

Laboratory Evolution of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* Hybrid Under Simulated Lager-Brewing Conditions

Gorter de Vries, Arthur; Voskamp, Maaïke; van Aalst, Aafke; Kristensen, L.H.; Jansen, Liset; Salazar, Alex; van den Broek, Marcel; Brouwers, Nick; Abeel, Thomas; Pronk, Jack

DOI

[10.3389/fgene.2019.00242](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00242)

Publication date

2019

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Frontiers in Genetics

Citation (APA)

Gorter de Vries, A., Voskamp, M., van Aalst, A., Kristensen, L. H., Jansen, L., Salazar, A., van den Broek, M., Brouwers, N., Abeel, T., Pronk, J., & Daran, J. M. (2019). Laboratory Evolution of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* Hybrid Under Simulated Lager-Brewing Conditions. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 10, Article 242. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00242>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Laboratory Evolution of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* Hybrid Under Simulated Lager-Brewing Conditions

Arthur R. Gorter de Vries¹, Maaike A. Voskamp¹, Aafke C. A. van Aalst¹, Line H. Kristensen¹, Liset Jansen¹, Marcel van den Broek¹, Alex N. Salazar², Nick Brouwers¹, Thomas Abeel^{2,3†}, Jack T. Pronk¹ and Jean-Marc G. Daran^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jean Marie François,
UMR5504 Laboratoire d'Ingénierie
des Systèmes Biologiques et des
Procédés (LISBP), France

Reviewed by:

Soo Rin Kim,
Kyungpook National University,
South Korea
Jose Sampaio,
Universidade nova de Lisboa, Portugal

*Correspondence:

Jean-Marc G. Daran
j.g.daran@tudelft.nl

† orcid.org/0000-0002-7205-7431

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Evolutionary and Genomic
Microbiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 27 November 2018

Accepted: 04 March 2019

Published: 29 March 2019

Citation:

Gorter de Vries AR, Voskamp MA, van Aalst ACA, Kristensen LH, Jansen L, van den Broek M, Salazar AN, Brouwers N, Abeel T, Pronk JT and Daran J-MG (2019) Laboratory Evolution of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* Hybrid Under Simulated Lager-Brewing Conditions. *Front. Genet.* 10:242. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00242

¹ Industrial Microbiology, Department of Biotechnology Delft, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, ² Delft Bioinformatics Lab, Department of Intelligent Systems, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, ³ Infectious Disease and Microbiome Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Boston, MA, United States

Saccharomyces pastorianus lager-brewing yeasts are domesticated hybrids of *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* that display extensive inter-strain chromosome copy number variation and chromosomal recombinations. It is unclear to what extent such genome rearrangements are intrinsic to the domestication of hybrid brewing yeasts and whether they contribute to their industrial performance. Here, an allodiploid laboratory hybrid of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* was evolved for up to 418 generations on wort under simulated lager-brewing conditions in six independent sequential batch bioreactors. Characterization of 55 single-cell isolates from the evolved cultures showed large phenotypic diversity and whole-genome sequencing revealed a large array of mutations. Frequent loss of heterozygosity involved diverse, strain-specific chromosomal translocations, which differed from those observed in domesticated, aneuploid *S. pastorianus* brewing strains. In contrast to the extensive aneuploidy of domesticated *S. pastorianus* strains, the evolved isolates only showed limited (segmental) aneuploidy. Specific mutations could be linked to calcium-dependent flocculation, loss of maltotriose utilization and loss of mitochondrial activity, three industrially relevant traits that also occur in domesticated *S. pastorianus* strains. This study indicates that fast acquisition of extensive aneuploidy is not required for genetic adaptation of *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids to brewing environments. In addition, this work demonstrates that, consistent with the diversity of brewing strains for maltotriose utilization, domestication under brewing conditions can result in loss of this industrially relevant trait. These observations have important implications for the design of strategies to improve industrial performance of novel laboratory-made hybrids.

Keywords: *Saccharomyces pastorianus*, loss of heterozygosity, laboratory evolution, domestication, maltotriose utilization, flocculation

INTRODUCTION

Saccharomyces yeasts are popular eukaryotic models for studying genome hybridization, chromosome (mis)segregation and aneuploidy (Botstein et al., 1997; Sheltzer et al., 2011). The genus *Saccharomyces* arose between 10 and 20 million years ago and currently comprises eight described species, as well as interspecies hybrids (Liti et al., 2006; Hittinger, 2013; Naseeb et al., 2017). Absence of a prezygotic barrier between *Saccharomyces* species facilitates hybridization, although spore viabilities of the resulting hybrids is typically well below 10% (Liti et al., 2006; Hittinger, 2013; Naseeb et al., 2017). Several interspecies *Saccharomyces* hybrids are tightly associated with domestication in industrial processes. *S. pastorianus* lager-brewing yeasts are domesticated *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids (Libkind et al., 2011). Double and triple hybrids between *S. cerevisiae*, *S. kudriavzevii* and *S. uvarum* are closely associated with wine fermentation (González et al., 2006; Querol and Bond, 2009; Marsit and Dequin, 2015). *S. bayanus* cider fermentation yeasts are domesticated *S. uvarum* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids (Naumov et al., 2001). Reconstruction of the corresponding *Saccharomyces* hybrids in the laboratory showed improved performance, relative to the parental species. For example, laboratory-made *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids combined sugar utilization characteristics of *S. cerevisiae* and the superior performance at low temperatures of *S. eubayanus* (Hebly et al., 2015; Krogerus et al., 2017). Similarly, hybrids of *S. cerevisiae*, *S. kudriavzevii* and *S. uvarum* combined traits of their parental species relevant to industrial wine fermentation, such as flocculence, sugar utilization kinetics, stress tolerance and aroma production (Coloretti et al., 2006; Lopandic et al., 2016).

The relevance of laboratory hybridization of *Saccharomyces* species extends beyond reconstruction of existing, domesticated hybrids. The ability of hybridization to generate extensive phenotypic diversity has raised interest in the development of novel *Saccharomyces* hybrids for specific industrial processes (Krogerus et al., 2017). For example, an *S. cerevisiae* × *S. paradoxus* hybrid produced high concentrations of aromatic compounds that are of interest for wine making (Bellon et al., 2011). Hybrids between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. arboricola* or *S. mikatae* were able to utilize the sugars in wort at low temperatures and produced particularly aromatic beer (Nikulin et al., 2017). Laboratory hybrids of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii* or *S. mikatae* yielded xylose-consuming strains with high inhibitor tolerance for second generation biofuel production (Peris et al., 2017).

The alloeploid genomes of laboratory hybrids of *Saccharomyces* species strongly differ from the extremely aneuploidy genomes of the domesticated strains used in traditional industrial processes. For example, the genomes of *S. pastorianus* lager-brewing yeasts contain between 45 and 79 chromosomes (Van Den Broek et al., 2015; Okuno et al., 2016), a degree of aneuploidy that is not observed elsewhere in the *Saccharomyces* genus (Gorter de Vries et al., 2017b). However,

it remains unclear when and how domestication resulted in the extensive chromosome copy number variations and phenotypic diversity of current *S. pastorianus* strains.

Hybrid genomes have a well-documented increased tendency to become aneuploid due to an increased rate of chromosome missegregation during mitosis and/or meiosis (Chambers et al., 1996; Liti et al., 2006). Aneuploidy reduces the efficiency of sporulation and can thereby complicate genetic modification, impeding breeding and targeted strain improvement (Santaguida and Amon, 2015; Gorter de Vries et al., 2017a). In evolutionary contexts, aneuploidy is generally seen as a transient adaptation mechanism, whose positive impacts are eventually taken over by more parsimonious mutations (Yona et al., 2012). When grown mitotically, sporulated hybrid strains were prone to further chromosome missegregation resulting in more extensive chromosome copy number variations (Lopandic et al., 2016). Even genomes of *Saccharomyces* hybrids that had not undergone meiosis displayed increased rates of mitotic chromosome missegregation during mitosis (Delneri et al., 2003). Indeed, when evolved in lignocellulosic hydrolysates, cultures of *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* and *S. cerevisiae* × *S. mikatae* hybrids exhibited segmental and full-chromosome aneuploidy after only 50 generations (Peris et al., 2017). Similarly, when evolved under wine fermentation conditions, *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids displayed extensive genome reorganizations that led to a significant reduction of their genome content (Pérez Través et al., 2014).

Genetic instability of hybrid genomes could be detrimental to stable, robust industrial performance. Therefore, to assess industrial applicability of new hybrids generated in the laboratory, it is important to determine their genome stability under industrially relevant conditions. Moreover, laboratory evolution under simulated industrial conditions can increase understanding of the selective pressures that shaped the genomes of domesticated microorganisms (Bachmann et al., 2012; Gibbons et al., 2012; Gibbons and Rinker, 2015).

The goal of the present study was to investigate how the previously constructed allodiploid *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrid IMS0408 (Hebly et al., 2015) evolves under simulated lager-brewing conditions, with a specific focus on genome dynamics and on acquisition or loss of brewing-related phenotypes. To mimic successive lager beer fermentation processes, the hybrid strain was subjected to sequential batch cultivation on industrial wort, in six independent bioreactor setups. After up to 418 generations, the genotypic and phenotype diversity generated in these laboratory evolution experiments was analyzed by characterization of 55 single-cell isolates. After whole-genome resequencing of each isolate using 150 bp paired-end reads, sequence data were mapped to high-quality reference genomes of the parental strains to identify genomic changes. Phenotypic analysis of the isolates focused on the ability to utilize maltotriose, flocculation and the respiratory capacity. We interpreted these results in the context of the domestication history of *S. pastorianus* brewing strains as well as in relation to genome stability and industrial application of newly generated *Saccharomyces* hybrids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast Strains and Media

Saccharomyces strains used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Yeast strains and *E. coli* strains containing plasmids were stocked in 1 mL aliquots after addition of 30% v/v glycerol to the cultures and stored at -80°C . For preparation of stock cultures and inocula of bioreactors, yeast strains were routinely propagated in shake flasks containing 100 mL YPD (10 g.L⁻¹ yeast extract, 20 g.L⁻¹ yeast peptone and 20 g.L⁻¹ glucose) at 30°C and 200 RPM in an Brunswick Innova43/43R shaker (Eppendorf Nederland B.V., Nijmegen, The Netherlands). For cultivation on solid media, YPD medium was supplemented with 20 g.L⁻¹ Bacto agar (Becton Dickinson, Breda, The Netherlands) and incubation was done at 30 °C. Synthetic medium (SM), containing 3 g.L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g.L⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O, 5 g.L⁻¹ (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1 mL.L⁻¹ of a trace element solution and 1 mL.L⁻¹ of a vitamin solution, was prepared as previously described (Verduyn et al., 1992). SM maltotriose was supplemented with 20 g.L⁻¹ of maltotriose and SM ethanol with 20 mL.L⁻¹ of ethanol. Selection for the amdS marker was performed on SM-AC: SM with 0.6 g.L⁻¹ acetamide and 6.6 g.L⁻¹ K₂SO₄ instead of (NH₄)₂SO₄ as nitrogen source (Solis-Escalante et al., 2013). For counter selection of the amdS marker, strains were first grown on YPD and then on SM-FAC: SM supplemented with 2.3 g.L⁻¹ fluoroacetamide (Solis-Escalante et al., 2013). Industrial wort was provided by HEINEKEN Supply Chain B.V., Zoeterwoude, the Netherlands, and contained 14.4 g.L⁻¹ glucose, 2.3 g.L⁻¹ fructose, 85.9 g.L⁻¹ maltose, 26.8 g.L⁻¹ maltotriose and 269 mg/L free amino nitrogen. The wort was supplemented with 1.5 mg/L Zn²⁺ by addition of ZnSO₄.7H₂O, then autoclaved for 30 min at 121°C and, prior to use, filtered through Nalgene 0.2 μm SFCA bottle-top filters (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). For experiments performed with diluted wort, two volumes of sterile demineralized water were added per volume of wort. To prevent excessive foaming during the aeration phase of the bioreactor experiments, (un)diluted wort was supplemented with 0.2 mL.L⁻¹ of sterile Pluronic PE 6100 antifoam (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands).

