

## Dual promotional effect of Cu<sub>.</sub>O clusters grown with atomic layer deposition on TiO<sub>2</sub> for<br>photographtic bydrogen prodúction photocatalytic hydrogen prodůction

Saedy, Saeed; Hiemstra, Nico; Benz, Dominik; Van Bui, Hao; Nolan, Michael; van Ommen, J. Ruud

DOI [10.1039/d2cy00400c](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cy00400c)

Publication date 2022

Document Version Final published version

Published in Catalysis Science and Technology

### Citation (APA)

Saedy, S., Hiemstra, N., Benz, D., Van Bui, H., Nolan, M., & van Ommen, J. R. (2022). Dual promotional effect of Cu O clusters grown with atomic layer deposition on TiO for photocatalytic hydrogen production.<br>Catalysis Science and Technology, 12(14), 4511-4523, https://doicoro/10.1039/d2cy00400c Catalysis Sčience and Technology, 12(14), 4511-4523. https://doi<del>.</del>org/10.1039/d2cy00400c

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

#### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# **Catalysis<br>Science & Technology** Technology (SS)

# PAPER



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d2cy00400c

Dual promotional effect of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters grown with atomic layer deposition on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  for photocatalytic hydrogen production†

Saeed Saedy,  $\mathbb{D}^{\star}$ a Nico Hiemstra,  $\mathbb{D}^{\circ}$  Domi[nik](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7884-0323) Benz,  $\mathbb{D}^{\circ}$  Hao Van Bui,  $\mathbb{D}^{\circ}$ Michael Nolan  $\mathbf{D}^c$  and J. Ruud van Ommen  $\mathbf{D}^a$ 

The promotional effects on photocatalytic hydrogen production of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters deposited using atomic layer deposition (ALD) on P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> are presented. The structural and surface chemistry study of Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples, along with first principles density functional theory simulations, reveal the strong interaction of ALD deposited Cu<sub>x</sub>O with TiO<sub>2</sub>, leading to the stabilization of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters on the surface; it also demonstrated substantial reduction of  $Ti^{4+}$  to  $Ti^{3+}$  on the surface of Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples after Cu<sub>x</sub>O ALD. The Cu<sub>v</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts showed remarkable improvement in hydrogen productivity, with 11 times greater hydrogen production for the optimum sample compared to unmodified P25. With the combination of the hydrogen production data and characterization of Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts, we inferred that ALD deposited CuxO clusters have a dual promotional effect: increased charge carrier separation and improved light absorption, consistent with known copper promoted  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts and generation of a substantial amount of surface  $Ti^{3+}$  which results in self-doping of TiO<sub>2</sub> and improves its photo-activity for hydrogen production. The obtained data were also employed to modify the previously proposed expanding photocatalytic area and overlap model to describe the effect of cocatalyst size and weight loading on photocatalyst activity. Comparing the trend of surface  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$  content increase and the photocatalytically promoted area, calculated with our model, suggests that the depletion zone formed around the heterojunction of Cu<sub>x</sub>O–TiO<sub>2</sub> is the main active area for hydrogen production, and the hydrogen productivity of the photocatalyst depends on the surface coverage by this active area. However, the overlap of these areas suppresses the activity of the photocatalyst. **PAPER**<br> **Dual promotional effect of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters grown<br>
Check for undates<br>
Contains and <b>by the promotional effect of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters grown<br>
Check for undates<br>
Contains and the <b>promotional effect of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters g** 

Received 27th February 2022, Accepted 2nd June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cy00400c

rsc.li/catalysis

# Introduction

Climate change and its devastating environmental effects constitute the major long-term threats that our planet is facing. On the other hand, the depletion of fossil fuel reserves is a big challenge to the energy security of our society. It is anticipated that the annual energy consumption will be doubled by 2050 compared to  $2015<sup>1</sup>$  Relying on fossil fuels may partly solve this issue from an energy supply perspective; however, it will further escalate the environmental crisis via enormous  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  release and that of other harmful emissions

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, Phenikaa University, Yen Nghia,</sup> Ha-Dong District, Hanoi 12116, Vietnam

such as  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$ .<sup>2</sup> The use of hydrogen as a carbon-free energy carrier is a promising solution, offering a sustainable energy system.<sup>3</sup> Hydrogen is potentially the most sustainable and cleanest transportation fuel<sup> $4,5$ </sup> and is already used in fuel cells. It produces no pollutants nor greenhouse gases while having a high energy capacity.<sup>5</sup> The primary advantage of hydrogen is that it can be transported and stored with minor losses using the current chemical fuel infrastructure with some modifications,<sup>6</sup> making a smooth transition from fossil fuels possible. Nowadays, the majority of hydrogen, c.a. 95%, is produced from fossil fuels via processes like methane reforming, coal gasification,  $etc.<sup>7</sup>$  and is known as grey hydrogen. These processes are not environmentally friendly, use fossil fuels and contribute to significant  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions, so that the hydrogen obtained in this way is unsustainable.

