

## Short-circuit characteristics of superconducting permanent magnet generators for 10 MW wind turbines

Liu, Dong; Song, Xiaowei; Wang, Xuezhou; Elhindi, Mohamed; Hasanov, Urfan; Gou, Xiaofan; Ye, Changqing

**DOI**

[10.1109/TASC.2021.3056996](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2021.3056996)

**Publication date**

2021

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity

**Citation (APA)**

Liu, D., Song, X., Wang, X., Elhindi, M., Hasanov, U., Gou, X., & Ye, C. (2021). Short-circuit characteristics of superconducting permanent magnet generators for 10 MW wind turbines. *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 31(5), Article 5201005 . <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2021.3056996>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Short-Circuit Characteristics of Superconducting Permanent Magnet Generators for 10 MW Wind Turbines

Dong Liu<sup>1</sup>, Member, IEEE, Xiaowei Song<sup>1</sup>, Member, IEEE, Xuezhou Wang<sup>1</sup>, Member, IEEE, Mohamed Elhindi, Urfan Hasanov, Student Member, IEEE, Changqing Ye, and Xiaofan Gou<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract**—Superconducting permanent magnet generators (SCPMGs) are a potential candidate for 10 MW direct-drive wind turbine applications. This paper presents two 10 MW SCPMG designs using MgB<sub>2</sub> cables for the armature winding and investigates the short-circuit characteristics of the designed SCPMGs. The first part of the results shows that the SCPMGs can double the shear stress of a conventional low-speed permanent magnet (PM) generator (from 65 kPa to 130 kPa) whilst avoiding demagnetization of the PMs in rated-load operation. However, the power factor has to drop to a range of 0.7–0.8. The second part of the results shows that during a sudden three-phase short circuit, the superconducting armature winding is prone to quench and the PMs are likely to be demagnetized in both proposed designs.

**Index Terms**—Demagnetization, MgB<sub>2</sub>, permanent magnet, short circuit, superconducting generator, wind turbine.

## I. INTRODUCTION

SUPERCONDUCTING machines are competitive to be applied in 10 MW or larger wind turbines due to their compactness and low weight [1]–[3]. A general design option is that the DC field winding of superconducting generators (SCGs) is superconducting at cryogenic temperature, whereas the AC armature winding is still made of copper wires and operates at room temperature [4]–[7]. Such called partially SCGs have rotating cryostats and require rotating coupling for a satisfying cryogenic environment [8], [9]. The rotating coupling adds more costs and may reduce the reliability of the cryogenic cooling system.

Manuscript received November 30, 2020; revised January 14, 2021; accepted January 25, 2021. Date of publication February 3, 2021; date of current version March 15, 2021. This work was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China under Grant BK20190486, in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant 2018B02114, and in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant 2019B02914. (Corresponding author: Dong Liu.)

Dong Liu, Mohamed Elhindi, and Urfan Hasanov are with the College of Energy and Electrical Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 211100, China (e-mail: dongliu@hhu.edu.cn).

Xiaowei Song is with the Vestas Wind Systems A/S, Aarhus DK-8200, Denmark.

Xuezhou Wang is with the Department of Maritime and Transport Technology, Faculty of Mechanical, Maritime and Materials Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft 2628CD, The Netherlands.

Changqing Ye is with the College of Internet of Things Engineering, Hohai University, Changzhou 213022, China.

Xiaofan Gou is with the College of Mechanics and Materials, Hohai University, Nanjing Jiangsu 211100, China.

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2021.3056996>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TASC.2021.3056996

An alternative concept, namely superconducting permanent magnet generators (SCPMGs), has been proposed to eliminate the rotating coupling [10]–[13]. The rotor of an SCPMG is with permanent magnets (PMs) at room temperature which excite the main magnetic field. The stator is with a superconducting (SC) armature winding at cryogenic temperature. The SC armature winding carries a large current and boosts the electrical loading of the generator. The generator size can then be smaller compared with conventional low-speed PM generators. This concept has AC loss challenges in the SC winding but its simple structure increases the feasibility from a different perspective [14]–[16]. Good news is that new SC cables with special architectures, e.g., Roebel HTS cables, stranded multi-filamentary MgB<sub>2</sub> cables, laser-scribing-processed HTS cables, are being developed for effectively suppressing AC losses, and thereby increasing the technical feasibility of SC armature windings [17]–[20].