Analytical Methods and Statistics

Optical density at 660 nm was measured with a Libra S11 spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK). HPLC analysis of sugar and metabolite concentrations was performed with an Agilent Infinity 1260 chromatography system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) with an Aminex HPX-87 column (Bio-Rad, Lunteren, The Netherlands) at 65°C, eluted with 5 mM H₂SO₄. Significance of data was assessed by an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test with a 95% confidence interval.

Laboratory Evolution and Single Colony Isolation

The hybrid yeast strain IMS0408 was evolved under three different conditions in duplicate in Minifors 2 bioreactors (INFORS HT, Velp, the Netherlands) with a working volume of 100 mL: on diluted wort at 30°C (LG30.1 and LG30.2), on diluted wort at 12°C (LG12.1 and LG12.2) and on full-strength wort

at 12°C (HG12.1 and HG12.2). Sequential batch cultivation was performed with 10 and 30 mL.min⁻¹ of headspace N₂ flushing at 12 and 30°C, respectively. The percentage of CO₂ in the outlet gas stream, the culture pH and the dissolved oxygen concentration in the broth were continuously monitored. The end of a batch cultivation cycle was automatically triggered when the percentage of CO₂ in the offgas decreased below 75 and 10% of the maximum value reached during that cycle for growth on diluted wort and full-strength wort, respectively. These CO₂ percentages correspond to the moment at which sugar utilization was complete in the first batch cycle for each condition, as determined by HPLC measurements. When the CO₂ threshold was reached, the reactor was emptied while stirring at 1200 RPM leaving about 7 mL to inoculate the next batch. Upon addition of fresh medium, the broth was stirred at 500 RPM and sparged with 500 mL.min⁻¹ of pressurized air during 5 min for diluted wort or 12 h for wort. During the remainder of each batch cultivation cycle, the medium was not sparged or stirred and the pH was not adjusted. LG30.1 and LG30.2 were carried out for 116 and 117 cycles, respectively, LG12.1 and LG12.2 were carried out for 29 cycles and HG12.1 and HG12.2 were carried out for 13 and 16 cycles, respectively. Culture samples from all six reactors were then streaked on YPD plates and after three subsequent restreaks, frozen stock cultures of single colony isolates were prepared. By default, five isolates were obtained for each culture. For LG12.1 and LG30.1, two different colony morphologies were observed, therefore five elevated and conically-shaped colonies and five regular flat colonies were stocked. The experiments at 12°C on diluted wort were continued for 4 months until a total of 58 and 57 cycles was reached for LG12.1 and LG12.2, respectively, and five single-cell isolates were obtained for each reactor as described above.

Genomic DNA Extraction and Whole Genome Sequencing

Yeast cultures were incubated in 500-mL shake-flasks containing 100 mL YPD at 30°C on an orbital shaker set at 200 RPM until the strains reached stationary phase at an OD₆₆₀ between 12 and 20. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen 100/G kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and quantified using a Qubit[®] Fluorometer 2.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). For IMS0408 and the evolved isolates, genomic DNA was sequenced at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd (Yuen Long, Hong Kong) on a HiSeq2500 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA) with 150 bp paired-end reads using PCR-free library preparation.

Genome Analysis

A high quality reference genome was constructed by combining near-complete assemblies of *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D (Salazar et al., 2017) and *S. eubayanus* CBS 12357^T (Brickwedde et al., 2018). The kanMX marker present in IMS0408 was inserted as an additional contig (Wach et al., 1994). For each evolved strain, raw Illumina reads were aligned against the reference genome using the Burrows–Wheeler Alignment tool (BWA, version 0.7.15-r1142) and further processed using SAMtools (version 1.3.1) and Pilon (version 1.18) for variant

calling (Li et al., 2009; Li and Durbin, 2010; Walker et al., 2014). SNPs and INDELS that were also called or which were ambiguous in IMS0408, were disregarded. Copy number was determined based on read coverage analysis. Chromosomal translocations were detected using Breakdancer (version 1.3.6) (Chen et al., 2009). Only translocations which were supported by at least 10% of the reads aligned at that locus and which were absent in strain IMS0408 were considered. All SNPs, INDELS, recombinations and copy number changes were manually confirmed by visualizing the generated.bam files in the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) software (Robinson et al., 2011). A complete list of identified mutations is provided in **Supplementary Data File 1**. For chimeric open-reading-frame reconstruction, reads aligning within 3 kbp of an identified recombination site and their paired reads were extracted using Python and were assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012). The resulting contigs were aligned against ORFs of the genes affected by the recombination to identify the recombination point, and the complete recombined ORF was reconstructed. Original and recombined ORFs were then aligned and translated using CloneManager (version 9.51, Sci-Ed Software, Denver, CO) to determine whether the translocation had introduced frameshifts or premature stop codons.

DNA Content Determination by Flow Cytometric Analysis

Exponential-phase shake flask cultures on YPD were diluted to an OD₆₆₀ of 1. A 1 mL sample (~10⁷ cells) was then washed in cold demineralized water and resuspended in 800 μL 70% ethanol while vortexing. After addition of another 800 μL 70% ethanol, fixed cells were stored at 4°C until further staining and analysis. DNA was then stained with SYTOX Green as described previously (Haase and Reed, 2002). Samples were analyzed on a Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) equipped with a 488-nm laser and the median fluorescence of cells in the 1n and 2n phases of the cell cycle was determined using FlowJo (BD Biosciences). The 1n and 2n medians of strains CEN.PK113-7D (n), CEN.PK122 (2n), and FRY153 (3n) were used to create a standard curve of fluorescence versus genome size with a linear curve fit, as performed previously (Van Den Broek et al., 2015). The genome size of each tested strain was estimated by averaging predicted genome sizes of the 1n and 2n population in assays on three independent cultures.

Identification of Strains With Respiratory Deficiency

Respiratory competence was assessed through their ability to grow on ethanol. Samples from 24 h shake-flask cultures on YPD (30°C, 200 RPM) were washed twice with demineralized water and used to inoculate duplicate aerobic shake flasks containing 100 mL of SM with 2% ethanol to an OD₆₆₀ of 0.2. After 72 h incubation at 30°C and 200 RPM, OD₆₆₀ was measured.

TABLE 1 | Plasmids used throughout this study.

Name	Relevant genotype	Origin
pUD711	ori <i>bla</i> gRNA- <i>ScSFL1</i>	GeneArt™
pUD712	ori <i>bla</i> gRNA- <i>SeSFL1</i>	GeneArt™
pUDE481	ori <i>bla</i> ARS4/CEN6 hyg ^R <i>ScTDH3p-mTurquoise2-ScADH1t</i>	(Gorter de Vries et al., 2018a)
pUDE482	ori <i>bla</i> ARS4/CEN6 hyg ^R <i>ScTEF1p-Venus-ScENO2t</i>	(Gorter de Vries et al., 2018a)
pUDP004	ori <i>bla</i> panARSopt amdSYM <i>Spcas9</i>	(Gorter de Vries et al., 2017a)
pUDP045	ori <i>bla</i> panARSopt amdSYM <i>Spcas9</i> gRNA- <i>ScMAL11</i>	(Gorter de Vries et al., 2018a)
pUDP104	ori <i>bla</i> panARSopt amdSYM <i>Spcas9</i> gRNA- <i>ScSFL1</i>	This study
pUDP105	ori <i>bla</i> panARSopt amdSYM <i>Spcas9</i> gRNA- <i>SeSFL1</i>	This study

Assay for Calcium-Dependence of Flocculation

Two 100 μL aliquots from overnight cultures on YPD were washed with sterile demineralized water. One aliquot was resuspended in demineralized water and the other in 50 mM EDTA (pH 7.0). Both samples were imaged at 100 x magnification under a Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss BV, Breda, the Netherlands) to assess flocculence and its reversal by EDTA chelation of calcium ions.

Plasmid Construction

All plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* DH5α (Table 1). The gRNAs to target *ScSFL1* and *SeSFL1* (Supplementary Table 2) were designed as previously described (Gorter de Vries et al., 2017a) and ordered as *de novo* synthesized plasmids pUD711 (*ScSFL1*) and pUD712 (*SeSFL1*) at GeneArt (ThermoFisher Scientific). Plasmid pUDP104, expressing gRNA_{*ScSFL1*} and *cas9*, was constructed by Golden Gate cloning by digesting pUDP004 and pUD711 using *BsaI* and ligating with T4 ligase (Engler et al., 2008). Similarly, plasmid pUDP105, expressing gRNA_{*SeSFL1*} and *cas9*, was constructed from pUDP004 and pUD712. Correct assembly was verified by restriction analysis using *Pdml*.

Strain Construction

The *ScTEF1p-Venus-ScENO2t* repair fragment with flanks for homologous recombination in the *ScMAL11* locus was PCR amplified from plasmid pUDE482 using primers 12989 and 12990 (Supplementary Table 2). The *ScTDH3p-mTurquoise2-ScADH1t* repair fragment with flanks for homologous recombination in the *ScSFL1* locus was PCR amplified from plasmid pUDE482 using primers 13564 and 13565. The *ScTEF1p-Venus-ScENO2t* repair fragment with flanks for homologous recombination in the *SeSFL1* locus was PCR amplified from plasmid pUDE481 using primers 13566 and 13567.

All strains were transformed by electroporation as described previously, with 300 ng of gRNA/Cas9 expression plasmid and 1 μg of repair fragment (Gorter de Vries et al., 2017a). Strains IMX1698 (mVenus::Δ*Scmal11*), IMX1824

(mTurquoise2:: Δ Scsfl1) and IMX1825 (Venus:: Δ Scsfl1) were constructed by transforming IMS0408 with the appropriate repair fragments and plasmids pUDP045, pUDP104 and pUDP105, respectively. Strain IMX1826 (mTurquoise2:: Δ Scsfl1 Venus:: Δ Scsfl1) was constructed by transforming IMX1824 using the appropriate repair fragment and plasmid pUDP105. After electroporation, cells were transferred to 20 mL SM-Ac medium to select successful transformants and incubated at 30°C for 3 to 5 days. After growth was observed, 200 μ L of culture was transferred to 20 mL fresh SM-Ac and incubated similarly during 24h. Finally, 200 μ L from the second culture was transferred to 20 mL fresh YPD medium to maximize expression of fluorescent

proteins. Successfully gene-edited cells were sorted using the BD FACSAria™ II SORP Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences) as described previously (Gorter de Vries et al., 2018a). The plasmids were cured from strains IMX1698, IMX1824, IMX1825, and IMX1826 by subsequent growth on YPD and plating on SM-FAC. After confirmation of the correct genotype by colony PCR, randomly picked colonies were used to prepare frozen stocks.

