface area of the catalyst.^{[61](#page-12-0)}

The Raman data were visualized using a heatmap and are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S29. The applied potential is plotted above the heatmap, showing the distinct reduction and oxidation phases.

Stability Test. In the previous sections, pulsed electrolysis, both using chemical oxidation and electrochemical oxidation, was proven to lengthen the catalyst lifetime. Due to the choice of Cu catalyst layer thickness, catholyte pH, and current density, the effects of pulsed electrolysis could already be made visible in a small number of operational hours. In this section, pulsed electrolysis was used to perform a stability test. The changes made to the setup and materials to conduct the stability test are inSupporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf).

As of all the other results, the outcome of the stability test was compared to the continuous operation case. The current profile of the continuous operation along with the expected change in chemical state and morphology is shown in Figure 5a. SEM images of the perimeter and center of the Cu GDE that was subjected to the current profile in Figure 5a are shown in Figure 5b-d. The GDE's perimeter shows a rougher more agglomerated surface consisting of nanofragments. To further illustrate the potential of maintaining catalyst activity toward C_2H_4 , 30 min at −100 mA · cm⁻² were followed by 30 s of oxidative pulses at 0.524 V *vs* RHE (Figure 5e). The resulting potential curve is shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S30. And although this approach delays Cu restructuring as Figure 5e suggests, Figure 5f,g still shows restructuring to have occurred. If one compares

the center of the fresh as-sputtered Cu GDE in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S1 and [5](#page-9-0)f, it appears the center orientated copper particles have migrated to the external parts of the electrode, closest to the current collectors ([Figure](#page-9-0) 5i). Literature suggests some dissolution, migration, and deposition occur during operation and in the oxidation/reduction process, resulting in material movement with each cycle.^{[20](#page-11-0),[48](#page-12-0)} This migration of Cu can be overcome or limited through a variety of approaches, which are then expected to extend C_2H_4 further. For example, adding further Cu catalysts would prevent voltage disparities across the electrode, 62 limiting preferential redeposition of Cu to the current collector regions. Additionally, preventing dissolved copper from entering the bulk electrolyte would limit movement per oxidation/reduction cycle. More *ex situ* SEM images are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S31. The spatial migration of Cu due to voltage variations is also expected to worsen at elevated

current densities as surface voltages would become even more uneven. The effect of aggravated restructuring at elevated current densities on the selectivity curves of CO2RR gas products has been previously and shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S11. We then stress that overcoming the observed failure mechanism is a first step to identifying and characterizing further mechanisms, and then facilitating higher current density stability tests.

[Figure](#page-9-0) 5h shows the FE curves of the gas products with operating time. The full product distribution was retrieved at three distinguished operational times and can be found in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S32, along with a H NMR spectrum of the liquid products found in the catholyte in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S33. In the first operating hours, the Cu catalyst became more active toward C_2H_4 . Potentially due to an increase in the number of active sites and performing chronopotentiometry at a fixed current density. The optimal current density for C_2H_4 can partially shift over time. After 18 h, the FE of C_2H_4 experiences a firm drop. Within 2 h, the FE of C_2H_4 dropped to 15%. Due to the extent of the restructuring, additional oxidation/reduction cycles would temporarily increase the C_2H_4 selectivity, but this was followed by a significant decay. Hence, it does not allow for the regeneration of the C_2H_4 selectivity back to former values.

With the time dependent selectivity of all gas products as a function of time plotted in [Figure](#page-9-0) 5h, it is noteworthy to mention the decrease in CO production with time. The very same observation was made for the previously 3-h electrochemical pulsed operation tests as well. The steady decrease in CO could indicate a decrease in $CO₂$ to CO catalytic sites, that then eventually shuts down C_2 -hydrocarbon production. Similarly, as CO decreases, H_2 and CH₄ increase, potentially implying that additional sites begin to favor these products. Previous works, for example, have shown that high or low ratios of Cu(100) to Cu(111) will promote C_2H_4 or CH₄ and H2 formation, respectively, and the site distribution is known to change over time.^{[49](#page-12-0)} Tracking products, like CO, may then also be used to detect early failure of the Cu catalyst. With lab tests moving into the 100s or 1000s hour range, such methodologies for early detection are important to increase throughput.