With the assumption that the AC loss problem could be addressed in the near future, SCPMGs still have critical issues to be addressed. The first issue is the power factor. In normal operation, SCPMGs may have a stronger armature reaction than its main field excitation due to large superconducting currents. The influence of armature reaction is reflected by the power factor. A large armature current takes more advantage of the SC material but leads to a lower power factor. Therefore, different power factors result in different normal-operation performances. The second issue is short circuit characteristics. Due to the large fault current, the SC armature winding may be quenched and the PMs may be demagnetized during a sudden short circuit. These two issues in SCPMGs have not yet been investigated in the literature.

This paper presents two designs for 10 MW SCPMGs and investigates the short-circuit characteristics of the designed SCPMGs. Four performance indicators which are important for wind turbine generators are investigated: 1) influences of power factor variation, 2) demagnetization of PMs under normal operation, 3) quenching of the armature winding during a sudden short circuit, and 4) demagnetization of PMs during a sudden short circuit. The results are obtained through finite element simulations with COMSOL Multiphysics.

## II. DESIGN OF SCPMGs

The SCPMGs are designed for a 10 MW direct-drive wind turbine. The rated speed is 9.6 rpm and the rated voltage (line to line) is 3300 V. In line with the concept of SCPMGs, two generator designs are presented. The first design applies tooth coils in the SC armature winding, namely the fractional-slot concentrated winding (FSCW) design. The other design adopts full-pitch coils in the SC armature winding, namely the integral-slot distributed winding (ISDW) design. The numbers of slots per pole per phase are  $q = 0.4$  and  $q = 1$ , respectively.

### A. Armature Winding

Tooth coils are more feasible than full-pitch coils regarding since the minimum bending radius of a SC wire limits the bending of the




Fig. 1. Sketch of proposed two SCPM designs. (a) FSCW design. (b) ISDW design.

end parts of an SC coil. The conventional distributed winding concept seems rather difficult to meet the minimum bending radius, especially short-pitch or double-layer windings [21]. The simplest way to achieve SC windings is still tooth coils in the form of racetrack coils. However, tooth coils produce much more field harmonic contents than full-pitch coils and cause additional losses in the PMs [22]–[24]. Full-pitch coils with  $q = 1$  can be made by special end winding bending design to avoid crossing of wires but the imbalance between three phases must be compensated. Then full-pitch coils with  $q = 1$  can also be made similar to racetrack coils and comply with the minimum bending radius. However, full-pitch coils with  $q > 1$  seem too complicated for coil end bending and are thus not considered.

The adopted SC wires are stranded multi-filamentary  $MgB_2$  cables working at 20 K as presented in [18]. This cable is expected to significantly lower the AC loss level. The generator has an outer rotor with PMs and an inner stator with a  $MgB_2$  armature winding. The bore diameter of the outer rotor is fixed to 5.4 m for both designs. The number of pole pairs is equally 20 for both designs and this number of pole pair makes sufficient space for both two different armature winding arrangements. The number of coils is as low as 48 in the FSCW design. Thus more space of the stator slots enables the use of modular cryostats, i.e., each coil has its own cryostat in the same racetrack shape as shown in Fig. 1(a) [5], [25]. The three-phase winding arrangement under five poles is: C+c-c-C+a-A+a-a-B+b-b-B+. The distance between the PMs and the armature winding is short. In the ISDW design, the number of coils is 120. Such a large number of coils do not allow modular cryostats, but one conventional cylindrical dewar that encloses the whole stator can apply, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The winding arrangement under one pole pair is: A+c-c-B+B+a-a-C+C+b-b-A+. The dewar's wall as well as the thermal insulation parts takes up 40 mm thick and thus significantly increases the distance from the PMs to the armature.