The solar energy arriving at the earth's surface every hour is enough for one year of global consumption.<sup>2</sup> The photocatalytic (solar) production of hydrogen is regarded as a cost-efficient and sustainable approach for hydrogen production that enables harvesting of sunlight and long-term storage of the most abundant and renewable energy source

ROYAL SOCIETY<br>OF CHEMISTRY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZDelft, The Netherlands. E-mail: s.saedy@tudelft.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Lee Maltings, Dyke Parade, T12 R5CP, Cork, Ireland

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: All data for the DFT calculations will be freely available at the following repository upon acceptance of the paper: <https://github.com/MMD-group/VASP>. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cy00400c) [10.1039/d2cy00400c](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cy00400c)

available.<sup>2,3,8</sup> Since the first publication from Fujishima and Honda9 on photolytic water splitting using titanium dioxide  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , there has been significant research on solar hydrogen production, and it is well-reviewed. $2,3,5-7,10$  Among the different photocatalytically active materials,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  shows superiority for solar hydrogen production due to its unique properties, including high chemical stability, non-toxic nature, environmental compatibility, availability, and low  $cost^{3,5,10}$  However, TiO<sub>2</sub> suffers from a wide bandgap, which is larger than 3 eV for its different crystal structures, making absorption and utilization of UV light dominant rather than absorption of visible light. $2,11$  It also suffers from significant electron–hole recombination, reducing its photocatalytic efficiency.<sup>3</sup>

There are several approaches to improve the photocatalytic efficiency of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , which mainly focus on bandgap narrowing to activate it for visible light and enhance the electro-hole separation.<sup>3,5</sup> Doping non-metal dopants like nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorous, and surface decoration/doping using metal/metal oxide nanoparticles (NPs) such as copper, iron, silver, gold, and platinum are some of the more widely studied attempts to improve the photocatalytic activity of  $\text{TiO}_2$ .<sup>2,3,5</sup> Additionally, self-doping of TiO<sub>2</sub> by Ti<sup>3+</sup> also improves its photo-activity for hydrogen production.<sup>12-14</sup> Among these photocatalytic activity promoters, copper is a promising candidate for promoting solar hydrogen production due to its low cost, narrow bandgap, and comparable cocatalyst activity to expensive promoters such as gold and silver.<sup>3</sup> Copper oxide is a narrow bandgap p-type semiconductor that, in combination with the n-type  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , improves its visible light absorption and produces a p–n junction, enhancing the overall photocatalytic activity toward hydrogen production.<sup>3,15-22</sup>

The promotional effects in the cocatalyst-promoted  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ systems are well studied, and numerous attempts have been made to describe the effect of cocatalyst loading on the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , suggesting the existence of an optimum point for cocatalyst loading.23–<sup>28</sup> A fundamental understanding of the correlation between the cocatalyst particle size/loading and the photocatalytic activity of the photocatalyst will pave the way for the design, development, and large-scale production of a high-performance photocatalyst. Recently, Mills et  $al.^{29}$  developed a model which they term "expanding photocatalytic area and overlap (EPAO)" based on the previously developed metal support interface (MSI) model by Bowker et  $al.^{30}$  to describe the relationship between the hydrogen production rate and the cocatalyst loading during photocatalytic methanol reforming. The EPAO model is built upon six assumptions $29$  and is validated for  $Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  systems, suggesting that hydrogen production rate is proportional to the total photocatalytically active area surrounding the cocatalyst particle; it also suggests that the overlap of these active areas at high coverage results in a drop in hydrogen production. The EPAO model makes the quantification of the relation between the cocatalyst loading and the photocatalyst activity possible. In this paper, we seek to further improve the EPAO model using copper oxide modified  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  where copper oxide is deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD).

Developing an insightful understanding that can lead us to a precise model to describe the correlation between the cocatalyst particle size/loading and the photocatalytic activity requires a set of catalysts with a well-defined structure. Such catalysts are usually developed for surface science studies on single crystal flat substrates; however, translating those systems into real catalytic systems is quite challenging. On the other hand, a catalyst prepared using the conventional liquid phase methods such as impregnation and precipitation lacks such a well-defined structure.<sup>31,32</sup> Atomic layer deposition is a leading method for synthesizing welldefined advanced functional nanomaterials and can be employed to surmount this issue. As an excellent technique for supported NPs synthesis, ALD makes the precise deposition of well-controlled particles in terms of size and composition possible; it also allows controlling the amount of material deposited on the substrate at the atomic level. $31,33-35$  The possibility of depositing uniform NPs on the support with controlled size, shape, and morphology using ALD provides an excellent opportunity to obtain high-activity photocatalysts with a well-controlled structure. The advantages of ALD as a novel method for preparing supported catalysts are well-reviewed and addressed in multiple works.<sup>31,36-38</sup> **Paper** Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
1004), there has been splitted on the mathematic on the region of the single common control in points are the proposition of the paper with the single transfer and the paper in the