■ **CONCLUSION**

The sustainable production of C_2 hydrocarbons through CO_2 electrolysis suffers from stability issues as Cu reconstructs and deactivates under a negative applied potential. In this work, we provided a deeper understanding of the chemical processes

that occur during both chemical oxidation and electrochemical oxidation during pulsed electrolysis as a method to increase the lifetime of Cu catalysts for CO2RR. Specific system design choices allowed for a low number of operational hours to be sufficient for the study of the catalyst degradation. By varying the "off" time, the chemical oxidation of Cu to $Cu₂O$ was found to be kinetically limited by the oxygen flux to the cathode. This was confirmed by *in situ* Raman spectroscopy. Lengthening the catalyst lifetime using electrochemical oxidation was largely dependent on the programmed "off" potential. Here, the chosen "off" potentials, although only 10 mV apart, resulted in very different ethylene selectivity trends as a function of time. Although the closure of the electrochemical circuit during electrochemical oxidation changes the number of reactions involved, for both chemical and electrochemical oxidation it is the total reactivated area that is believed to play a key role in lengthening the catalyst lifetime. A stability test with implemented electrochemical oxidation periods achieved a stable FE of C2H4 of ∼38% for 18 h of operation. It appeared from the SEM images that the high number of oxidation/reduction cycles resulted in a large number of copper fragments dissolving and redepositing on the sides of the GDE. Looking into strategies to minimize this process is believed to hold great value for lengthening copper lifetimes during both pulsed and constant electrolysis.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT Data Availability Statement**

All data are made available in the manuscript and the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf). Raw data made available through deposition on the 4TU.Centre.

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?goto=supporting-info)

Details on the setup and materials used, SEM images, full product distribution of the stability test, Faradaic efficiency plots of all gas products, EDS data [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Thomas Burdyny − *Materials for Energy Conversion and Storage (MECS), Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft* 2629 HZ, The Netherlands; [orcid.org/0000-0001-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8057-9558) [8057-9558](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8057-9558); Email: T.E.Burdyny@tudelft.nl

Authors

- Jesse Kok − *Materials for Energy Conversion and Storage (MECS), Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft 2629 HZ, The Netherlands*
- Jim de Ruiter − *Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science & Institute for Sustainable and Circular Chemistry, Utrecht University, Utrecht 3584 CG, The Netherlands*
- Ward van der Stam − *Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science & Institute for Sustainable and Circular Chemistry, Utrecht University, Utrecht* 3584 CG, The Netherlands; ● [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8155-5400) [0001-8155-5400](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8155-5400)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.4c06284](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c06284?ref=pdf)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to acknowledge the NWO for providing the FlexEChem Grant (NWA.1237.18.002) *via* the NWA-themed call "Opslag en conversie". Furthermore, the authors would like to thank Siddhartha Subramanian, Pranav Karanth, and Arij Makhzoum for the SEM images and the results displayed in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c06284/suppl_file/ja4c06284_si_001.pdf) S10 and S11.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Okoye-Chine, C. G.; Otun, K.; Shiba, N.; Rashama, C.; Ugwu, S. N.; Onyeaka, H.; Okeke, C. T. [Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2022.102099) of Carbon Dioxide into Fuels�A [Review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2022.102099) *J. CO2 Util.* 2022, *62*, 102099.

(2) Billy, J. T.; Co, A. C. [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b02373?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Parameters Influencing Hydrocarbon Selectivity during the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b02373?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Conversion of [CO](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b02373?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) 2. *ACS Catal.* 2017, *7* (12), 8467−8479.