## B. Magnetic Circuit

The PMs excite the main magnetic field which could be comparative to or lower than the armature reaction field. To increase the main field, the height of the PMs is set to as large as possible. In this design, this height is 80 mm. The width of the PMs is 690.1 mm, 80% of the pole pitch. The stator teeth are made of iron and the slot area should




Fig. 2. Phasor diagram of a generator with zero d-axis current control.




Fig. 3. Load lines and I-B characteristic for determining critical currents of the  $MgB_2$  winding for generator designs with different power factors.

accommodate the  $MgB_2$  coils. For the FSCW design, the slots should be sufficiently high to make space for the modular cryostats. The stator and rotor yokes are made of iron to confine magnetic flux within the generator. The PMs are assumed to be glued onto the rotor iron without changing the magnetic circuit.

## C. Control Strategy and Power Factor Selection

The rotor is with PMs and thus non-salient. The control strategy of zero d-axis current can be applied due to its simplicity. The armature current is in the quadrature axis and all used to produce torque. In this control strategy, as shown in Fig. 2, the power angle equals the power factor angle. When the armature current increases, the power factor will decrease. If a large current is needed to make better use of the superconductivity, the power factor will become quite low and the amplitude difference between the no-load voltage  $\dot{E}_p$  and the rated voltage  $\dot{U}$  will become quite large. Therefore, four power factors,  $PF = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$ , are selected to reflect the levels of the superconducting armature current. The FSCW and ISDW designs with the four power factors will be modeled and compared.

## D. Determination of Critical and Operating Currents

According to the I-B critical characteristic of the  $MgB_2$  cable at 20 K, the critical current of the armature winding can be determined by crossing the load lines with the critical characteristic line as shown in Fig. 3. The currents on the load lines are the current amplitude of Phase A. The four power factors give similar load lines and the critical currents are all below 900 A.

The maximum operating current is defined as the RMS current that has a safety margin of 25% below the critical current divided by  $\sqrt{2}$ . As indicated in Fig. 4, Table I, the phase current needed for each power factor is much larger than the maximum operating current. In other words, one single  $MgB_2$  cable cannot carry the needed current. The proposed solution is to use multiple  $MgB_2$  cables in parallel to form one turn so that the maximum operating current is multiplied to handle the needed phase current. With the use of parallel cables for one turn, the rated RMS current can be achieved. It is assumed here that the




Fig. 4. Needed currents and maximum operation currents of generator designs with different power factors. (a) FSCW design. (b) ISDW design.

TABLE I  
PARAMETERS OF THE FSCW AND ISDW GENERATOR DESIGNS

Power factor ( $PF$ )	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	
Rated power (MW)	10				
Rated speed (rpm)	9.6				
Rated voltage (V)	3300				
Air gap diameter (m)	5.4				
Mech. air gap length (mm)	6				
Mag. air gap length (mm)	46				
No. of pole pairs	20				
No. of slots	FSCW	48			
	ISDW	120			
Rated RMS current (A)	FSCW	3527	2975	2506	2169
	ISDW	3202	2756	2435	2177
Axial stack length (m)	FSCW	1.215	1.491	2.030	3.293
	ISDW	1.066	1.375	1.846	2.828
Critical current (A)	FSCW	847	853	862	872
	ISDW	688	697	711	734
RMS current density ( $A/mm^2$ )	FSCW	145	102	73	56
	ISDW	110	81	62	50
No. parallel cables for one turn	FSCW	8	7	6	5
	ISDW	9	8	7	6
End winding inductance (mH)	FSCW	1.100	0.908	0.614	0.284
	ISDW	7.200	5.600	4.000	2.100

critical current of the  $MgB_2$  cable does not change too much by binding multiple cables in parallel. The numbers of parallel  $MgB_2$  cables for one turn are shown in Table I. It is noted that more cables for one turn will make the winding manufacturing much more difficult. The advantage is that the AC loss may be reduced if these parallel cables are appropriately arranged.