Biomass Sedimentation Assay

IMS0408, IMS0556, IMS0558, IMS0559, IMS0617, IMX1824, IMX1825, and IMX1826 were grown in triplicate during 72 h in vented 50 mL Bio-One Cellstar Cellreactor tubes (Sigma-Aldrich)




FIGURE 1 | Laboratory evolution mimicking the domestication of lager-brewing yeast. The *S. cerevisiae* x *S. eubayanus* laboratory hybrid IMS0408 was grown in duplicate sequential batch bioreactors in three-fold diluted wort at 30°C (LG30.1 and LG30.2) and at 12°C (LG12.1 and LG12.2), and in full-strength wort at 12°C (HG12.1 and HG12.2). **(A)** Experimental design for simulated sequential lager-beer brewing cycles. Each cycle consisted of four phases: (i) (re)filling of the fermenter with fresh medium up to a total volume of 100 mL, (ii) aeration at 200 mL/min while stirring at 500 RPM, (iii) a batch fermentation phase without sparging or stirring, while flushing the bioreactor headspace with N₂ to enable accurate analysis of CO₂ production and (iv) removal of broth, leaving 7 mL to inoculate the next cycle. **(B)** Fermentation profiles of three consecutive cycles from experiment LG30.1, performed at 30°C in three-fold diluted wort. Percentage of CO₂ in the off gas, culture pH and dissolved oxygen (dO₂) concentration are indicated by red, blue and black symbols, respectively. Due to the lack of stirring and sparging, CO₂ was slowly released by the medium; emptying of the reactor was initiated when the offgas CO₂ concentration dropped to 70% of its initial value as off-line analyses indicated that, at this point, all fermentable sugars had been consumed **(C)** Specific growth estimated from CO₂ production profiles during each cycle of the evolution lines. LG30.1 (blue circles) and LG30.2 (red circles) were grown on three-fold diluted wort at 30°C; LG12.1 (blue triangles) and LG12.2 (red triangles) were grown on three-fold diluted wort at 12°C. HG12.1 (blue squares) and HG12.2 (red squares) were evolved in full-strength wort at 12°C. Since lack of sparging and stirring precluded exact estimates of specific growth rates, the calculated values should be taken as indicative.

on 20 mL YPD at 30°C and 200 RPM until stationary phase. For each sample, the biomass was resuspended by vigorous vortexing and 1 mL was sampled immediately after vortexing from right underneath the meniscus. After 60 s of stationary incubation, another sample was taken by the same procedure. Biomass sedimentation was quantified as the ratio of the OD₆₆₀ values of the two samples.

Evaluation of Maltotriose Fermentation

Each strain was grown microaerobically in 100 mL serum bottles containing 100 mL medium and shaken at 200 RPM. Medium (full-strength or diluted wort) and incubation temperature (12 or 30°C) were the same as in the evolution experiment from which a strain had been isolated. Strain IMS0408 was included as a control for each condition. Bottles were inoculated to an OD₆₆₀ of 0.2 from aerobic shake-flask precultures grown on same medium and under the same conditions. During cultivation, 8 to 12 samples were taken at regular intervals for OD₆₆₀ measurements and metabolite analysis by HPLC. When no further sugar consumption was recorded over an interval of at least 48h, the fermentation was considered finished.

RESULTS

Simulating Domestication Under Lager-Brewing Conditions in Sequential Batch Bioreactors

Industrial lager brewing involves batch cultivation of *S. pastorianus* on wort, an extract from malted barley, at temperatures between 7 and 15°C. After a brief initial aeration phase to enable oxygen-dependent biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids and sterols (Andreasen and Stier, 1953; Gibson et al., 2007), brewing fermentations are not aerated or stirred, leading to anaerobic conditions during the main fermentation (Briggs et al., 2004). To simulate domestication under industrial lager-brewing conditions, a laboratory evolution regime was designed in which the laboratory-made *S. cerevisiae* x *S. eubayanus* hybrid IMS0408 was grown at 12°C in sequential batch bioreactors on industrial wort. As in industrial brewing, each cultivation cycle was preceded by an aeration phase, after which cultures were incubated without sparging or stirring until a decline of the CO₂ production indicated a cessation of sugar consumption. The bioreactors were then partially emptied, leaving 7% of the culture volume as inoculum for the next aeration and fermentation cycle, which was initiated by refilling the reactor with sterile wort (Figures 1A,B). To mimic the low sugar concentrations during early domestication of *S. pastorianus* (Meussdoerffer, 2009), parallel duplicate experiments at 12°C were not only performed with full-strength 17 °Plato wort (“High Gravity,” experiments HG12.1 and HG12.2), but also with three-fold diluted wort (“Low Gravity,” experiments LG12.1 and LG12.2). To enable a larger number of generations during 4 months of operation, additional duplicate experiments on three-fold diluted wort were performed at 30°C (LG30.1 and LG30.2).

Concentration of the wort and temperature strongly affected the length of the fermentation cycles, which was 17 h for LG30.1

and LG30.2, 93 h for LG12.1 and LG12.2 and 205 h for HG12.1 and HG12.2. Experiments LG30.1 and LG30.2 involved 117 and 118 batch cycles, respectively, LG12.1 and LG12.2 covered 58 and 57 cycles, respectively, and HG12.1 and HG12.2 covered 13 and 16 cycles, respectively. At the inoculum size of 7% of the total culture volume, each cycle corresponded to ~4 generations. Specific growth rates, estimated from CO₂ production rates during the exponential growth phase of the batch cycles, were not significantly different during the first and the last five cycles of each experiment (Student’s *t*-test, *p* > 0.05). Average specific growth rates were 0.35 ± 0.02 h⁻¹ for LG30.1, 0.42 ± 0.03 h⁻¹ for LG30.2, 0.070 ± 0.013 h⁻¹ for LG12.1, 0.062 ± 0.009 h⁻¹ for LG12.2, 0.068 ± 0.007 h⁻¹ for HG12.1 and 0.098 ± 0.018 h⁻¹ for HG12.2. While the initial specific growth rate was clearly higher at 30°C than at 12°C, initial growth rates on diluted and full-strength wort were not significantly different. However, CO₂ production from sugars continued much longer in full-strength wort. During brewing fermentation, depletion of nitrogen sources and oxygen limit biomass formation. Complete sugar conversion therefore depends on growth-independent alcoholic fermentation which, apparently, was much slower in cultures grown on full-strength wort. At the end of each evolution experiment, culture samples were streaked on YPD agar and 5 single colonies were isolated for each culture. For experiments LG12.1 and LG12.2, isolates were also made from intermediate samples after 29 cycles. Evolution lines LG30.2 and LG12.2 developed flocculence and isolates from these lines had two distinct colony morphologies: about half of the colonies were elevated and conically-shaped, while the other colonies shared the flat morphology of IMS0408 (Figure 3A). For each of these lines, five random colonies of each morphology were selected.

Prolonged Growth Under Simulated Brewing Conditions Did Not Cause Large Ploidy Changes

Six independent sequential batch fermentation experiments under simulated brewing conditions, covering 52 to 468 generations, yielded 55 isolates. Staining with the DNA-binding fluorescent dye SYTOX Green and flow cytometry indicated genome sizes of the isolates between 17.6 and 23.5 Mbp (Supplementary Table 3). These values did not differ significantly from the 21.3 ± 1.9 Mbp genome size measured for the parental laboratory hybrid IMS0408 and therefore indicated the absence of large changes in genome content such as whole-genome duplications. For a detailed genotypic analysis, the genomes of the 55 isolates were sequenced using 150 bp pair-end reads with 101- to 189-fold coverage. A high quality-reference genome was constructed by combining the chromosome-level contigs from assemblies of CEN.PK113-7D and CBS 12357 generated with nanopore technology, including mitochondrial genome sequences (Salazar et al., 2017; Brickwedde et al., 2018).

Copy number analysis revealed whole-chromosome aneuploidies in only 5/55 isolates (Table 2). Relative to strain IMS0408, the total chromosome number of the isolates had not changed by more than one. Isolate IMS0556 (LG30.1) had gained a copy of ScCHRVIII, IMS0560 (LG30.1) had gained a

TABLE 2 | Overview of phenotypic and genotypic changes in isolates obtained after laboratory evolution of the allopolyploid laboratory hybrid IMS0408 under simulated lager-brewing conditions.

Experiment	GEN# ^a	Isolate	M ^b	F ^c	R ^d	M ^e _{DNA}	Aneuploidy ^{f,g}	Segmental aneuploidy and loss of heterozygosity ^{f,g}	SNPs ^{h,i}	INDELs ^{f,h,i}	
LG12.1 (3x diluted wort, 12°C)	116	IMS0408	+	-	+						
		IMS0538	+	-	+						
		IMS0539	+	-	+					SeHDA2 ^{1651T}	SeMED2 ^{462+3N}
		IMS0540	+	-	+						
		IMS0541	+	-	-		ρ^0				
		IMS0542	+	-	+						
		IMS0543	+	+	+				Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)		
		IMS0544	+	+	+				Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C), Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C), Δ Sc::Se(YLR154C-YLR _{end})		
		IMS0545	+	+	+				Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)		SeNAF1 ^{1404-30N,1436-30N}
		IMS0546	+	+	+				Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)		
		IMS0547	+	+	+		ρ^-		Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)		
		IMS0594	+	-	+				Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)		
		LG12.2 (3x diluted wort, 12°C) [-10pt]	116	IMS0595	+	-	+		2xSe(CHRVIII)	Δ Se::Sc(YOL _{end} -YOL072W)	
IMS0596	+			-	+						
IMS0597	+			-	+					SeIRA2 ^{C1376A} , ScYER188W ^{T28A}	
IMS0598	+			-	+					SeNUP1 ^{C1205T} , ScPDC2 ^{G3372A}	
IMS0599	+			+	+					SeSRT1 ^{C359T}	SeASG1 ^{2488+3N}
IMS0600	+			-	+						
IMS0601	+			+	+		ρ^-				
IMS0602	+			+	+						
IMS0603	+			-	+						
IMS0548	+			-	+						
IMS0549	+			-	-		ρ^-				
IMS0550	+			-	-		ρ^-				
IMS0551	+			-	-		ρ^-				
228	IMS0552	+	-	-	ρ^-						
	IMS0604	+	-	+						SeBET2 ^{G550A}	
	IMS0605	+	-	+							
	IMS0606	+	-	-		ρ^-	Δ Se(CHRVIII)	Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)			
	IMS0607	+	-	+						SeFLO11*	
IMS0608	+	-	-		ρ^-		Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C)				