(3) *Modern Aspects of Electrochemistry*, Vayenas, C. G.; White, R. E.; Gamboa-Aldeco, M. E.; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2008; Vol. *42*. DOI: .

(4) Sassenburg, M.; De Rooij, R.; Nesbitt, N. T.; Kas, R.; Chandrashekar, S.; Firet, N. J.; Yang, K.; Liu, K.; Blommaert, M. A.; Kolen, M.; Ripepi, D.; Smith, W. A.; Burdyny, T. [Characterizing](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c00160?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO ² Reduction Catalysts on Gas Diffusion Electrodes: [Comparing](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c00160?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activity, [Selectivity,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c00160?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Stability of Transition Metal Catalysts. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2022, *5* (5), 5983−5994.

(5) Hori, Y.; Murata, A.; Takahashi, R. Formation of [Hydrocarbons](https://doi.org/10.1039/f19898502309) in the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/f19898502309) Reduction of Carbon Dioxide at a Copper [Electrode](https://doi.org/10.1039/f19898502309) in Aqueous Solution. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* 1989, *85* (8), 2309.

(6) Gonçalves, M. R.; Gomes, A.; Condeço, J.; Fernandes, T. R. C.; Pardal, T.; Sequeira, C. A. C.; Branco, J. B. [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.04.015) Conversion of CO2 to C2 [Hydrocarbons](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.04.015) Using Different Ex Situ Copper [Electrodeposits.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.04.015) *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, *102*, 388−392.

(7) Kibria, M. G.; Edwards, J. P.; Gabardo, C. M.; Dinh, C.; Seifitokaldani, A.; Sinton, D.; Sargent, E. H. [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807166) Co2 Reduction into Chemical Feedstocks: From [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807166) Electro[catalysis](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807166) Models to System Design. *Adv. Mater* 2019, *31*, 31.

(8) Ren, D.; Deng, Y.; Handoko, A. D.; Chen, C. S.; Malkhandi, S.; Yeo, B. S. Selective [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs502128q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Ethylene and Ethanol on [Copper\(I\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs502128q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Oxide Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* 2015, *5* (5), 2814−2821.

(9) Heenen, H. H.; Shin, H.; Kastlunger, G.; Overa, S.; Gauthier, J. A.; Jiao, F.; Chan, K. The [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE01485H) for Acetate Formation in [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE01485H) CO (2) Reduction on Cu: Selectivity with Potential, pH, and [Nanostructuring.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE01485H) *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2022, *15* (9), 3978− 3990.

(10) Chen, C.; Li, Y.; Yu, S.; Louisia, S.; Jin, J.; Li, M.; Ross, M. B.; Yang, P. Cu-Ag Tandem Catalysts for High-Rate CO2 [Electrolysis](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.07.009) toward [Multicarbons.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.07.009) *Joule* 2020, *4* (8), 1688−1699.

(11) García De Arquer, F. P.; Dinh, C.-T.; Ozden, A.; Wicks, J.; McCallum, C.; Kirmani, A. R.; Nam, D.-H.; Gabardo, C.; Seifitokaldani, A.; Wang, X.; Li, Y. C.; Li, F.; Edwards, J.; Richter, L. J.; Thorpe, S. J.; Sinton, D.; Sargent, E. H. CO 2 [Electrolysis](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay4217) to [Multicarbon](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay4217) Products at Activities Greater than 1 A Cm [−]² . *Science* 2020, *367* (6478), 661−666.

(12) Burdyny, T.; Smith, W. A. CO $_2$ Reduction on [Gas-Diffusion](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE03134G) Electrodes and Why Catalytic [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE03134G) Must Be Assessed at [Commercially-Relevant](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE03134G) Conditions. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2019, *12* (5), 1442−1453.

(13) Nguyen, T. N.; Dinh, C.-T. Gas Diffusion [Electrode](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00230E) Design for [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00230E) Carbon Dioxide Reduction. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, *49* (21), 7488−7504.