The RMS engineering current density in the armature winding is summarized in Table I. The lower power factors result from larger current densities which make more use of the SC material. Compared with the current density of copper, which is usually  $3 A/mm^2$  for large machines, SC cables can carry about 20–50 times this current density level.




Fig. 5. Shear stresses and axial stack lengths of generator designs with different power factors.




Fig. 6. Peak values of the short-circuit current and torque of generator designs with the two chosen power factors. (a) Peak current. (b) Peak torque.

### E. Shear Stress and Generator Size

The purpose of using superconductivity technology is to boost the torque density or shear stress of a generator. The shear stress of the FSCW and ISDW designs is plotted in Fig. 5 with the four power factors. The axial stack length is also plotted to show the size of the generator. When the armature current is high and the power factor goes to 0.6, the shear stress of the FSCW design approaches 200 kPa while the shear stress of the ISDW design reaches 225 kPa. The stack lengths are 1.215 m and 1.066 m, respectively. When the armature current goes down and the power factor increases, the shear stress of both designs becomes lower. With  $PF = 0.9$ , the shear stresses of the two designs become 73 kPa and 85 kPa. It should be noted that the shear stress of a conventional low-speed PM generator is about 65 kPa and the power factor is about  $PF = 0.92-0.96$ . To win the battle with the conventional counterpart, an SCPMG needs to double the shear stress of the conventional generator so that the extra cost for superconductivity will be worthwhile. By observing the trends in Fig. 5, the power factors of the FSCW and ISDW designs should go to  $PF = 0.72-0.78$  and  $PF = 0.74-0.80$ , respectively. On one hand, these low power factors make more use of the capacity of SC cables. On the other hand, these low power factors require a larger capacity of the power electronic converter for grid connection. As a further comparison, partially SC generators with an SC field winding and a copper armature winding can produce 179 kPa ( $PF = 1.0$ ) with LTS conductors [9] and 113 kPa ( $PF = 0.92$ ) with HTS conductors [4].

### F. Demagnetization and Permanent Magnet Grades

Three grades of PMs are selected to examine the demagnetization property of the SCPMGs. The three grades all have a remanence of 1.25 T at 80 °C. The lowest grade (G1) starts demagnetization at  $B_{dmg} = 0.3 T$  which is commonly used in conventional PM generators. The highest grade (G3) starts demagnetization at  $B_{dmg} = -0.1 T$  which is rather difficult to be demagnetized. The middle grade (G2) has  $B_{dmg} = 0.1 T$ . The rated-load operation is simulated and checked, and the result is shown in Table II. In both designs,  $PF = 0.9$  and  $PF = 0.8$  allows to use the G1 PM. With  $PF = 0.7$ , the FSCW design has to use G3 PM while the ISDW design can use G2 PM. With  $PF = 0.6$ , the FSCW design has no PM grades to use while the ISDW design can still use G3 PM. This result shows that the FSCW design cannot operate with the power factor of 0.6 due to strong demagnetization.






Fig. 7. Short-circuit current in Phase A (in absolute value) compared with critical currents for the FSCW design. (a)  $PF = 0.7$ , no-load. (b)  $PF = 0.8$ , no-load. (c)  $PF = 0.7$ , rated-load. (d)  $PF = 0.8$ , rated-load.




Fig. 8. Short-circuit currents in Phase A (in absolute value) compared with critical currents for the ISDW design. (a)  $PF = 0.7$ , no-load. (b)  $PF = 0.8$ , no-load. (c)  $PF = 0.7$ , rated-load. (d)  $PF = 0.8$ , rated-load.