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Experiment	GEN# ^a	Isolate	M ^b	F ^c	R ^d	M ^e _{DNA}	Aneuploidy ^{f,g}	Segmental aneuploidy and loss of SNPs ^{f,h} heterozygosity ^{i,g}	INDELS ^{f,h,i}	
LG30.1 (3x diluted wort, 30°C)	464	IMS0553	+	-	+			ΔSc::Se(YGR282C-YGR _{end})	SeNHX1 ^{1622+3N} ScCIS3*	
		IMS0554	-	-	+				SeBATT1 ^{G1073A} , ScMAL2 ^{G422A}	
		IMS0555	+	-	+		2xSc(CHRVI)		SeGMC1 ^{G1579A} , ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
		IMS0556	+	-	+				ScMAL1 ^{G88T} A98G, SeYNL24 ^{W2062-1N}	
		IMS0557	-	-	+				ScMDL2 ^{C1451A} SeSFL1 ^{96+1N}	
		IMS0558	-	+	+			ΔSc(YDR261C-YDR211W), ΔSc::Se(YGR218C-YGR _{end})	ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
		IMS0559	+	+	+			ΔSe::Sc(YOR133W-YOR _{end})	ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
		IMS0560	+	+	+		2xSe(CHRX)	ΔSc(YDR261C-YDR211W), ΔSe::Sc(YOR063W-YOR _{end})	ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
		IMS0561	+	+	+			ΔSe::Sc(YBR275C-YBR _{end}), ΔSe::Sc(YOR133W-YOR _{end})	ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
		IMS0562	+	+	+			ΔSc::Se(YNL061C-YNL055C), ΔSe::Sc(YOR133W-YOR _{end})	ScSFL1 ^{T605A}	
LG30.2 (3x diluted wort, 30°C)	468	IMS0563	-	-	+		ΔSc(YGR279C- YGR _{end})::Se(YMR305C-YMR _{end})	SeROG3 ^{G191A} , SeMSS5 ^{C448T} ScRTP1 ^{874-64N}		
		IMS0564	+	-	+			SeLZH3 ^{A526G} , ScCST6 ^{C807A}		
		IMS0565	-	-	+		ΔSc::Se(CHRXIV)	ScMAL1 ^{A1G}		
		IMS0566	+	-	-	ρ ⁻		ScFERG6 ^{C413T} , ScBUL1 ^{G2110A} SeYBR238 ^{C315-36N} ScULP2 ^{1469+1N}		
		IMS0567	-	-	-	ρ ⁻				
		IMS0609	+	-	+				ScYBR259 ^{WC833A}	
		IMS0610	+	-	-	ρ ⁻			ScFMP52 ^{G406C}	
HG12.1 (Full-strength wort, 12°C)	52	IMS0611	+	-	-	ρ ⁻		SeGIC2 ^{C344G} ,	SeGCN2 ^{2274+18N} 2239+18N, SeTRA1 ^{4421-25N}	
		IMS0612	+	-	+					
		IMS0613	+	-	+					
		IMS0614	+	-	+			ΔSe(YAR050W- YAR _{end})::Se(YALend-YAL063C)	SeSFL1 ^{C1390T}	
		IMS0615	+	-	+				ScCAC2 ^{A994T}	
		IMS0616	+	-	-	ρ ⁻			ScATG1 ^{A434C}	
		IMS0617	+	-	+				SeSFL1 ^{C1390T}	
		IMS0618	+	-	-	ρ ⁻			ScALR1 ^{G1645T}	
HG12.2 (Full-strength wort, 12°C)	64	IMS0614	+	-	+					
		IMS0615	+	-	+					
		IMS0616	+	-	-	ρ ⁻				
		IMS0617	+	-	+					
		IMS0618	+	-	-	ρ ⁻				

A complete list of mutations is provided in **Supplementary Data File 1**.

^aEstimated number of generations prior to isolation.

^bAbility to utilize the sugar maltotriose.

^cFlocculation during growth on liquid medium.

^dRespiratory capacity.

^ePresence of the mitochondrial DNA. An empty field indicates presence of the *S. eubayanus* mitochondrial DNA, ρ⁻ indicates complete loss of the mitochondrial genome and ρ⁰ partial loss.


^fSc and Se indicate sequences on the *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* subgenomes, respectively.

^gΔ⁺ indicates deletion, 2x⁺ indicates duplication and ::⁺ indicates substitution.

^hSNPs and INDELS are only indicated when they affected an ORF and were non synonymous.

ⁱThe number of nucleotides added (+N) or removed (-N) is indicated after the coordinate of the last unchanged nucleotide.

FLO9 cer * a indicate coverage drops within these ORFs, for which the responsible mutation could not be exactly reconstructed.



copy of SeCHR_X, IMS0565 (LG30.2) had lost ScCHR_{XIV} and gained a copy of SeCHR_{XIV}, IMS0595 (LG12.1) had gained a copy of SeCHR_{VIII} and IMS0606 (LG12.2) had lost a copy of SeCHR_{VIII}.

Read alignments to mitochondrial genome sequences were absent from 14/55 isolates, while 1 isolate showed only a partial alignment, indicating complete (ρ^-) or partial loss (ρ^0) of the mitochondrial genome in 15/55 strains. Loss of respiratory competence was confirmed by the observation that these 15 isolates, in contrast to IMS0408 and isolates containing a full mitochondrial genome, were unable to grow on YP-ethanol (Supplementary Figure 1).

Chromosomal Recombinations Frequently Caused Loss of Heterozygosity

Of the 55 evolved isolates, 29 displayed segmental copy number changes. In total, 20 of the 32 chromosomes in strain IMS0408

were affected in at least one isolate. Of the 55 evolved isolates, 24 showed chromosome segments with increased copy number and 41 showed chromosome segments with decreased copy number (Table 2, Figure 2). Seventeen internal recombinations resulting in deletions were observed: Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C) occurred in 13 strains, Δ Sc(YDR261C-YDR211W) occurred in two strains, and Δ Sc(YCL_{end}-YCL067C) and Δ Sc(YCR039C-YCR_{end}) occurred together in one strain. The internal recombinations Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C) and Δ Sc(YDR261C-YDR211W) both resulted in loss of the sequence between the recombination sites. The recombination occurred between *IXR1* and *DEF1* in Δ Sc(YKL032C-YKL054C) and between Ty-transposons for Δ Sc(YDR261C-YDR211W). Finally, the concurrent loss of Sc(YCL_{end}-YCL067C) and Sc(YCR039C-YCR_{end}) indicated loss of both ends of ScCHR_{III}, including telomeres. This mutation is consistent with a previously-observed circularization of chromosome III by a recombination between the HMLALPHA2

(YCL067C) and MATA1 (YCR039C) loci, leading to loss of both chromosome extremities (Newlon et al., 1991).

The remaining 24 chromosome-segment duplications and losses reflected inter-chromosomal recombinations: one chromosomal region was replaced by an additional copy of another chromosomal region by a non-conservative recombination. The recombinations $\Delta Sc(YGR279C-YGR_{end})::Se(YMR305C-YMR_{end})$ and $\Delta Se(YAR050W-YAR_{end})::Se(YAL_{end}-YAL063C)$ occurred between highly similar genes; the paralogs *SCW4* and *SCW10*, and *FLO1* and *FLO9*, respectively. In the remaining 22 cases, recombination occurred between homologous genes of each subgenome. No copy-number conservative chromosome translocations were identified.

Of the 26 observed recombinations, 23 occurred inside ORFs, and thus resulted in chimeric genes (Table 3). The homology between ORFs involved in recombinations varied from <70 to 100%, with a median homology of 82.41%. Chimeric ORFs were reconstructed by extracting reads from one locus affected by the recombination which were paired to the other locus affected by the recombination from the sequencing data, and using them for a local assembly. This approach allowed for identification of the recombination site at a resolution that, depending on sequence homology of the two ORFs, varied between 2 and 633 nucleotides. Due to length differences and relative INDELs between the original ORFs, recombined ORFs differed in length. However, all recombinations occurred in frame and no premature stop codons were introduced, suggesting that these chimeric ORFs might yield functional proteins.

***IRA2*, *SFL1*, and *MAL11* Are Mutated in Multiple Evolved Isolates**

A total of 76 SNPs and 43 INDELs were identified in the genomes of the 55 isolates (Supplementary Data Files 1A,B), of which 38 SNPs and 17 INDELs occurred in ORFs and were non-synonymous (Table 2). Gene ontology analysis of all genes affected by non-synonymous SNPs or INDELs did not yield a significant enrichment in specific biological processes, molecular functions or cellular components. However, the genes *IRA2*, *SFL1*, and *MAL11* were affected in more than one strain. *IRA2* encodes a RAS GTPase-activating protein, which is disrupted in many *S. cerevisiae* genomes from the CEN.PK strain family (Tanaka et al., 1990, 1991; Nijkamp et al., 2012). In strain IMS0408, the *ScIRA2* was indeed disrupted while the *SeIRA2* ORF was intact. However, *SeIRA2* was mutated in 6/10 isolates from LG12.1 after 232 generations. *SeIRA2* had a frameshift in IMS0594, a premature stop codon in IMS0596 and was completely lost in four isolates due to different loss of heterozygosities: $\Delta Se::Sc(YOL_{end}-YOL072W)$ in IMS0595, $\Delta Se::Sc(YOL_{end}-YOL057W)$ in IMS0597, $\Delta Se::Sc(YOL_{end}-YOL075W)$ in IMS0600 and $\Delta Se::Sc(YOL_{end}-YOL013C)$ in IMS0603.

SFL1 encodes a transcriptional repressor of flocculation genes, which was present both on *ScCHR5* and *SeCHR8* (Atsushi et al., 1989). *ScSFL1* was mutated in 6/10 isolates

from LG30.1 after 464 generations, which harbored a non-conservative substitution at the 605th nucleotide, affecting its DNA binding domain (Atsushi et al., 1989). *SeSFL1* had a frameshift in IMS0558 (LG30.1), a single nucleotide substitution in IMS0614 and IMS0617 (HG12.2) and was completely lost in four isolates of LG30.1 due to two losses of heterozygosity: $\Delta Se::Sc(YOR133W-YOR_{end})$ in IMS0559, IMS0561 and IMS0562, and $\Delta Se::Sc(YOR063W-YOR_{end})$ in IMS0560 (Table 2).

ScMAL11, also referred to as *AGT1*, encodes the only maltose transporter of the *MAL* gene family which enables efficient uptake of maltotriose in IMS0408 (Alves et al., 2008). *ScMAL11* is located on the right arm of *ScCHR7* and is absent in the *S. eubayanus* subgenome of IMS0408, which has no other maltotriose transporters (Hebly et al., 2015; Brickwedde et al., 2018). *ScMAL11* had a frameshift in IMS0557 (LG30.1) and lost its start codon in IMS0565 (LG30.2) (Table 2). In addition, *ScMAL11* was completely lost due to three different losses of heterozygosity: $\Delta Sc::Se(YGR282C-YGR_{end})$ in IMS0554 (LG30.1), $\Delta Sc::Se(YGR218C-YGR_{end})$ in IMS0558 (LG30.1) and $\Delta Sc::Se(YGR271W-YGR_{end})$ in IMS0567 (LG30.2), and due to the non-conservative recombination $\Delta Sc(YGR279C-YGR_{end})::Se(YMR305C-YMR_{end})$ in IMS0563 (LG30.2).

Mutations in *SFL1* Cause Emergence of Calcium-Dependent Flocculation

Eight of the 55 evolved isolates showed SNPs, INDELs or loss of heterozygosity in the flocculation inhibitor gene *SFL1*. In isolates IMS0558, IMS0559, IMS0560, IMS0561, and IMS0562 from LG30.1, both *SeSFL1* and *ScSFL1* were mutated, while in isolate IMS0556 from LG30.1 only *ScSFL1* was mutated and in isolates IMS0614 and IMS0617 from HG12.2, only *SeSFL1* was mutated. Evolved isolates that carried mutations in both *ScSFL1* and *SeSFL1* formed elevated conically-shaped colonies on YPD agar, while strain IMS0408 and evolved isolates with either an intact *SeSFL1* or *ScSFL1* did not (Figure 3A). Strains with mutations in both *ScSFL1* and *SeSFL1* also showed rapid sedimentation in micro-aerobic cultures on wort, which was not observed for the other evolved isolates or for strain IMS0408 (Figure 3B).