In this work, we aim to elucidate the correlation between the cocatalyst particle size/loading of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  on TiO<sub>2</sub> and the photocatalytic activity during solar hydrogen production. We employed ALD to deposit  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  NPs on AEROXIDE® P25  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs with precise control over loading and Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size. The obtained samples were used as photocatalysts for the solar production of hydrogen from photolysis of a methanol/water solution, showing superior hydrogen productivity compared to pristine  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The XPS analysis revealed  $Ti^{4+}$  reduction to  $Ti^{3+}$  after copper ALD, with an initial linear trend with copper loading, and plateauing of  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$  content at about 2.3 wt% of copper. This is the copper content at which hydrogen productivity is maximum. The modified EPAO model was validated using these results with a good fit. The model indicates the development of a photocatalytically promoted area (PPA) around Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters, revealing a dual promotional effect of ALD grown  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters: increased charge carrier separation and improved light absorption, known for copper promoted  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts, and generation of a substantial amount of surface  $Ti^{3+}$ , leading to self-doping of TiO2. Complementary first-principles density functional theory (DFT) simulations are also utilized to understand the interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters with the TiO<sub>2</sub> support and assess the origin of titanium and copper oxidation states. The DFT results align with our experimental observations, indicating the stabilization of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters on TiO<sub>2</sub>, and a partial reduction of  $Ti^{4+}$  to  $Ti^{3+}$  due to the interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters with TiO<sub>2</sub>.

# **Experimental**

### Materials

Evonik P25 powder (Evonik Industries – Hanau, Germany), containing TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs with an average diameter of ~21 nm and a specific surface area of  $\sim$ 50 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, was employed as substrate for copper deposition. While P25 is a complex mix of rutile and anatase, it is a standard photocatalytically active  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  material that has been widely used in many studies of photocatalysis, offering also low cost, large scale availability, and higher activity than either rutile or anatase individually.  $Copper(I)$  hexafluoropentanedionate-vinyltrimethylsilane (Cu(I)(hfac)(TMVS)) complex was purchased from Advanced Tech. & Ind. Co., Ltd, and used as the  $CuO<sub>x</sub>$  precursor.

#### Atomic layer deposition of copper $(I/\Pi)$  oxide

The  $CuO_x/TiO_2$  samples were synthesized following the procedure described in our previous work.<sup>39</sup> In summary, a vibration-assisted fluidized bed reactor $34,40$  operating at atmospheric pressure was employed to deposit  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  ultrafine clusters on P25 NPs. Cu(I)(hfac)(TMVS) evaporated at 60  $\degree$ C was delivered to the ALD reactor, heated up to 250 °C using an IR lamp, while water evaporated at room temperature was used as the second reactant. Nitrogen (99.999 vol%) was used as the carrier gas to deliver ALD reactants and purge the reactor. Typically,  $1.5$  g of TiO<sub>2</sub> powder was fluidized using 0.5 L min<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen stream (superficial gas velocity of ~1.7 cm s−<sup>1</sup> ); and a combination of different pulse times of  $Cu(i)(hfac)(TMVS)$  and water, and different ALD cycle numbers were employed to obtain  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  particles on TiO<sub>2</sub> with a well-controlled control particle size. Table 1 summarizes the details of the synthesis condition used to prepare the different samples in this study. Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
Marchi 25 powder (Liven)<br>
Marchi 25 powder (Liven)<br>
Marchi 25 powder (Liven)<br>
Liven are absorption and the meansure of  $\sim$  21 mm unique and the meansure<br>
and a specific surface area or  $\sim$ 

#### Characterization

The copper loading on ALD synthesized  $CuO_x/TiO_2$  samples was analyzed using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) method employing a PerkinElmer Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES instrument. Typically, about 25 mg of sample was digested in 4.5 ml 30% HCl + 1.5 ml 65% HNO<sub>3</sub> + 0.2 ml 40% HF while microwave irradiation. The digestion time in the microwave was 60 min with the radiation power of 1300 W. The

Table 1 The ALD synthesis parameters, used for  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  ALD on P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder

	$Cu(i)(hfac)(TMVS)$ Purge 1 Water pulse Purge 2 Number Sample pulse (min)	(min)	(min)	(min)	of cycles
$\mathbf{1}$	2.5		10		10
$\overline{2}$	5	5	5	5	10
3	5	5	10	5	10
$\overline{4}$	10	5	2.5	5	10
5	10	5	10	5	10
6	5	5	5	5	20
	30	5	10		10

digested samples were diluted to 50 ml with Milli-Q water prior to measurement.

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the ALD deposited Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters on P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> were acquired using a JEOL JEM1400 microscope operating at a voltage of 120 kV working in bright-field mode. The  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles were dispersed in ethanol via sonication in an ultra-sound bath and transferred onto Quantifoil copper TEM grids (coated with perforated carbon). The particle size of individual particles was measured using ImageJ software. The average particle size and particle size distribution (PSD) curves were obtained using the size of more than 350 individual particles measured using ImageJ.