TABLE II  
PM DEMAGNETIZED (✓) OR NOT (×) IN RATED-LOAD OPERATION

Power factor		0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
FSCW design	$B_{dm,g} = 0.3$ T (G1)	✓	✓	×	×
	$B_{dm,g} = 0.1$ T (G2)	✓	✓	×	×
	$B_{dm,g} = -0.1$ T (G3)	✓	×	×	×
ISDW design	$B_{dm,g} = 0.3$ T (G1)	✓	✓	×	×
	$B_{dm,g} = 0.1$ T (G2)	✓	×	×	×
	$B_{dm,g} = -0.1$ T (G3)	×	×	×	×

### III. SHORT-CIRCUIT CHARACTERISTICS

After analyzing the shear stress and the rated-load demagnetization of the FSCW and ISDW designs, the power factors of  $PF = 0.7$  and  $PF = 0.8$  are chosen for the study of short-circuit characteristics. Although  $PF = 0.6$  provides impressive high shear stress, it causes strong demagnetization for the FSCW design and elevates the capacity requirement for the power electronic converter. Such high shear stress with a low power factor is not necessary. The high power factor  $PF = 0.9$  cannot make the shear stress remarkably higher than that of a conventional PM generator. Therefore, the power factors of 0.6 and 0.9 are not taken into further consideration.

Three-phase short circuits at the armature winding terminal are simulated through field-circuit coupling models with finite element methods [26], [27]. The end winding inductance with each power factor is summarized in Table I. Both no-load and rated-load short circuits are simulated. The short circuit begins when the voltage of Phase A crosses zero so that the short circuit current of Phase A will be maximum.

#### A. Current and Torque

The peak values of short-circuit current and torque of the FSCW and ISDW designs are compared in Fig. 6. Within the same generator design, the higher power factor increases the peak current and peak torque in both the no-load and rated-load short circuits. The FSCW and ISDW designs have similar peak currents but the FSCW design has higher peak torques. The rated-load short circuit leads to a slightly higher current than the no-load short circuit. The torque difference is a bit large between the no-load and rated-load short circuits. However, the peak torque is still under three times the rated torque and will not challenge the shaft strength of a direct-drive wind turbine. The peak currents are all low and below three times the rated current amplitude. From this perspective, both the FSCW and ISDW designs meet the requirements of peak current and peak torque.




Fig. 9. Demagnetization indicated by colored regions for the two SCPMG designs in the three-phase short circuit, assuming  $B_{dm,g} = -0.1$  T. (a) Under five poles, FSCW design. (b) Under one pole pair, ISDW design.

#### B. Examination for Quenches

The critical currents in Phase A are calculated through the I-B characteristic of the  $MgB_2$  cable. The maximum norm flux density  $B$  in Phase A is retrieved from the finite element solutions and the corresponding critical current  $I_c$  is then found. The short-circuit current in Phase A is compared with the critical current. A quench will occur when the short-circuit current exceeds the critical current. It should be noted that the quench is not modeled in the simulation so it does not make any influence on the short circuit process. The quench check results are shown in Fig. 7 for the FSCW design and in Fig. 8 for the ISDW design. In both no-load and rated-load short circuit cases, the phase current exceeds the critical current in the first cycle. The overcurrent is more in the rated-load short circuits. The ISDW design has less overcurrent than the FSCW design. Changing the power factor does not significantly change the extent of overcurrent. This result indicates that a quench is very likely to happen in a three-phase short circuit for both the FSCW and ISDW designs.

### C. Examination for Demagnetization

In rated-load operation, both the FSCW and ISDW designs have no demagnetization in the PMs with  $PF = 0.7$  and  $0.8$ . From the simulation results as shown in Fig. 9, however, both designs have severe demagnetization in the three-phase short circuit even with the highest PM grade (G3,  $B_{dmg} = -0.1$  T). The FSCW design already has heavy demagnetization in the no-load short circuit and a bit more regions of the PMs are demagnetized in the rated-load short circuit. The ISDW design has a slight demagnetization in the no-load short circuit but the demagnetization becomes rather severe in the rated-load short circuit. The lower power factor of  $0.7$  results in more demagnetization in the rated-load short circuit since the rated armature current is larger and the armature reaction on the PMs is then stronger. This result indicates that demagnetization is very likely to happen in a three-phase short circuit for both the FSCW and ISDW designs even if the PMs already have an excellent anti-demagnetization property.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This paper studies SCPMGs adopting both FSCW and ISDW designs. The findings show that the shear stress in SCPMGs can be as high as  $130$  kPa, which is doubling the shear stress of a conventional low-speed PM generator (about  $65$  kPa). However, the power factor has to drop to the range of  $0.7$ – $0.8$ . Such power factors ensure that demagnetization does not occur in rated-load operation if the PM grade is properly selected.