(14) Gabardo, C. M.; O'Brien, C. P.; Edwards, J. P.; McCallum, C.; Xu, Y.; Dinh, C.-T.; Li, J.; Sargent, E. H.; Sinton, D. [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.07.021) Carbon Dioxide [Electroreduction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.07.021) to Concentrated Multi-Carbon

Products Using a [Membrane](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.07.021) Electrode Assembly. *Joule* 2019, *3* (11), 2777−2791.

(15) Schreiber, M. W. Industrial CO2 [Electroreduction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2023.101438) to Ethylene: Main Technical [Challenges.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2023.101438) *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 2024, *44*, 101438.

(16) Jung, H.; Lee, S. Y.; Lee, C. W.; Cho, M. K.; Won, D. H.; Kim, C.; Oh, H.-S.; Min, B. K.; Hwang, Y. J. [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11237?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Fragmentation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11237?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Cu ² O Nanoparticles Enhancing Selective C−C Coupling from CO ² [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11237?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141* (11), 4624−4633.

(17) Galeano, C.; Meier, J. C.; Peinecke, V.; Bongard, H.; Katsounaros, I.; Topalov, A. A.; Lu, A.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J.; Schüth, F. Toward Highly Stable [Electrocatalysts](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja308570c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) via Nanoparticle Pore [Confinement.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja308570c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134* (50), 20457−20465.

(18) Simon, G. H.; Kley, C. S.; Roldan Cuenya, B. [Potential-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202010449)Dependent [Morphology](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202010449) of Copper Catalysts During CO₂ Electroreduction Revealed by In Situ Atomic Force [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202010449) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2021, *60* (5), 2561−2568.

(19) Clark, E. L.; Resasco, J.; Landers, A.; Lin, J.; Chung, L.-T.; Walton, A.; Hahn, C.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Bell, A. T. [Standards](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Protocols for Data [Acquisition](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Reporting for Studies of the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reduction of Carbon Dioxide. *ACS Catal.* 2018, *8* (7), 6560−6570.

(20) Raaijman, S. J.; Arulmozhi, N.; Koper, M. T. M. [Morphological](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c13989?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Stability of Copper Surfaces under Reducing [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c13989?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2021, *13* (41), 48730−48744.

(21) Yang, K.; Kas, R.; Smith, W. A.; Burdyny, T. [Role](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the [Carbon-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Gas Diffusion Layer on Flooding in a Gas Diffusion Electrode Cell for [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO ² Reduction. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2021, *6* (1), 33−40.

(22) Garg, S.; Xu, Q.; Moss, A. B.; Mirolo, M.; Deng, W.; Chorkendorff, I.; Drnec, J.; Seger, B. How Alkali [Cations](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE03725D) Affect Salt [Precipitation](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE03725D) and CO ₂ Electrolysis Performance in Membrane Electrode Assembly [Electrolyzers.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE03725D) *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2023, *16* (4), 1631−1643.

(23) Vavra, J.; Shen, T.; Stoian, D.; Tileli, V.; Buonsanti, R. [Real](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202011137)time Monitoring Reveals [Dissolution/Redeposition](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202011137) Mechanism in Copper [Nanocatalysts](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202011137) during the Initial Stages of the CO $_2$ Reduction [Reaction.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202011137) *Angew. Chem.* 2021, *133* (3), 1367−1374.

(24) Vavra, J.; Ramona, G. P. L.; Dattila, F.; Kormányos, A.; Priamushko, T.; Albertini, P. P.; Loiudice, A.; Cherevko, S.; Lopéz, N.; Buonsanti, R. [Solution-Based](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-01070-8) Cu+ Transient Species Mediate the Reconstruction of Copper [Electrocatalysts](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-01070-8) for CO2 Reduction. *Nat. Catal.* 2024, *7*, 89.

(25) Drisdell, W.; Lee, S. H.; Acosta, J. A.; Lee, D.; Larson, D.; Li, H.; Chen, J.; Blair, S.; Gallo, A.; Zheng, H.; Tassone, C.; Jaramillo, T. Structural [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3204416/v1) and Degradation of Cu Nanocatalysts during [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3204416/v1) CO2 Reduction Reaction, 2023. . preprint; In Review.