The surface chemistry of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples was studied using a Thermo Scientific™ K-Alpha™ X-ray photoelectron spectrometer. The monochromated X-ray with a spot of 400 μm was generated using aluminum Kα radiation (photon energy of 1486.7 eV), and the differential charging was compensated using a flood gun. A step size of 0.1 eV was used for acquiring the high-resolution scans. The CasaXPS software was employed to analyze the obtained XPS spectra, and the positions of peaks were calibrated using the aliphatic carbon 1s peak (284.8 eV).

The crystallinity of the samples was investigated using a Bruker D8 Advanced diffractometer with Bragg–Brentano geometry, equipped with a Cu-Kα radiation source (Cu radiation wavelength:  $K\alpha_1(100) = 1.54060 \text{ Å}, K\alpha_2(50) =$ 1.54439 Å) working at 40 kV and 25 mA and a Lynxeye-XE-T position-sensitive detector. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were acquired in the  $2\theta$  range of 10–90° with a fixed sample illumination area (18  $\times$  5 mm<sup>2</sup>), and a step size of 0.008° and a measuring time of 0.15 s per step were employed.

#### Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction

The photocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) activity of  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$  samples was evaluated using a photocatalytic setup described in detail elsewhere.8 The  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst samples were suspended (0.2 g  $L^{-1}$ ) in a 10% v/v methanol in water solution. A custommade Pyrex reactor with quartz window with a total volume of 42.1 mL, including 17.1 mL headspace and equipped with a water jacket, was employed for photocatalytic HER evaluation under UV-visible light generated using a 500 W Xe/Hg lamp (66983, Newport) without an optical filter. The produced hydrogen was quantified using a CP 9001 gas chromatograph (GC, Chrompack). Typically, the suspension of photocatalyst in methanol–water solution was placed in the photoreactor, and the headspace of the photoreactor was purged with 10 mL min−<sup>1</sup> argon flow for 30 min while there was no light radiation (under dark condition); after deoxygenating the headspace of the photoreactor, the argon flow was reduced to 1 mL min−<sup>1</sup> to carry the headspace gas to GC sampling loop. The photoreactor was kept under dark

condition for 1 hour while the headspace gas was analyzed using GC, then the photoreactor was illuminated for 20 hours while the HER products were analyzed using GC with 30 min injection intervals. The temperature of the photoreactor was kept at 27 °C, and the photocatalyst was kept suspended in the solution via magnetic stirring.

#### DFT simulations

Periodic plane wave density functional theory calculations were performed using the  $(101)$  surface of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ modified with Cu and CuO as a model for the  $Cu/CuO<sub>x</sub>$ modified anatase system using the VASP5.3  $code.^{41,42}$  A kinetic energy cut-off of 400 eV is used. The core–valence interaction is described with projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials,  $43,44$  with 4 valence electrons for Ti, 6 for O, and 17 for Cu. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof approximation to the exchange–correlation functional was used. $45$  The anatase (101) substrate was modeled as a 4 O–Ti–O trilayer slab, with a  $(4 \times 4)$  surface supercell expansion  $(a = 21.776 \text{ Å},$  $b = 15.104$  Å) and a vacuum gap of 16 Å. This is the lowest energy surface of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Due to the size of the surface supercell expansion, Γ-point sampling was used, and the convergence criteria for the energy and forces were  $10^{-4}$  eV and 0.02 eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. All calculations were spin-polarized, and there were no symmetry constraints applied. Hubbard U corrections were implemented, with  $U(Ti) = 4.5$  eV, to consistently describe the partially filled 3d state in reduced Ti<sup>3+</sup>.<sup>46,47</sup> Cation oxidation states were determined from Bader charge analysis and spin magnetizations. **Paper**<br>
condition for 1 hour while the headquase gas was Results and discussions<br>
and  $Gx$  at box more proposition with the products were allowed a creative to anti- question and the common of the product of the product

To model the different surface modifications, the following models are used:  $Cu<sub>20</sub>$  cluster on anatase (101),  $Cu_{10}O_{10}$  on anatase (101), 2  $Cu_{10}$  nanoclusters on anatase (101), and reduced CuO nanoclusters (by removing oxygen from CuO).

The energy gain,  $E^{ads}$ , when the Cu or CuO nanocluster is adsorbed on the anatase (101) surface, is computed from:

$$
E^{\text{ads}} = E(\text{CuO}_x \textcircled{a} \text{TiO}_2) - [E(\text{CuO}_x) + E(\text{TiO}_2)] \tag{1}
$$

where  $E(CuO_x)$  is the energy of the free copper  $(x = 0)$  or CuO nanocluster,  $E(TIO<sub>2</sub>)$  is the energy of the bare anatase (101) slab and  $E(CuO_x-TiO_2)$  is the energy of the Cu/CuO<sub>x</sub> nanocluster interfaced with the anatase (101) slab.