The three-phase short circuit analysis shows that the designed SCPMGs, either FSCW or ISDW, are prone to quench and demagnetization although their fault currents and torques remain acceptably low. Quenches already occur in the first cycle after the short circuit begins with both  $PF = 0.7$  and  $PF = 0.8$ . The rated-load short circuits lead to a more excessive phase current over the critical current than the no-load short circuits. The FSCW design has more severe over-current than the ISDW design. The quench may not last long but special action must be taken to protect the superconducting cables. Demagnetization occurs in both the FSCW and ISDW designs even if the PMs already have an excellent anti-demagnetization property ( $B_{dmg} = -0.1$  T). With either  $PF = 0.7$  or  $PF = 0.8$ , demagnetization occurs in both the no-load and rated-load short circuits.

Under the SCPMG concept, a high power factor, e.g.,  $0.9$ , does not provide a competitive shear stress for effectively reducing the size of the generator. The power factor between  $0.7$ – $0.8$  well utilizes the superconductor's capacity. However, generator size reduction using such low power factors will probably not be accepted by a direct-drive wind power conversion system. Moreover, quenches and demagnetization during a sudden short circuit are unacceptable too. Further studies are still needed to find out how to avoid quenches and demagnetization during a sudden short circuit by means of special design measures whilst maintaining a high shear stress capability and an acceptable power factor.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. S. Haran *et al.*, "High power density superconducting rotating machines-development status and technology roadmap," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 30, 2017, Art. no. 123002.
- [2] B. B. Jensen, N. Mijatovic, and A. B. Abrahamsen, "Development of superconducting wind turbine generators," *J. Renewable Sustain. Energy*, vol. 5, 2013, Art. no. 0 23137.
- [3] H. Polinder, J. A. Ferreira, B. B. Jensen, A. B. Abrahamsen, K. Atallah, and R. A. McMahon, "Trends in wind turbine generator systems," *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 174–185, Sep. 2013.
- [4] X. Song *et al.*, "Designing and basic experimental validation of the world's first MW-Class direct-drive superconducting wind turbine generator," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 2218–2225, Dec. 2019.
- [5] I. Marino *et al.*, "Lightweight MgB<sub>2</sub> superconducting 10 MW wind generator," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 29, Feb. 2016, Art. no. 024005.
- [6] D. Liu, H. Polinder, A. B. Abrahamsen, and J. A. Ferreira, "Topology comparison of superconducting generators for 10-MW direct-drive wind turbines: Cost of energy based," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1–7, Jun. 2017, Art. no. 5202007.
- [7] R. Qu, Y. Liu, and J. Wang, "Review of superconducting generator topologies for direct-drive wind turbines," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 5201108–5201108, Jun. 2013, Art. no. 5201108.
- [8] S. S. Kalsi, "Rotating AC machines," in *Proc. Appl. High Temp. Supercond. Electric Power Equip.*, 2011, pp. 59–128.
- [9] R. Fair *et al.*, "Superconductivity for large scale wind turbines," U.S. DOE Tech. Rep. DE-EE0005143, Apr. 2012.
- [10] K. Zhang, X. Huang, L. Wu, Y. Fang, and W. Cao, "Stator design aspects for permanent magnet superconducting wind power generators," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 1–5, Mar. 2019, Art. no. 5201205.
- [11] X. Huang, K. Zhang, L. Wu, Y. Fang, and Q. Lu, "Design of a dual-stator superconducting permanent magnet wind power generator with different rotor configuration," *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 53, no. 6, Jun. 2017, Art. no. 8700204.