(26) Amirbeigiarab, R.; Tian, J.; Herzog, A.; Qiu, C.; Bergmann, A.; Roldan Cuenya, B.; Magnussen, O. M. [Atomic-Scale](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-01009-z) Surface Restructuring of Copper Electrodes under CO2 [Electroreduction](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-01009-z) [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-01009-z) *Nat. Catal.* 2023, *6* (9), 837−846.

(27) Jiang, S.; D'Amario, L.; Dau, H. Copper [Carbonate](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202102506) Hydroxide as Precursor of Interfacial CO in CO $_2$ [Electroreduction.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202102506) *ChemSuschem* 2022, *15* (8), No. e202102506.

(28) An, H.; Wu, L.; Gijsberg, Z.; Yang, S.; Hartman, T.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Van Der Stam, W. Probing the [Dynamics](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c03172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Low-Overpotential](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c03172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO₂ -to-CO Activation on Copper Electrodes with [Time-Resolved](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c03172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, *144* (33), 15047−15058.

(29) Popovic, S.; Bele, M.; Hodnik, N. [Reconstruction](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202100387) of Copper Nanoparticles at [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202100387) CO , Reduction Reaction Conditions Occurs *via* Two-step [Dissolution/Redeposition](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202100387) Mecha[nism.](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202100387) *ChemElectrochem* 2021, *8* (14), 2634−2639.

(30) Lai, W.; Ma, Z.; Zhang, J.; Yuan, Y.; Qiao, Y.; Huang, H. Dynamic Evolution of Active Sites in [Electrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202111193) CO $_2$ Reduction Reaction: Fundamental [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202111193) and Recent Progress. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2022, *32* (16), 2111193.

(31) Zhao, Y.; Chang, X.; Malkani, A. S.; Yang, X.; Thompson, L.; Jiao, F.; Xu, B.Speciation of Cu Surfaces During the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c02354?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c02354?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reaction*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*202014297359743

(32) Cherevko, S.; Zeradjanin, A. R.; Topalov, A. A.; Kulyk, N.; Katsounaros, I.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. [Dissolution](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201402194) of Noble Metals during Oxygen [Evolution](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201402194) in Acidic Media. *ChemCatchem* 2014, *6* (8), 2219−2223.

(33) Liu, Q.; Jiang, Q.; Li, L.; Yang, W. Spontaneous [Reconstruction](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c14129?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Copper Active Sites during the Alkaline CORR: [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c14129?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Recovery of the [Performance.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c14129?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2024, *146*, 4242− 4251.

(34) Hori, Y.; Konishi, H.; Futamura, T.; Murata, A.; Koga, O.; Sakurai, H.; Oguma, K. [Deactivation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2005.03.015) of Copper Electrode" in [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2005.03.015) Reduction of CO2. *Electrochim. Acta* 2005, *50* (27), 5354−5369.

(35) Wuttig, A.; Surendranath, Y. Impurity Ion [Complexation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00808?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Enhances Carbon Dioxide [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00808?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalysis. *ACS Catal.* 2015, *5* (7), 4479−4484.

(36) Obasanjo, C. A.; Zeraati, A. S.; Shiran, H. S.; Nguyen, T. N.; Sadaf, S. M.; Kibria, M. G.; Dinh, C.-T. *In Situ* [Regeneration](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TA02709G) of Copper Catalysts for Long-Term [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TA02709G) CO $_2$ Reduction to Multiple Carbon [Products.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TA02709G) *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2022, *10* (37), 20059− 20070.