The formation energy for oxygen vacancies in  $CuO<sub>x</sub>$  is:

$$
E^{\text{ads}}[E(\text{CuO}_{x-\delta} \textcircled{a} \text{TiO}_2) + 1/2E(\text{O}_2)] - E(\text{CuO}_x - \text{TiO}_2) \tag{2}
$$

where  $E(CuO_{x-\delta}$ @TiO<sub>2</sub>) is the energy of the CuO nanocluster with an oxygen vacancy interfaced with anatase (101),  $E(CuO_x-TiO_2)$  is the energy of the CuO<sub>x</sub> nanocluster interfaced with the anatase (101) slab, and  $E(O_2)$  is the energy of the free  $O_2$  molecule. The use of  $O_2$  as a reference is common in oxide vacancy formation energy calculations and the  $\delta$  in eqn (2) signifies CuO<sub>x</sub> with removed oxygen.

### Results and discussions

We employed ALD synthesis to attain good control over the cocatalyst particle size and dispersion in a wide range of copper loading and obtain a set of well-defined photocatalysts to investigate the correlation between the cocatalyst particle size/loading and the photocatalytic activity. The ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts were characterized using ICP-OES, TEM, and XPS methods and were employed for solar hydrogen production from a methanol/water solution as photocatalysts. DFT simulations also were carried out to develop a better understanding of the interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters with TiO<sub>2</sub>. The obtained results demonstrated the dual promotional effect of ALD deposited  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters and helped us modify the EPAO model and quantitatively approximate the surface coverage of photocatalytically promoted area by  $Cu_xO$  clusters and correlate it to  $Ti^{3+}$  content on the surface of  $Cu_{x}o/TiO_{2}$ samples.

#### Physiochemical properties of  $CuO_x/TiO_2$  photocatalysts

The ALD deposition of p-type  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  film using  $Cu(1)(hfac)$ (TMVS) and water at atmospheric pressure is previously reported by Muñoz-Rojas et  $al$ ;<sup>48</sup> additionally we recently reported the self-limiting behavior and ALD deposition of ultra-fine Cu<sub>2</sub>O clusters on P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs using a fluidized bed reactor operating at atmospheric pressure.<sup>39</sup> Using the same procedure and applying different precursor/co-reactant pulse times (Table 1), we aimed to control the size of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ clusters. The ICP-OES analysis indicated copper weight loading in the range of about 1–5%; the exact values are given in Table 2. The TEM images revealed highly dispersed ultra-fine  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters deposited on P25 nanopowder with well-controlled size (Fig. 1). The PSD analysis demonstrates a narrow size distribution for the samples with copper content below 3.79 wt% (Fig. S3†); while the PSD of the samples with 4.40 and 4.85 wt% copper becomes wider and right-skewed. Interestingly, the samples with the copper content of 1.19, 1.68, 2.28, 3.08, and 3.79 wt% have almost the same average Cu<sub>x</sub>O size, *i.e.*, ~1.7 nm with a maximum standard deviation of  $\pm 0.5$  nm (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The almost constant Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size trend, shown in Fig. 2, for the samples with copper content below 3.79 wt%, which obviously can be seen in TEM images (Fig. 1 and S3 $\dagger$ ), suggests that the Cu<sub>x</sub>O

Table 2 The copper content of the ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples, obtained by ICP-OES analysis and the average copper particle size obtained by TEM imaging

Sample	Copper loading (wt%)	Average copper particle size (nm)
	1.19	$1.6 \pm 0.5$
2	1.68	$1.5 \pm 0.4$
3	2.28	$1.7 \pm 0.4$
4	3.08	$1.8 \pm 0.4$
5	3.79	$1.7 \pm 0.5$
6	4.40	$2.6 \pm 0.7$
	4.85	$2.7 \pm 1.0$



Fig. 1 The TEM images of pristine P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder the ALD synthesized Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples with different copper content.

nucleation is dominant until this loading. Therefore, in copper content below 3.79 wt%, further copper added to the surface results in new grown  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters. At copper content higher than 3.79 wt%, the increase of the number of small  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters, and consequently the decrease of distance between them, changes the dominant mechanism from nucleation to particle diffusion and coalescence, resulting in particle size growth and the decrease of the number of particles, since some small particles merge and form larger ones.<sup>49,50</sup> The decrease of the population of Cu<sub>x</sub>O clusters and their growth can be seen in Fig. 1 for samples with the copper content of 4.40 and 4.85 wt%, and the change of PSD graph shape for these two samples is evident in Fig. S3.† The right-skewed PSD graph of these samples (Fig. S3†) also indicates that the dominant growth mechanism for these samples is particle diffusion and coalescence.<sup>49,50</sup>

The XRD analysis of the  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$  samples did not reveal any detectable diffraction peaks corresponding to copper oxide phases. The XRD pattern of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples with the copper content of 1.19, 2.28, 4.40, and 4.85 and wt% (Fig. S4†) did not show a distinguishable difference with the XRD pattern of P25  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanopowders, suggesting highly dispersed and small  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters deposited on TiO<sub>2</sub>. This observation is consistent with our observations using TEM imaging, demonstrating highly dispersed and relatively small  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters over TiO<sub>2</sub> with an average particle size smaller than 2.7 nm.