Mutations in *ScMAL11* Cause Loss of Maltotriose Utilization

All evolved isolates and the unevolved hybrid IMS0408 were grown under brewing conditions at the temperature and wort gravity used during their evolution in micro-aerobic 100 mL bottles to assess their brewing performance. Besides differences in flocculation behavior described above, only 6 isolates evolved on diluted wort at 30°C displayed significantly different brewing performances relative to IMS0408. These six strains all harbored mutations to the *ScMAL11* gene, which encodes the sole maltotriose transporter in strain IMS0408. The unevolved IMS0408 consumed $100 \pm 0\%$ of the maltotriose in diluted wort, and evolved strains with an intact *ScMAL11* genes consumed $98 \pm 3\%$. In contrast, strains IMS0554, IMS0557, IMS0558, IMS0563, IMS0565, and IMS0567, which all

TABLE 3 | Overview of all recombinations observed in 55 isolates obtained after laboratory evolution of strain IMS0408 under simulated lager fermentation conditions.

Recombination ^{a,b}	Affected isolates	Locus 1		Locus 2		Homology ^e	Length chimeric ORF (bp)	
		Name ^a	Length ^c	Name ^a	Length ^c			Recombination ^d
ΔSe(YAR050W-YARend)::Se(YALend-YAL063C)	IMS0614	SeFLO1	5,517	SeFLO9	4,752	712–735	79.23%	4,752
ΔSe::Sc(YBR275C-YBRend)	IMS0561	ScRIF1	5,751	ScRIF1	5,751	569–579	77.49%	5,745
ΔSc::Se(YDR051C-YDRend)	IMS0567	SeDET1	1,005	ScDET1	1,005	427–435	84.36%	1,005
ΔSc::Se(YGR218C-YGRend)	IMS0558	ScCRM1	3,251	SeCRM1	3,251	2,627–2,637	85.84%	3,251
ΔSc::Se(YGR271W-YGRend)	IMS0567	ScSLH1	5,904	SeSLH1	5,901	1,336–1,350	82.41%	5,901
ΔSc(YGR279C-YGRend)::Se(YMR305C-YMRend)	IMS0563	SeSCW10	1,146	ScSCW4	11,61	908–915	<70%*	1,146
ΔSc::Se(YGR282C-YGRend)	IMS0554	SeBGL2	942	ScBGL2	942	116–126	87.75%	942
ΔSc::Se(YHLend-YHL023C)	IMS0552	SeNPR3	3,444	ScNPR3	3,441	1,561–1,581	78.80%	3,432
ΔSe::Sc(YKLEnd-YKLO57C), ΔSc::Se(YKLO57C-YKREnd)	IMS0548	SeNUP120	3,114	ScNUP120	3,114	1,868–1,869	80.14%	3,114
ΔSc::Se(YLR154C-YLREnd)	IMS0544	Ribosomal DNA	–	Ribosomal DNA	–	–	–	–
ΔSe::Sc(YLR154C-YLREnd)	IMS0599	Ribosomal DNA	–	Ribosomal DNA	–	–	–	–
ΔSc::Se(YLR305C-YLREnd)	IMS0604	SeST74	5,703	ScST74	5,703	5,014–5,019	81.64%	5,703
ΔSc::Se(YNL061C-YNL055C)	IMS0562	ScNOP2	1,857	SeNOP2	1,860	1,390–1,395	87.11%	1,857
ΔSe::Sc(YNLend-YNL123W)	IMS0603	ScPOR1	852	SePOR1	852	400–402	85.82%	852
ΔSc::Se(YOLO13C-YOLO06C)	IMS0603	ScMMA111	2,994	SeMMA111	2,994	304–315	83.61%	2,994
ΔSe::Sc(YOLOend-YOLO13C)	IMS0603	SeTOP1	2,304	ScTOP1	2,311	2,017–2,028	82.64%	2,304
ΔSe::Sc(YOLOend-YOLO57W)	IMS0597	SeHRD1	1,644	ScHRD1	1,656	313–330	82.82%	1,656
ΔSe::Sc(YOLOend-YOLO72W)	IMS0595	ScTHP1	1,368	SeTHP1	1,374	733–738	79.07%	1,368
ΔSe::Sc(YOLOend-YOLO75W)	IMS0600	SeYOL075C	3,903	ScYOL075C	3,885	2,392–2,397	81.85%	3,903
ΔSe::Sc(YOR063W-YORend)	IMS0560	ScRPL3	1,164	SeRPL3	1,164	1,024–1,064	94.59%	1,164
ΔSe::Sc(YOR133W-YORend)	IMS0559, IMS0561 and IMS0562	SeEFT1	2,529	SeEFT1	2,529	247–312	94.94%	2,529
ΔSc(YCLend-YCL067C), ΔSc(YCR039C-YCRend)	IMS0551	ScHMLALPHA2	633	ScMATALPHA2	633	1–633	100%	633
ΔSc(YDR261C-YDR211W)	IMS0568 and IMS0560	TY-transposon	–	TY-transposon	–	–	–	–
ΔSc(YKLO32C-YKLO54C)	IMS0543–547, IMS0599, IMS0601 and IMS0602	ScIXR1	1,794	ScDEF1	2,217	1,281–1,292	<70%*	1,881
ΔSc(YKLO32C-YKLO54C)	IMS0604–608	ScIXR1	1,794	ScDEF1	2,217	1,272–1,281	<70%*	1,278


^aSc and Se indicate sequences on the *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* subgenomes, respectively.

^b“Δ” indicates deletion and “::” indicates substitution.

^cLength of the affected ORF in bp.

^dNucleotide coordinates of the locus at which recombination could have occurred. Due to the high homology of Locus 1 and Locus 2, they shared identical sequence stretches within which the recombination could have occurred. Recombination sites are therefore indicated by a range of nucleotide coordinates within the ORFs.

^eHomology between Locus 1 and Locus 2 as determined by sequence alignment.




harbored mutations in *ScMAL11*, did not show any maltotriose consumption; instead, the concentration increased by $14 \pm 3\%$ on average, presumably due to water evaporation. To test if the mutations affecting *ScMAL11* were responsible for the loss of maltotriose utilization, *ScMAL11* was deleted in strain IMS0408 using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing, resulting in strain IMX1698 (mVenus:: Δ *Scmal11*). Under the same conditions used to evaluate maltotriose utilization by the evolved strains, strain IMS0408 consumed $97 \pm 5\%$ of the maltotriose while strain IMX1698 only consumed $1 \pm 0\%$ of the maltotriose. These results confirmed that loss of *ScMAL11* function was responsible for loss of maltotriose utilization.

DISCUSSION

Evolution of the laboratory *S. cerevisiae* x *S. eubayanus* IMS0408 under simulated lager-brewing conditions yielded a wide array of mutations, including SNPs, INDELS, chromosomal recombinations, aneuploidy and loss of mitochondrial DNA (Table 2, Additional Data File 1). SNPs were the most common type of mutation, with frequencies ranging between 0.004 and 0.039 per division (Figure 4). Based on a genome size of 24.2 Mbp, the rate at which single-nucleotide mutations occurred was between $1.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$ and $1.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$ per nucleotide per cell division, which is similar to a rate of $3.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$ per site per cell division reported for *S. cerevisiae* (Lynch et al., 2008). At a frequency of

0.003 and 0.010 per cell division, INDELS occurred up to 4.1-fold less frequently than SNPs, in accordance with their two-fold lower occurrence in *S. cerevisiae* (Lang and Murray, 2008). The higher incidence of both SNPs and INDELS in isolates evolved in full-strength wort (Figure 4) may be related to the higher concentrations of ethanol, a known mutagen, in these cultures (Voordeckers et al., 2015). The rate of loss of mitochondrial DNA varied between 0.0001 and 0.007 per division (Figure 4), and was negatively correlated with the number of generations of selective growth, indicating loss of mitochondrial DNA is selected against. The percentage of respiratory deficient isolates, between 13 and 40% for all evolutions, is consistent with observations during laboratory evolution under oxygen limitation, and has been associated with increased ethanol tolerance (Ibeas and Jimenez, 1997; Taylor et al., 2002). However, loss of reparative capacity is highly undesirable for lager brewing as it impedes biomass propagation (Gibson et al., 2008).

The frequency of chromosomal recombinations was estimated between 0.002 and 0.005 per division (Figure 4), which is similar to frequencies reported for *S. cerevisiae* (Dunham et al., 2002). The observed recombinations were not reciprocal translocations. Instead, in all cases, genetic material was lost due to internal deletions, or genetic material from one chromosome was replaced by an additional copy of genetic material from another chromosome. This abundant loss of heterozygosity is consistent with the evolutionary history of *S. cerevisiae* (Magwene et al., 2011) and with previously observed loss of



genetic material in hybrids (Sipiczki, 2008; Peris et al., 2017; Smukowski Heil et al., 2017, 2018). Under selective conditions, regions from one subgenome can be preferentially affected by loss of heterozygosity due to the fitness effects of genes they harbor. Due to its irreversibility, loss of heterozygosity is a determining mechanism for the evolution of hybrids during domestication (Pérez Través et al., 2014). For example, in an *S. cerevisiae* × *S. uvarum* hybrid, the chromosomal region harboring *ScPHO84* was preferentially retained at 30°C, while it was lost at the expense of its *S. uvarum* homolog at 15°C (Smukowski Heil et al., 2017, 2018). Similarly, the superior growth of *S. cerevisiae* at 30°C relative to *S. paradoxus* could be linked to *S. cerevisiae* alleles of eight genes by investigating the effect of loss of heterozygosity in a laboratory hybrid (Weiss et al., 2018). Moreover, the deletion of *S. cerevisiae* alleles in *S. uvarum* × *S. cerevisiae* had strong and varying impacts on fitness under glucose-, sulfate- and phosphate-limitation (Lancaster et al., 2018). Overall, loss of heterozygosity is an irreversible process which enables rapid adaptation of hybrid genomes to the selective pressure of their growth environment. In the present study, loss of heterozygosity notably affected *ScMAL11* and *SeSFL1*,

contributing to the acquired flocculation and loss of maltotriose utilization phenotypes. Other observed losses of heterozygosity may be due to genetic drift, or they may yield a selective advantage which we did not identify. Loss of heterozygosity may be advantageous by removing unfavorable dominant alleles, by enabling the expression of favorable recessive alleles, or by resolving redundancy, cross-talk and possible incompatibility between alleles and genes of both subgenomes (Piatkowska et al., 2013; Gibson and Liti, 2015).