(37) Nguyen, T. N.; Chen, Z.; Zeraati, A. S.; Shiran, H. S.; Sadaf, S.; Kibria, M. G.; Sargent, E. H.; Dinh, C.-T. Catalyst [Regeneration](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c04081?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) via Chemical Oxidation Enables Long-Term [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c04081?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Carbon Dioxide [Reduction.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c04081?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, *144* (29), 13254−13265. (38) Jännsch, Y.; Leung, J. J.; Hämmerle, M.; Magori, E.; Wiesner-Fleischer, K.; Simon, E.; Fleischer, M.; Moos, R. Pulsed [Potential](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106861) [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106861) CO2 Reduction for Enhanced Stability and Catalyst [Reactivation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106861) of Copper Electrodes. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2020, *121*, 106861.

(39) Bui, J. C.; Kim, C.; Weber, A. Z.; Bell, A. T. Dynamic [Boundary](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c00364?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Layer Simulation of Pulsed CO $_2$ [Electrolysis](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c00364?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on a Copper Catalyst. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2021, 1181−1188.

(40) Tang, Z.; Nishiwaki, E.; Fritz, K. E.; Hanrath, T.; Suntivich, J. Cu(I) [Reducibility](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c17668?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Controls Ethylene vs Ethanol Selectivity on (100)- Textured Copper during Pulsed CO ² [Reduction.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c17668?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2021, *13* (12), 14050−14055.

(41) Xiao, H.; Goddard, W. A.; Cheng, T.; Liu, Y. Cu [Metal](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702405114) [Embedded](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702405114) in Oxidized Matrix Catalyst to Promote CO₂ Activation and CO Dimerization for [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702405114) Reduction of CO 2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2017, *114* (26), 6685−6688.

(42) Wang, S.; Kou, T.; Baker, S. E.; Duoss, E. B.; Li, Y. [Recent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtnano.2020.100096) Progress in [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtnano.2020.100096) Reduction of CO2 by Oxide-Derived Copper [Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtnano.2020.100096) *Materials Today Nano* 2020, *12*, 100096.

(43) Lei, Q.; Zhu, H.; Song, K.; Wei, N.; Liu, L.; Zhang, D.; Yin, J.; Dong, X.; Yao, K.; Wang, N.; Li, X.; Davaasuren, B.; Wang, J.; Han, Y. [Investigating](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b11790?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) the Origin of Enhanced C $_{2+}$ Selectivity in Oxide-/ [Hydroxide-Derived](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b11790?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Copper Electrodes during $CO₂$ Electroreduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142* (9), 4213−4222.

(44) Scholten, F.; Nguyen, K. C.; Bruce, J. P.; Heyde, M.; Roldan Cuenya, B. Identifying Structure−Selectivity [Correlations](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103102) in the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103102) Reduction of CO $_2$: A Comparison of Well-Ordered Atomically Clean and Chemically Etched Copper [Single-Crystal](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103102) [Surfaces.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103102) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2021, *60* (35), 19169−19175.

(45) Zhu, C.; Zhang, Z.; Zhong, L.; Hsu, C.-S.; Xu, X.; Li, Y.; Zhao, S.; Chen, S.; Yu, J.; Chen, S.; Yu, J.; Chen, S.; Wu, M.; Gao, P.; Li, S.; Chen, H. M.; Liu, K.; Zhang, L. [Product-Specific](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2020.10.018) Active Site Motifs of Cu for [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2020.10.018) CO2 Reduction. *Chem* 2021, *7* (2), 406−420. (46) Speck, F. D.; Zagalskaya, A.; Alexandrov, V.; Cherevko, S. Periodicity in the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100337) Dissolution of Transition Metals. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2021, *60* (24), 13343−13349.

(47) Zhong, D.; Cheng, D.; Fang, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Zhao, Q. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.143907) the Restructuring and Degradation of Oxide-Derived Copper during [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.143907) CO2 Reduction. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2023, *470*, 143907.

(48) Speck, F. D.; Cherevko, S. [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106739) Copper Dissolution: A Benchmark for Stable CO2 Reduction on Copper [Electrocatalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106739) *Electrochem. Commun.* 2020, *115*, 106739.