The surface chemistry of the samples and the changes of P25 support upon Cu<sub>x</sub>O ALD were studied using XPS analysis. Since the average  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  particle size observed using TEM images for all studied samples is below 4 nm (Fig. 2), the XPS spectra acquired for copper can be assumed as the representative of entire  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters, and it could be employed for describing the bulk of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters. Fig. 3



Fig. 2 The average Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size as a function of copper loading.



Fig. 3 The copper 2p spectra of the fresh ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ samples.

shows the high-resolution copper 2p spectra obtained for ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples. The different copper species reveal 2p spectra with ∼19.75 eV spin–orbit splitting, which the  $2p_{3/2}$  peak is commonly used for quantification. The metallic copper  $(Cu^0)$  and  $Cu^{1+}$  in  $Cu_2O$  reveal a nearby  $2p_{3/2}$  peak at binding energies of 932.6 eV and 932.4 eV for  $Cu<sup>0</sup>$  and  $Cu<sup>1+</sup>$ , respectively, which makes the distinction of these two species difficult using XPS. The use of LMM Auger peak is a more efficient solution for identification/ quantification of  $Cu^{0}$  and  $Cu^{1+}$ ; however, due to overlap of this spectral region with titanium 1s binding energy, we cannot employ LMM Auger spectra for this purpose. $51$ Considering the average size of the ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ clusters (∼2 nm or smaller) and the oxidative condition of the synthesis process, it is reasonable to assume that the deposited copper is oxidized to some degree and the ALD prepared samples are Cu<sup>0</sup> free. On the other hand,  $Cu^{2+}$  of CuO with a distinct  $2p_{3/2}$  peak at 933.6 eV is easily distinguishable. The  $Cu^{2+}$  species also reveal a shake-up satellite peak in the binding energy range of 940–945 eV for  $2p_{3/2}$ .<sup>52</sup> The range that satellite peaks may appear for different copper species is marked in Fig. 3. The copper 2p XPS spectra of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples in Fig. 3 indicate that the majority of copper in the sample with low copper content is  $Cu^{1+}$ , and the  $Cu^{2+}$  content becomes clearly detectable in the case of the sample with the copper content of 2.28 wt% or higher, depicting distinguishable satellite peaks and the main  $2p_{3/2}$  peak around 933.6 eV. **Paper** Catalysis Science Articles. The compact on 08 Yune 2022. Downloaded to the simulation of the simulation o

The contribution of Cu<sup>1+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> to the Cu 2p<sub>3/2</sub> spectra was calculated using the method that Biesinger has proposed.<sup>52</sup> The Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  peak and the corresponding satellite peak were carefully deconvoluted (Fig. S5†); each sample's  $Cu^{1+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  content was calculated by considering the ratio of the area of the main peak of CuO  $(Cu^{2+})$  to the shake-up peak area equal to 1.89. The calculated oxidation states enabled us to calculate the average oxidation of copper in the  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$  samples and consequently approximate the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  loading of each sample using eqn (S4†); for the details of calculations, please refer to the electronic supporting information. Table 3 summarizes the results of these calculations. These results suggest that the  $Cu^{1+}$  is the most likely oxidation state for ultra-fine  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ 

Table 3 The different copper oxidation states, average copper oxidation state, and approximate Cu<sub>x</sub>O loading, calculated using XPS analysis

Copper loading $(wt\%)$	$Cu1+$ content (%)	$Cu2+$ content (%)	Average copper oxidation state	Calculated $CuOx$ loading $(wt\%)$
1.19	89.6	13.4	1.13	1.36
1.68	74.9	25.1	1.25	1.94
2.28	55.0	45.0	1.45	2.7
3.08	54.7	45.3	1.45	3.64
3.79	8.6	91.4	1.91	4.72
4.40	12.0	88.0	1.88	5.44
4.85	23.1	76.9	1.77	5.93

clusters, and the increase of Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size increases the  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  content. As can be seen in Table 3, the increase of copper weight loading, and consequently the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  particle size, increases the  $Cu^{2+}$  content of samples. Accordingly, we can infer that this strong interaction mainly happens at the interface of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters and TiO<sub>2</sub>, and for the small  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ clusters, it dominates the entire particle, resulting in  $Cu<sup>1+</sup>$  as the only observable copper species. In contrast, for large particles in which the bulk of particle is not entirely affected by the interface, the  $Cu^{2+}$  species also became detectable.

The quantification of the high-resolution titanium 2p spectra of pristine P25  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanopowder and the ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples depicted intriguing trends in the oxidation state of titanium species (Fig. 4). The different titanium species were identified based on the recent work of Biesinger et  $al.$ <sup>52</sup> and the percentage of each oxidation state was calculated by normalizing the  $2p_{3/2}$  peak area of each species to the peak area of the entire  $2p_{3/2}$  (Fig. S6†). As is shown in Fig. 4, the pristine P25  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  powder mainly contains  $Ti^{4+}$  (ca. 90%); however, the  $Ti^{4+}$  content decreases drastically upon ALD of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  onto TiO<sub>2</sub>, and consequently, the  $Ti^{3+}$  increases. Whilst the  $Ti^{2+}$  content seems to be independent of copper loading and remains constant. The decrease of  $Ti^{4+}$  and increase of  $Ti^{3+}$  plateaus at copper content higher than 2.28 wt%.