Whole-chromosome aneuploidy was the rarest type of mutation, occurring only between 0 and 0.0003 times per division (Figure 4). The emergence of single chromosome aneuploidy in 9% of the isolates after laboratory evolution is similar to observations during laboratory evolution of *S. cerevisiae* (Yona et al., 2012; Voordeckers et al., 2015; González-Ramos et al., 2016; Gorter de Vries et al., 2017b). However, the observed small extent of aneuploidy starkly contrasts with the massive aneuploidy of *S. pastorianus* brewing strains (Van Den Broek et al., 2015; Okuno et al., 2016). These differences might of course be attributed to the difference in time scale between 4 months of laboratory evolution and several centuries of domestication. However, they might also be due to differences between the *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* IMS0408 evolved in this study and the ancestral *S. pastorianus* hybrid. Firstly, IMS0408 was obtained by crossing a haploid *S. cerevisiae* strain with a haploid *S. eubayanus* spore (Hebly et al., 2015). In contrast, the progenitor of brewing strains of *S. pastorianus* may have resulted from a cross of higher ploidy strains (Okuno et al., 2016). Since higher ploidy leads to higher chromosome missegregation rates, they accumulate chromosome copy number changes faster (Storchova, 2014). In addition, the higher initial ploidy leads to a smaller relative increase of genetic material when an additional copy is gained, which may “buffer” deleterious effects of further changes in ploidy (Torres et al., 2007). Moreover, this laboratory-made hybrid was constructed by crossing a laboratory *S. cerevisiae* strain with the first discovered *S. eubayanus* strain, which may differ considerably from the genetic background of the initial *S. pastorianus* hybrid (Okuno et al., 2016). Therefore, the ancestral *S. pastorianus* hybrid may have had, or have acquired, mutations that stimulate extensive aneuploidy, such as mutations increasing the rate of chromosome missegregation or mutations increasing the tolerance against aneuploidy associated stresses (Torres et al., 2010). Finally, aneuploidy may have emerged in *S. pastorianus* by an (aborted) sporulation event, as such events can cause uneven chromosome segregation even in non-hybrid polyploid yeasts (Kim et al., 2017). Regardless of the origin of the extensive aneuploidy of *S. pastorianus*, our results show that euploid *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids are not by definition prone to extensive aneuploidy under brewing-related experimental conditions. For industrial applications, the relative genetic stability of newly generated *Saccharomyces* hybrid strains reduces the chance of strain deterioration during the many generations involved in large-scale fermentation and/or biomass recycling (Krogerus et al., 2017). Due to the industry practice of limiting yeast biomass re-pitching, the genetic and phenotypic stability observed during 52 generations in 17°P wort at 12°C is sufficient to warrant sufficient strain stability for lager brewing applications.

In addition to showing extensive aneuploidy, *S. pastorianus* strains harbor numerous chromosomal recombinations. During the laboratory evolution experiments, two types of recombinations were observed: (i) intrachromosomal recombinations resulting in loss of chromosome segments, and (ii) interchromosomal recombinations resulting in loss of one chromosome segment and replacement by an additional copy of a segment from another chromosome, resulting in loss of heterozygosity. While in *S. cerevisiae*, chromosomal recombinations predominantly occur in repetitive regions of the genome (Dunham et al., 2002; Fontdevila, 2005), here 88% of the observed recombinations occurred within ORFs. The average homology of the recombined ORFs did not exceed the average 85% homology of ORFs in the *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* subgenomes. Instead, the high rate of recombinations at ORFs could reflect a correlation between transcriptional activity and recombination (Thomas and Rothstein, 1989). In all cases, the reading frames were conserved, resulting in chimeric ORFs which could encode functional chimeric proteins, with altered length and sequences compared to the parental genomes. The potential selective advantage of such chimeric proteins is illustrated by recurring recombinations between ammonium permease *MEP2* alleles in a *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum* hybrid during laboratory evolution under nitrogen-limited conditions (Dunn et al., 2013). The formation of chimeric ORFs has even led to the emergence of novel gene functions, as illustrated by the formation of a maltotriose transporter by recombination of three non-maltotriose transporter genes in *S. eubayanus* during laboratory evolution (Brouwers et al., 2018).

The predominant occurrence of recombinations within ORFs in the evolved isolates has also been observed in the genomes of brewing strains of *S. pastorianus*, which all share identical recombinations at the *ZUO1*, *MAT*, *HSP82*, and *XRN1/KEM1* loci (Hewitt et al., 2014; Walther et al., 2014; Okuno et al., 2016). These common recombinations suggest that all *S. pastorianus* isolates descend from a common ancestor (Monerawela and Bond, 2018). However, *S. pastorianus* strains may also have emerged from two independent hybridization events, as suggested by the presence of two genetically distinct groups within *S. pastorianus* (Dunn and Sherlock, 2008; Baker et al., 2015). Indeed, since identical recombinations have been observed in independent evolutions, identical recombinations might reflect parallel evolution due to a strong selective advantage under brewing-related conditions and/or a predisposition of specific loci for recombination (Dunham et al., 2002; Dunn et al., 2013). Of the recombination loci found in the present study, only *EFT1* and *MAT* loci were associated with recombinations in *S. pastorianus*. Moreover, the recombinations at these loci in the evolved isolates were different from those in *S. pastorianus* (Hewitt et al., 2014; Walther et al., 2014; Okuno et al., 2016; Monerawela and Bond, 2017). All interchromosomal recombinations observed in this study were unique. These results, obtained under brewing-related conditions, are consistent with the notion that recombination sites are largely aleatory and that all modern *S. pastorianus* strains share the same recombinations because they descend from a single hybrid ancestor.

Different recombination events resulted in the loss of heterozygosity, in four isolates each, of the right arm of *SeCHRXXV*, including *SeSFL1*, and of the right arm of *ScCHRXI*, including *ScMAL11*. These events directly affected two phenotypes relevant for brewing fermentation: calcium-dependent flocculation, which led to fast biomass sedimentation, and loss of maltotriose utilization. Biomass sedimentation can be strongly selected for in sequential batch bioreactors, as it increases the chance that cells are retained in the bioreactor during the emptying phase (Oud et al., 2013; Hope et al., 2017). A similar selective advantage is likely to have played a role in the early domestication of *S. pastorianus*, as sedimenting yeast remaining in fermentation vessels was more likely to be used in a next fermentation. Flocculation is a key characteristic of current lager-brewing yeasts (also referred to as bottom-fermenting yeasts), as it simplifies biomass separation at the end of the fermentation (Ferreira et al., 2010). The present study illustrates how this aspect of brewing yeast domestication can be rapidly reproduced under simulated laboratory conditions.

At first glance, loss of the ability to utilize maltotriose, an abundant fermentable sugar in wort, appears to be undesirable from an evolutionary perspective. However, as demonstrated in studies on laboratory evolution of *S. cerevisiae* in sequential batch cultures on sugar mixtures, the selective advantage of consuming a specific sugar from a sugar mixture correlates with the number of generations of growth on that sugar during each cultivation cycle (Wisselink et al., 2009; Verhoeven et al., 2018). *Saccharomyces* yeasts, including strain IMS0408 generally prefer glucose and maltose over maltotriose (Alves et al., 2008; Hebly et al., 2015; Brickwedde et al., 2017). As a consequence, maltotriose consumption from wort typically only occurs when growth has already ceased due to oxygen limitation and/or nitrogen source depletion, which results in few or no generations of growth on this trisaccharide. However, loss of maltotriose utilization in six isolates in two independent evolution experiments strongly suggests that loss of *ScMAL11* expression was not merely neutral but even conferred a selective advantage. These results are consistent with the existence of many *S. pastorianus* strains with poor maltotriose utilization and with the truncation of *ScMAL11* in all *S. pastorianus* strains, including good maltotriose utilizers (Vidgren et al., 2009; Gibson et al., 2013). In the latter strains, maltotriose utilization depends on alternative transporters such as *Mty1* (Dietvorst et al., 2005; Salema-Oom et al., 2005). It is therefore unclear if a selective advantage of the loss of *ScMAL11* reflects specific properties of this gene or its encoded transporter or, alternatively, a general negative impact of maltotriose utilization under brewing-related conditions. In analogy with observations on maltose utilization by *S. cerevisiae*, unrestricted *Mal11*-mediated maltotriose-proton symport might cause maltotriose-accelerated death (Postma et al., 1990; Jansen et al., 2004). Alternatively, expression of the *Mal11* transporter might compete with superior maltose transporters for intracellular trafficking, membrane integration and/or membrane space (Vidgren, 2010; Libkind et al., 2011). Indeed, laboratory evolution to obtain improved maltotriose utilization resulted in reduced maltose uptake in *S. pastorianus* (Brickwedde et al., 2017).

Saccharomyces hybrids are commonly applied for industrial applications such as lager beer brewing and wine fermentation (Naumov et al., 2001; González et al., 2006; Libkind et al., 2011). Recently, novel hybrids have been generated, that performed well under a broad range of industrially-relevant conditions (Bellon et al., 2011; Hebly et al., 2015; Lopandic et al., 2016; Krogerus et al., 2017; Nikulin et al., 2017; Peris et al., 2017). While the performance and phenotypic diversity of laboratory hybrids support their application in industrial processes, further strain development of such hybrids could improve their performance (Steensels et al., 2014; Krogerus et al., 2017). Especially in food and beverage fermentation processes, consumer acceptance issues largely preclude use of targeted genetic modification techniques. Laboratory evolution offers an interesting alternative strategy for “non-GMO” strain improvement (Bachmann et al., 2015). However, as exemplified by the loss, in independent laboratory evolution experiments, of *MAL11* and of the mitochondrial genome, mutations that yield increased fitness under simulated industrial fermentation conditions are not necessarily advantageous for industrial performance. Therefore, instead of faithfully reconstructing industrial conditions in the laboratory, laboratory evolution experiments should be designed to specifically select for desired phenotypes. For example, a recent study illustrated how maltotriose fermentation kinetics of an *S. pastorianus* hybrid could be improved by laboratory evolution in carbon-limited chemostats grown on a maltotriose-enriched sugar mixture (Brickwedde et al., 2017).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated by genome sequencing for this study can be found in the NCBI database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) under the Bioproject PRJNA506072.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AG planned and executed the laboratory evolution, made single colony isolates, prepared genomic DNA and characterized ploidy

REFERENCES

- Alves, S. L., Herbets, R. A., Hollatz, C., Trichez, D., Miletti, L. C., De Araujo, P. S., et al. (2008). Molecular analysis of maltotriose active transport and fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reveals a determinant role for the *AGT1* permease. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 1494–1501. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02570-07
- Andreasen, A. A., and Stier, T. (1953). Anaerobic nutrition of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. I. ergosterol requirement for growth in a defined medium. *J. Cell. Comp. Physiol.* 41, 23–36.
- Atsushi, F., Yoshiko, K., Satoru, K., Yoshio, M., Shinichi, M., and Harumi, K. (1989). Domains of the *SFL1* protein of yeasts are homologous to Myc oncoproteins or yeast heat-shock transcription factor. *Gene* 85, 321–328. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(89)90424-1

and respiratory deficiency. AG, AvA, LK and LJ characterized flocculation and maltotriose utilization of the isolates. AG and MV performed the reverse engineering of deletions of *SFL1* and *MAL11* and characterized the reverse engineered phenotypes. AG and MvdB performed the bioinformatics analysis. AG, JP, and J-MD wrote the manuscript. AS, NB, and TA provided critical feedback throughout the study. J-MD and JP supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was performed within the BE-Basic R&D Program (grant numbers TKIBE01003 and TKIBE01001, <http://www.be-basic.org/>), which was granted an FES subsidy from the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture and Innovation (EL&I).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Erik de Hulster, Xavier Hakkaart, and Robert Mans for sharing their expertise in fermentation, Marijke Luttkik for her expertise in Flow cytometry and Nikola Gyurchev for assembling plasmids pUDP104 and pUDP105. We are thankful to Niels Kuijpers (HEINEKEN Supply Chain B.V.), and Jan-Maarten Geertman (HEINEKEN Supply Chain B.V.) for their support and for critically reading the manuscript. A pre-print version of this article has been uploaded on bioRxiv (Gorter de Vries et al., 2018b).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2019.00242/full#supplementary-material>

Data Sheet S1 | Mutations and mutation rates in the IMS0408-derived isolates obtained after laboratory evolution based on whole genome sequencing analysis. **(A)** SNPs identified in the isolates. **(B)** INDELS identified in the isolates. **(C)** chromosomal recombinations identified in the isolates. **(D)** whole chromosome aneuploidy identified in the isolates. **(E)** loss of mitochondrial DNA identified in the isolates. **(F)** mutation rates in the isolates.