(49) De Gregorio, G. L.; Burdyny, T.; Loiudice, A.; Iyengar, P.; Smith, W. A.; Buonsanti, R. [Facet-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Selectivity of Cu Catalysts in [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO $_2$ Reduction at Commercially Viable Current [Densities.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2020, *10* (9), 4854−4862.

(50) Kortlever, R.; Shen, J.; Schouten, K. J. P.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Koper, M. T. M. Catalysts and Reaction [Pathways](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b01559?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for the [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b01559?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reduction of Carbon Dioxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2015, *6* (20), 4073−4082.

(51) Pourbaix, M. Applications of [Electrochemistry](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X(74)80006-5) in Corrosion Science and in [Practice.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X(74)80006-5) *Corros. Sci.* 1974, *14* (1), 25−82.

(52) Lee, S. Y.; Jung, H.; Kim, N.-K.; Oh, H.-S.; Min, B. K.; Hwang, Y. J. Mixed Copper States in Anodized Cu [Electrocatalyst](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b02173?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for Stable and Selective Ethylene [Production](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b02173?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) from CO ₂ Reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140* (28), 8681−8689.

(53) Zhang, Z.; Dua, R.; Zhang, L.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, H.; Wang, P. [Carbon-Layer-Protected](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn3057092?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cuprous Oxide Nanowire Arrays for Efficient Water [Reduction.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn3057092?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Nano* 2013, *7* (2), 1709−1717.

(54) El Din, A. M. S.; El Wahab, F. M. A. The [Behaviour](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(64)80010-4) of the Copper [Electrode](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(64)80010-4) in Alkaline Solutions upon Alternate Anodic and Cathodic [Polarization.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(64)80010-4) *Electrochim. Acta* 1964, *9* (1), 113−121.

(55) Yang, Y.; Han, J.; Ning, X.; Su, J.; Shi, J.; Cao, W.; Xu, W. [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.3328) Stability Improvement of Cuprous Oxide (Cu₂ O) Thin Films in Aqueous Solution: [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.3328) Stability [Improvement](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.3328) of Cu ² O in Aqueous Solution. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2016, *40* (1), 112−123.

(56) Atkins, P. W.; de Paula, J.; Keeler, J. *Physical Chemistry*, 11 th ed.; Oxford University Press, 2018.

(57) Pedeferri, P. *Corrosion Science and Engineering; Engineering Materials*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2018. .

(58) McCafferty, E. *Introduction to Corrosion Science*; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2010. DOI: .

(59) Sauvé, E. R.; Tang, B. Y.; Razdan, N. K.; Toh, W. L.; Weng, S.; Surendranath, Y. Open Circuit Potential Decay [Transients](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2024.01.004) Quantify [Interfacial](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2024.01.004) pH Swings during High Current Density Hydrogen [Electrocatalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2024.01.004) *Joule* 2024, *8*, 728−745.

(60) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*, 2nd ed.; nd ed.; Wiley: New York Weinheim, 2001.

(61) Arán-Ais, R. M.; Scholten, F.; Kunze, S.; Rizo, R.; Roldan Cuenya, B. The Role of in Situ Generated [Morphological](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-0594-9) Motifs and $Cu(i)$ Species in C2+ Product [Selectivity](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-0594-9) during $CO₂$ Pulsed [Electroreduction.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-0594-9) *Nat. Energy* 2020, *5* (4), 317−325.

(62) Iglesias Van Montfort, H.-P.; Li, M.; Irtem, E.; Abdinejad, M.; Wu, Y.; Pal, S. K.; Sassenburg, M.; Ripepi, D.; Subramanian, S.; Biemolt, J.; Rufford, T. E.; Burdyny, T. [Non-Invasive](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42348-6) Current Collectors for Improved [Current-Density](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42348-6) Distribution during $CO₂$ Electrolysis on [Super-Hydrophobic](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42348-6) Electrodes. *Nat. Commun.* 2023, *14* (1), 6579.