The drastic change of  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Ti^{3+}$  content after ALD of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters indicates the surface modification of P25 during ALD of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  and a strong interaction between the titanium oxide and copper oxide phases in these samples. This interaction facilitates charge transfer between  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,<sup>15,20,53,54</sup> leading to  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$  reduction to  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ ; it also stabilizes the Cu<sub>2</sub>O species on TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>53-55</sup> Recently, Huang and co-workers inferred for an incipient wetness impregnation synthesized  $CuO<sub>x</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  system that this strong interaction increases the dispersion of  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$ , consequently the intensity of  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  heterojunction, which is active in photocatalytic hydrogen production.15 It is also reported that



Fig. 4 The different titanium oxidation states as a function of copper weight loading in fresh  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples.

# Catalysis Science & Technology Paper

the formation of  $Ti^{3+}$  efficiently hampers the recombination of photogenerated electrons/holes.<sup>56</sup> Considering the nature of the ALD synthesis, which proceeds via chemisorption of precursors onto the substrate, a stronger interaction between the ALD deposited copper add atoms and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  substrate is expected than the conventionally impregnated  $CuO<sub>r</sub>$  NPs; the chemisorption of ALD precursor on the surface and its dissociation can modify the P25 surface. The surface study of the ALD synthesized  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$  samples (Fig. 4 and S6†) demonstrates such surface modification and strong interaction, suggesting that the outmost layer of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ particles is dominated with  $Ti^{3+}$  species due to the strong interaction of highly dispersed ALD deposited  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ .

#### First principles density functional theory simulations of cu/  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O-TiO<sub>2</sub>$

In addition to XPS analysis, DFT simulations were used to understand better the interactions and oxidation states of the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  system. A Cu<sub>20</sub> cluster deposited on the anatase (101) surface was the first studied case. Fig. 5(a) and (b) show the atomic structure of this system. The formation of new Cu–O bonds between Cu and surface oxygen can be seen, with Cu–O distances of 1.82, 1.97, and 2.10 Å. The energy gain when the Cu nanocluster adsorbs on the anatase (101) surface is −5.13 eV, indicating a very strong interaction at the TiO2 support. Examining oxidation states, two surface Ti cations are reduced to  $Ti^{3+}$ , with computed Bader charges of 1.72 electrons (compared to 1.32 electrons for  $Ti^{4+}$  cations). As a result, two Cu atoms are oxidized to  $Cu<sup>+</sup>$ , with computed Bader charges of 16.6 and 16.7 electrons, compared to 17 for metallic copper. Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
the formation of riginal during the neurral determines the consideration of checks are not the effective in the set of the effective of the set of the effective of the set of the set of the

Given the preference for copper clusters to aggregate into larger species with increased coverage, the  $Cu<sub>20</sub>$  nanocluster is compared with two initially separated  $Cu<sub>10</sub>$  nanoclusters on the same anatase (101) surface, and the final structure is shown in Fig. 5-c. After relaxation, the two clusters have aggregated, with the clear formation of Cu–Cu bonds between the two clusters, even for a 0 K relaxation. The oxidation states of the metal cations show 11 reduced  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$ cations, with computed Bader charges between 1.56 and 2.0 electrons. Copper atoms that directly bind to the anatase

surface are oxidized to  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{+}$ , with computed Bader charges between 16.2 and 16.4 electrons for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 16.5 electrons for Cu<sup>+</sup>.

This indicates that for pure copper metal aggregation into larger clusters will be promoted, reducing dispersion while  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$ ,  $Cu<sup>+</sup>$ , and  $Cu<sup>0</sup>$  oxidation states will be present. This is not consistent with the finding of  $Cu^{1+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  oxidation states dominating after ALD, and therefore, oxidized Cu nanoclusters interfaced with anatase (101) are studied.

Fig. 6 shows the atomic structure of two CuO-derived nanoclusters supported on anatase (101). Similar to Cuanatase (101), two separated CuO nanoclusters were relaxed, and after relaxation, the nanoclusters do not aggregate, which is in contrast to pure copper nanoclusters. This is however, in agreement with the observation of stable  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ particle sizes for a wide range of copper contents (Fig. 2).

In exploring the chemical state of adsorbed CuO, the removal of oxygen (one from each cluster), was investigated and the loss of the first oxygen vacancy (Fig. 6-a) is favorable with a negative energy change of −0.2 eV. Although the energy cost to form the next oxygen vacancy is positive, it is only +0.06 eV, which suggests this will form. The third O vacancy, Fig. 6-b has an energy cost of +1.24 eV per O vacancy, so this is the reduced state of  $CuO_x$ -modified TiO<sub>2</sub>, with stoichiometry  $Cu<sub>10</sub>O<sub>7</sub>$ .