- [12] X. Huang, C. Zhou, K. Zhang, L. Wu, J. Zhang, and W. Cao, "Comparison of electromagnetic performance of SCPM wind power generators with different topologies," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 29, no. 2, Mar. 2019, Art. no. 5201405.
- [13] X. Li, F. Shen, S. Yu, and Z. Xue, "Flux-regulation principle and performance analysis of a novel axial partitioned stator hybrid-excitation flux-switching machine using parallel magnetic circuit," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, to be published, doi: [10.1109/TIE.2020.3001807](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2020.3001807).
- [14] D. Liu, H. Polinder, N. Magnusson, J. Schellevis, and A. B. Abrahamsen, "Ripple field AC losses in 10-MW wind turbine generators with a MgB<sub>2</sub> superconducting field winding," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 26, no. 3, Apr. 2016, Art. no. 5204205.
- [15] N. Magnusson, A. B. Abrahamsen, D. Liu, M. Runde, and H. Polinder, "Hysteresis losses in MgB<sub>2</sub> superconductors exposed to combinations of low AC and high DC magnetic fields and transport currents," *Physica C*, vol. 506, pp. 133–137, 2014.
- [16] M. Zhang, W. Yuan, J. Kvitkovic, and S. Pamidi, "Total AC loss study of 2G HTS coils for fully HTS machine applications," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 11, Art. no. 115011, 2015.
- [17] W. Goldacker, F. Grilli, E. Pardo, A. Kario, S. I. Schlachter, and M. Vojenčiak, "Roebel cables from REBCO coated conductors: A one-century-old concept for the superconductivity of the future," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 27, pp. 1–16, 2014, Art. no. 093001.
- [18] S. S. Kalsi, "Superconducting wind turbine generator employing MgB<sub>2</sub> windings both on rotor and stator," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 24, no. 1, Feb. 2014, Art. no. 5201907.
- [19] T. Hoang, L. Quéval, C. Berriaud, and L. Vido, "Design of a 20-MW fully superconducting wind turbine generator to minimize the levelized cost of energy," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 28, no. 4, Jun. 2018, Art. no. 5206705.
- [20] K. Suzuki *et al.*, "Development of a laser scribing process of coated conductors for the reduction of AC losses," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 822–826, 2007.
- [21] P. Masson, K. Ratelle, P. Delobel, A. Lipardi, and C. Lorin, "Development of a 3D sizing model for all-superconducting machines for turboelectric aircraft propulsion," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 23, no. 3, Jan. 2013, Art. no. 3600806.
- [22] A. Jassal, H. Polinder, and J. A. Ferreira, "Literature survey of eddy-current loss analysis in rotating electrical machines," *IET Electric Power Appl.*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 743–752, Nov. 2012.
- [23] J. Ji, J. Luo, W. Zhao, J. Zheng, and Y. Zhang, "Effect of circumferential segmentation of permanent magnets on rotor loss in fractional-slot concentrated-winding machines," *IET Electric Power Appl.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1151–1159, 2017.
- [24] X. Li, X. Wang, and S. Yu, "Design and analysis of a novel transverse-flux tubular linear switched reluctance machine for minimizing force ripple," *IEEE Trans. Transport. Electric.*, to be published, doi: [10.1109/TTE.2020.3033535](https://doi.org/10.1109/TTE.2020.3033535).
- [25] A. Bergen *et al.*, "Design of in-field testing of the world's first ReBCO rotor for a 3.6 MW wind generator," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 12, 2019, Art. no. 125006.
- [26] X. Song *et al.*, "Short circuit of a 10-MW high-temperature superconducting wind turbine generator," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 27, no. 4, Jun. 2017, Art. no. 5201505.
- [27] Z. Huang, A. Zhao, X. Huang, B. Zhu, Y. Jiang, and Z. Jin, "Short-circuit fault simulations in an HTS wind generator with different mechanical conditions," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 28, no. 3, Apr. 2018, Art. no. 5204606.