- Bachmann, H., Pronk, J. T., Kleerebezem, M., and Teusink, B. (2015). Evolutionary engineering to enhance starter culture performance in food fermentations. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 32, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2014.09.003
- Bachmann, H., Starrenburg, M. J., Molenaar, D., Kleerebezem, M., and Van Hylckama Vlieg, J. E. (2012). Microbial domestication signatures of *Lactococcus lactis* can be reproduced by experimental evolution. *Genome Res.* 22, 115–124. doi: 10.1101/gr.121285.111
- Baker, E., Wang, B., Bellora, N., Peris, D., Hulfachor, A. B., Koshalek, J. A., et al. (2015). The genome sequence of *Saccharomyces eubayanus* and the domestication of lager-brewing yeasts. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 2818–2831. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msv168
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

- Bellon, J. R., Eglinton, J. M., Siebert, T. E., Pollnitz, A. P., Rose, L., De Barros Lopes, M., et al. (2011). Newly generated interspecific wine yeast hybrids introduce flavour and aroma diversity to wines. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 91, 603–612. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3294-3
- Botstein, D., Chervitz, S. A., and Cherry, M. (1997). Yeast as a model organism. *Science* 277, 1259–1260. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5330.1259
- Brickwedde, A., Brouwers, N., Van Den Broek, M., Gallego Murillo, J. S., Fraiture, J. L., Pronk, J. T., et al. (2018). Structural, physiological and regulatory analysis of maltose transporter genes in *Saccharomyces eubayanus* CBS 12357^T. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1786. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01786
- Brickwedde, A., Van Den Broek, M., Geertman, J.-M. A., Magalhães, F., Kuijpers, N. G. A., Gibson, B., et al. (2017). Evolutionary engineering in chemostat cultures for improved maltotriose fermentation kinetics in *Saccharomyces pastorianus* lager brewing yeast. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1690. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01690
- Briggs, D. E., Brookes, P., Stevens, R., and Boulton, C. (2004). *Brewing: Science and Practice*. Cambridge: Elsevier.
- Brouwers, N., Gorter de Vries, A. R., Van Den Broek, M., Weening, S. M., Schuurman, T. D. E., Kuijpers, N. G. A., et al. (2018). *In vivo* recombination of *Saccharomyces eubayanus* maltose-transporter genes yields a chimeric transporter that enables maltotriose fermentation. *PLoS Genet. biRxiv [preprint]*. doi: 10.1101/428839
- Chambers, S. R., Hunter, N., Louis, E. J., and Borts, R. H. (1996). The mismatch repair system reduces meiotic homeologous recombination and stimulates recombination-dependent chromosome loss. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 16, 6110–6120. doi: 10.1128/MCB.16.11.6110
- Chen, K., Wallis, J. W., McLellan, M. D., Larson, D. E., Kalicki, J. M., Pohl, C. S., et al. (2009). BreakDancer: an algorithm for high-resolution mapping of genomic structural variation. *Nat. Methods* 6:677. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1363
- Coloretti, F., Zambonelli, C., and Tini, V. (2006). Characterization of flocculent *Saccharomyces* interspecific hybrids for the production of sparkling wines. *Food Microbiol.* 23, 672–676. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2005.11.002
- Delneri, D., Colson, I., Grammenoudi, S., Roberts, I. N., Louis, E. J., and Oliver, S. G. (2003). Engineering evolution to study speciation in yeasts. *Nature* 422:68. doi: 10.1038/nature01418
- Dietvorst, J., Londesborough, J., and Steensma, H. (2005). Maltotriose utilization in lager yeast strains: *MTT1* encodes a maltotriose transporter. *Yeast* 22, 775–788. doi: 10.1002/yea.1279
- Dunham, M. J., Badrane, H., Ferea, T., Adams, J., Brown, P. O., Rosenzweig, F., et al. (2002). Characteristic genome rearrangements in experimental evolution of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 16144–16149. doi: 10.1073/pnas.242624799
- Dunn, B., Paulish, T., Stanbery, A., Piotrowski, J., Koniges, G., Kroll, E., et al. (2013). Recurrent rearrangement during adaptive evolution in an interspecific yeast hybrid suggests a model for rapid introgression. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003366. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003366
- Dunn, B., and Sherlock, G. (2008). Reconstruction of the genome origins and evolution of the hybrid lager yeast *Saccharomyces pastorianus*. *Genome Res.* 18, 1610–1623. doi: 10.1101/gr.076075.108
- Engler, C., Kandzia, R., and Marillonnet, S. (2008). A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with high throughput capability. *PLoS ONE* 3:e3647. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003647
- Ferreira, I., Pinho, O., Vieira, E., and Tavela, J. (2010). Brewer's *Saccharomyces* yeast biomass: characteristics and potential applications. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 21, 77–84. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2009.10.008
- Fontdevila, A. (2005). Hybrid genome evolution by transposition. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 110, 49–55. doi: 10.1159/000084937
- Gibbons, J. G., and Rinker, D. C. (2015). The genomics of microbial domestication in the fermented food environment. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 35, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2015.07.003
- Gibbons, J. G., Salichos, L., Slot, J. C., Rinker, D. C., McGary, K. L., King, J. G., et al. (2012). The evolutionary imprint of domestication on genome variation and function of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 1403–1409. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.033
- Gibson, B., and Liti, G. (2015). *Saccharomyces pastorianus*: genomic insights inspiring innovation for industry. *Yeast* 32, 17–27. doi: 10.1002/yea.3033
- Gibson, B., Prescott, K., and Smart, K. (2008). Petite mutation in aged and oxidatively stressed ale and lager brewing yeast. *Let. Appl. Microbiol.* 46, 636–642. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02360.x
- Gibson, B. R., Lawrence, S. J., Leclaire, J. P., Powell, C. D., and Smart, K. A. (2007). Yeast responses to stresses associated with industrial brewery handling. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 31, 535–569. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00076.x
- Gibson, B. R., Storgårds, E., Krogerus, K., and Vidgren, V. (2013). Comparative physiology and fermentation performance of Saaz and Froberg lager yeast strains and the parental species *Saccharomyces eubayanus*. *Yeast* 30, 255–266. doi: 10.1002/yea.2960
- González, S. S., Barrio, E., Gafner, J., and Querol, A. (2006). Natural hybrids from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Saccharomyces bayanus* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* in wine fermentations. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 6, 1221–1234. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00126.x
- González-Ramos, D., Gorter de Vries, A. R., Grijsseels, S. S., Berkum, M. C., Swinnen, S., Broek, M., et al. (2016). A new laboratory evolution approach to select for constitutive acetic acid tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and identification of causal mutations. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 9:173. doi: 10.1186/s13068-016-0583-1
- Gorter de Vries, A.R., Couwenberg, L.G.F., Van Den Broek, M., De La Torre Cortes, P., Ter Horst, J., Pronk, J.T., and Daran, J.-M.G. (2018a). Allele-specific genome editing using CRISPR-Cas9 is associated with loss of heterozygosity in diploid yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 1362–1372.
- Gorter de Vries, A. R., De Groot, P. A., Van Den Broek, M., and Daran, J. M. G. (2017a). CRISPR-Cas9 mediated gene deletions in lager yeast *Saccharomyces pastorianus*. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 16:222. doi: 10.1186/s12934-017-0835-1
- Gorter de Vries, A. R., Pronk, J. T., and Daran, J. M. G. (2017b). Industrial relevance of chromosomal copy number variation in *Saccharomyces* yeasts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83:e03206–03216. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03206-16
- Gorter de Vries, A. R., Voskamp, M. A., Van Aalst, A. C. A., Kristensen, L. H., Jansen, L., Van Den Broek, M., et al. (2018b). Laboratory evolution of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* x *S. eubayanus* hybrid under simulated lager-brewing conditions: genetic diversity and phenotypic convergence. *BioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/476929.
- Haase, S. B., and Reed, S. I. (2002). Improved flow cytometric analysis of the budding yeast cell cycle. *Cell Cycle* 1, 117–121. doi: 10.4161/cc.1.2.114
- Hebly, M., Brickwedde, A., Bolat, I., Driessen, M. R. M., De Hulster, E. A., Pronk, J. T., et al. (2015). *S. cerevisiae* x *S. eubayanus* interspecific hybrid, the best of both worlds and beyond. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 15:fov005. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov005
- Hewitt, S. K., Donaldson, I. J., Lovell, S. C., and Delneri, D. (2014). Sequencing and characterisation of rearrangements in three *S. pastorianus* strains reveals the presence of chimeric genes and gives evidence of breakpoint reuse. *PLoS ONE* 9:e92203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092203
- Hittinger, C. T. (2013). *Saccharomyces* diversity and evolution: a budding model genus. *Trends Genet.* 29, 309–317. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2013.01.002
- Hope, E. A., Amorosi, C. J., Miller, A. W., Dang, K., Heil, C. S., and Dunham, M. J. (2017). Experimental evolution reveals favored adaptive routes to cell aggregation in yeast. *Genetics* 206, 1153–1167. doi: 10.1534/genetics.116.198895
- Ibeas, J. I., and Jimenez, J. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA loss caused by ethanol in *Saccharomyces flor* yeasts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 7–12.
- Jansen, M. L., Daran-Lapujade, P., De Winde, J. H., Piper, M. D., and Pronk, J. T. (2004). Prolonged maltose-limited cultivation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* selects for cells with improved maltose affinity and hypersensitivity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 1956–1963. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.4.1956-1963.2004
- Kim, S. R., Skerker, J. M., Kong, I. I., Kim, H., Maurer, M. J., Zhang, G.-C., et al. (2017). Metabolic engineering of a haploid strain derived from a triploid industrial yeast for producing cellulosic ethanol. *Metabolic Eng.* 40, 176–185. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2017.02.006
- Krogerus, K., Magalhães, F., Vidgren, V., and Gibson, B. (2017). Novel brewing yeast hybrids: creation and application. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 101, 65–78. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-8007-5
- Lancaster, S. M., Payen, C., Heil, C. S., and Dunham, M. J. (2018). Fitness benefits of loss of heterozygosity in *Saccharomyces* hybrids. *BioRxiv. biRxiv [preprint]*. doi: 10.1101/452748
- Lang, G. I., and Murray, A. W. (2008). Estimating the per-base-pair mutation rate in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 178, 67–82. doi: 10.1534/genetics.107.071506

- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2010). Fast and accurate long-read alignment with burrows-wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 26, 589–595. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Libkind, D., Hittinger, C. T., Valério, E., Gonçalves, C., Dover, J., Johnston, M., et al. (2011). Microbe domestication and the identification of the wild genetic stock of lager-brewing yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 14539–14544. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1105430108
- Liti, G., Barton, D. B., and Louis, E. J. (2006). Sequence diversity, reproductive isolation and species concepts in *Saccharomyces*. *Genetics* 174, 839–850. doi: 10.1534/genetics.106.062166
- Lopandic, K., Pfliegler, W. P., Tiefenbrunner, W., Gangl, H., Sipiczki, M., and Sterflinger, K. (2016). Genotypic and phenotypic evolution of yeast interspecies hybrids during high-sugar fermentation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100, 6331–6343. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7481-0
- Lynch M., Sung W., Morris K. et al. (2008) A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 9272–9277.
- Magwene, P. M., Kayikçi, Ö., Granek, J. A., Reininga, J. M., Scholl, Z., and Murray, D. (2011). Outcrossing, mitotic recombination, and life-history trade-offs shape genome evolution in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 1987–1992. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012544108
- Marsit, S., and Dequin, S. (2015). Diversity and adaptive evolution of *Saccharomyces* wine yeast: a review. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 15:fov067. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov067
- Meussdoerffer, F. G. (2009). “A comprehensive history of beer brewing,” in *Handbook of Brewing: Processes, Technology, Markets* (Eßlinger: Wiley-VCH), 1–42.
- Monerawela, C., and Bond, U. (2017). Recombination sites on hybrid chromosomes in *Saccharomyces pastorianus* share common sequence motifs and define a complex evolutionary relationship between group I and II lager yeasts. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 17:fox047. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox047
- Monerawela, C., and Bond, U. (2018). The hybrid genomes of *Saccharomyces pastorianus*: a current perspective. *Yeast* 35, 39–50. doi: 10.1002/yea.3250
- Naseeb, S., James, S. A., Alsammar, H., Michaels, C. J., Gini, B., Nueno-Palop, C., et al. (2017). *Saccharomyces jurei* sp nov., isolation and genetic identification of a novel yeast species from *Quercus robur*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 2046–2052. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002013
- Naumov, G. I., Nguyen, H.-V., Naumova, E. S., Michel, A., Aigle, M., and Gaillardin, C. (2001). Genetic identification of *Saccharomyces bayanus* var. *uvarum*, a cider-fermenting yeast. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 65, 163–171. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00515-8
- Newlon, C., Lipchitz, L., Collins, L., Deshpande, A., Devenish, R., Green, R., et al. (1991). Analysis of a circular derivative of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chromosome III: a physical map and identification and location of ARS elements. *Genetics* 129, 343–357.
- Nijkamp, J. F., Van Den Broek, M., Datema, E., De Kok, S., Bosman, L., Luttkik, M. A., et al. (2012). De novo sequencing, assembly and analysis of the genome of the laboratory strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CEN. PK113–7D, a model for modern industrial biotechnology. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 11:36. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-11-36
- Nikulin, J., Krogerus, K., and Gibson, B. (2017). Alternative *Saccharomyces* interspecies hybrid combinations and their potential for low-temperature wort fermentation. *Yeast* 35, 113–127. doi: 10.1002/yea.3246
- Okuno, M., Kajitani, R., Ryusui, R., Morimoto, H., Kodama, Y., and Itoh, T. (2016). Next-generation sequencing analysis of lager brewing yeast strains reveals the evolutionary history of interspecies hybridization. *DNA Res.* 23, 67–80. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsv037
- Oud, B., Guadalupe-Medina, V., Nijkamp, J. F., De Ridder, D., Pronk, J. T., Van Maris, A. J., et al. (2013). Genome duplication and mutations in *ACE2* cause multicellular, fast-sedimenting phenotypes in evolved *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110:E4223–E4231. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1305949110
- Pérez Través, L., Lopes, C. A., Barrio Esparducer, E., and Querol Simón, A. (2014). Stabilization process in *Saccharomyces* intra and interspecific hybrids in fermentative conditions. *Int. Microbiol.* 17, 213–224. doi: 10.2436/20.1501.01.224
- Peris, D., Moriarty, R. V., Alexander, W. G., Baker, E., Sylvester, K., Sardi, M., et al. (2017). Hybridization and adaptive evolution of diverse *Saccharomyces* species for cellulosic biofuel production. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 10:78. doi: 10.1186/s13068-017-0763-7
- Piatkowska, E. M., Naseeb, S., Knight, D., and Delneri, D. (2013). Chimeric protein complexes in hybrid species generate novel phenotypes. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003836. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003836
- Postma, E., Verduyn, C., Kuiper, A., Scheffers, W. A., and Van Dijken, J. P. (1990). Substrate-accelerated death of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CBS 8066 under maltose stress. *Yeast* 6, 149–158. doi: 10.1002/yea.320060209
- Querol, A., and Bond, U. (2009). The complex and dynamic genomes of industrial yeasts. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 293, 1–10. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01480.x
- Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz, G., et al. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29:24. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1754
- Salazar, A. N., Gorter de Vries, A. R., Van Den Broek, M., Wijsman, M., De La Torre Cortés, P., Brickwedde, A., et al. (2017). Nanopore sequencing enables near-complete de novo assembly of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reference strain CEN. PK113–7D. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 17. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox074
- Salema-Oom, M., Pinto, V. V., Gonçalves, P., and Spencer-Martins, I. (2005). Maltotriose utilization by industrial *Saccharomyces* strains: characterization of a new member of the α -glucoside transporter family. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 5044–5049. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.9.5044-5049.2005
- Santaguida, S., and Amon, A. (2015). Short-and long-term effects of chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploidy. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16:473. doi: 10.1038/nrm4025
- Sheltzer, J. M., Blank, H. M., Pfau, S. J., Tange, Y., George, B. M., Humpton, T. J., et al. (2011). Aneuploidy drives genomic instability in yeast. *Science* 333, 1026–1030. doi: 10.1126/science.1206412
- Sipiczki, M. (2008). Interspecies hybridization and recombination in *Saccharomyces* wine yeasts. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 8, 996–1007. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00369.x
- Smukowski Heil, C. S., Desevo, C. G., Pai, D. A., Tucker, C. M., Hoang, M. L., and Dunham, M. J. (2017). Loss of heterozygosity drives adaptation in hybrid yeast. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 1596–1612. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx098
- Smukowski Heil, C. S., Large, C. R., Patterson, K., and Dunham, M. J. (2018). Temperature preference biases parental genome retention during hybrid evolution. *BioRxiv. bioRxiv [preprint]*. doi: 10.1101/429803
- Solis-Escalante, D., Kuijpers, N. G. A., Nadine, B., Bolat, I., Bosman, L., Pronk, J. T., et al. (2013). amdSYM, a new dominant recyclable marker cassette for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 13, 126–139. doi: 10.1111/1567-1364.12024
- Steensels, J., Snoek, T., Meersman, E., Nicolino, M. P., Voordeckers, K., and Verstrepen, K. J. (2014). Improving industrial yeast strains: exploiting natural and artificial diversity. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 38, 947–995. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12073
- Storchova, Z. (2014). Ploidy changes and genome stability in yeast. *Yeast* 31, 421–430. doi: 10.1002/yea.3037
- Tanaka, K., Lin, B. K., Wood, D. R., and Tamanoi, F. (1991). *IRA2*, an upstream negative regulator of *RAS* in yeast, is a *RAS* GTPase-activating protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 468–472. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.2.468
- Tanaka, K., Nakafuku, M., Satoh, T., Marshall, M. S., Gibbs, J. B., Matsumoto, K., et al. (1990). *S. cerevisiae* genes *IRA1* and *IRA2* encode proteins that may be functionally equivalent to mammalian ras GTPase activating protein. *Cell* 60, 803–807. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90094-U
- Taylor, D. R., Zeyl, C., and Cooke, E. (2002). Conflicting levels of selection in the accumulation of mitochondrial defects in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 3690–3694. doi: 10.1073/pnas.072660299
- Thomas, B. J., and Rothstein, R. (1989). Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. *Cell* 56, 619–630. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(89)90584-9
- Torres, E. M., Dephoure, N., Panneerselvam, A., Tucker, C. M., Whittaker, C. A., Gygi, S. P., et al. (2010). Identification of aneuploidy-tolerating mutations. *Cell* 143, 71–83. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.038

- Torres, E. M., Sokolsky, T., Tucker, C. M., Chan, L. Y., Boselli, M., Dunham, M. J., et al. (2007). Effects of aneuploidy on cellular physiology and cell division in haploid yeast. *Science* 317, 916–924. doi: 10.1126/science.1142210
- Van Den Broek, M., Bolat, I., Nijkamp, J. F., Ramos, E., Luttk, M., a.H., et al. (2015). Chromosomal copy number variation in *Saccharomyces pastorianus* is evidence for extensive genome dynamics in industrial lager brewing strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 6253–6267. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01263-15
- Verduyn, C., Postma, E., Scheffers, W. A., and Van Dijken, J. P. (1992). Effect of benzoic acid on metabolic fluxes in yeasts: a continuous-culture study on the regulation of respiration and alcoholic fermentation. *Yeast* 8, 501–517. doi: 10.1002/yea.320080703
- Verhoeven, M. D., De Valk, S. C., Daran, J.-M. G., Van Maris, A. J., and Pronk, J. T. (2018). Fermentation of glucose-xylose-arabinose mixtures by a synthetic consortium of single-sugar-fermenting *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 18:foy075. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/foy075
- Vidgren, V. (2010). *Maltose and Maltotriose Transport Into ale and Lager Brewer's Yeast Strains*. Helsinki: VTT publications.
- Vidgren, V., Huuskonen, A., Virtanen, H., Ruohonen, L., and Londesborough, J. (2009). Improved fermentation performance of a lager yeast after repair of its *AGT1* maltose and maltotriose transporter genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 2333–2345. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01558-08
- Voordeckers, K., Kominek, J., Das, A., Espinosa-Cantú, A., De Maeyer, D., Arslan, A., et al. (2015). Adaptation to high ethanol reveals complex evolutionary pathways. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1005635. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005635
- Wach, A., Brachat, A., Pöhlmann, R., and Philippsen, P. (1994). New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 10, 1793–1808. doi: 10.1002/yea.320101310
- Walker, B. J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., et al. (2014). Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* 9:e112963. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112963
- Walther, A., Hesselbart, A., and Wendland, J. (2014). Genome sequence of *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*, the world's first pure culture lager yeast. *G3 (Bethesda)* 4, 783–793. doi: 10.1534/g3.113.010090
- Weiss, C. V., Roop, J. I., Hackley, R. K., Chuong, J. N., Grigoriev, I. V., Arkin, A. P., et al. (2018). Genetic dissection of interspecific differences in yeast thermotolerance. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 1501–1504. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0243-4
- Wisselink, H. W., Toirkens, M. J., Wu, Q., Pronk, J. T., and Van Maris, A. J. A. (2009). Novel evolutionary engineering approach for accelerated utilization of glucose, xylose, and arabinose mixtures by engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 907–914. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02268-08
- Yona, A. H., Manor, Y. S., Herbst, R. H., Romano, G. H., Mitchell, A., Kupiec, M., et al. (2012). Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 21010–21015. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1211150109

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Gorter de Vries, Voskamp, van Aalst, Kristensen, Jansen, van den Broek, Salazar, Brouwers, Abeel, Pronk and Daran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.