Examining the oxidation states, partially reduced  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$ cations, with computed Bader charges of 1.40 electrons, are present. In supported CuO<sub>x</sub>, both Cu<sup>1+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> are present, with Bader charges of 10.2–10.3 for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 10.5 for Cu<sup>+</sup>. These results agree with the experimental observations from XPS analysis, confirming the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface modification upon  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  ALD and the strong interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters with TiO<sub>2</sub>, leading to Ti<sup>4+</sup> reduction to Ti<sup>3+</sup>.

#### Solar hydrogen production

The solar hydrogen productivity of ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples were evaluated and compared with pristine P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder (Fig. 7 and S7 $\dagger$ ). As Fig. 7 shows, the Cu<sub>x</sub>O ALD significantly increases the hydrogen activity of photocatalysts by one order of magnitude compared to pristine P25 TiO<sub>2</sub>, with about 11 times more hydrogen production for the sample with 2.28 wt% copper. Such



Fig. 5 (a) and (b): Side and top views of the atomic structure of Cu<sub>20</sub> nanocluster adsorbed at anatase (101), (c): relaxed atomic structure of two initially separated Cu<sub>10</sub> nanoclusters on anatase (101). Color coding: grey spheres represent Ti, red spheres represent O and pink spheres represent Cu.



Fig. 6 Atomic structure of two Cu<sub>x</sub>O nanoclusters adsorbed on anatase (101). (a): Cu<sub>10</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (b): Cu<sub>10</sub>O<sub>7</sub> stoichiometries. Color coding: grey spheres represent Ti, red spheres represent O and pink spheres represent Cu.

remarkable activity improvement can be attributed to the coexistence of Cu<sup>1+</sup> and Ti<sup>3+</sup> species in Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples, demonstrated using XPS analysis. The band edge potentials of Cu<sup>1+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> are sufficiently reductive to drive the HER reaction;<sup>2</sup> additionally, both Cu<sup>1+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> are narrow bandgap p-type semiconductors with a bandgap of 1.7 eV and 2.1 eV, respectively.<sup>57</sup> Copper $\left(\frac{1}{\ln}\right)$  oxide deposition on TiO<sub>2</sub> can narrow the bandgap of the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  system and increase the sunlight utilization, resulting in enhanced photocatalytic activity. Valero et al. suggested that the highly dispersed  $Cu^{2+}$  species are directly related to high hydrogen productivity.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, it is demonstrated that the  $CuO<sub>x</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  undergoes an *in situ* reduction/restructuring under solar radiation, resulting in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  formation, revealing the Cu<sub>2</sub>O is the active copper species in CuO<sub>x</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts.<sup>3,16,17,21,22,58</sup> The Cu<sup>2+</sup> species usually reduce to  $Cu<sup>1+</sup>$  or  $Cu<sup>0</sup>$  under light radiation, acting as the effective cocatalyst for the water reduction reaction.

The interfacial interaction between the  $Cu<sub>r</sub>O$  (p-type semiconductor) with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (n-type semiconductor) forms a heterojunction (Schottky junction) which results in charge transfer and separation between Cu<sub>x</sub>O and TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>15,19,20,53,54,59</sup> Such strong interaction and charge transfer can reduce  $Ti<sup>4+</sup>$ to  $Ti^{3+}$ , which is demonstrated using XPS analysis (Fig. 4)



Fig. 7 The cumulative hydrogen production after 20 hours of reaction as a function of copper loading in  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts.

and DFT simulations. It is suggested that the formation of surface  $Ti^{3+}$  species has a great contribution to the photocatalytic activity of  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst;<sup>56</sup> Ji *et al.*<sup>60</sup> suggested that the surface  $Ti^{3+}$  species significantly promote the H-free radical production, which is responsible for enhancing hydrogen production. It is also demonstrated that  $Ti^{3+}$  extends the photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> from UV to visible light, increasing its hydrogen generation rate.<sup>12-14</sup> This suggests that the drastic increase of  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$  content on the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples surface is the second promotional effect of ALD of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters on hydrogen production in addition to the known effect of  $CuO_x$  clusters: the increased visible light absorption, and charge carrier separation.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, we infer that the ALD grown  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters on TiO<sub>2</sub> have a dual promotional effect on photocatalytic hydrogen production. The copper content that the highest hydrogen productivity is observed (Fig. 7) coincides with the copper content at which the  $Ti^{3+}$  content plateaus (Fig. 4). This unveils a better picture of the relation between the surface  $Ti^{3+}$  content and the hydrogen productivity of  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$ photocatalysts. Paper Carding's Science & Technology<br>
The 6 Asseme article on 0 Societies are articles and Decembent Cardinal Technology<br>
The 6 Asseme article is considerate a second commons are commercial and the Cardinal Technology<br>
Th

#### Modified expanding photocatalytic area and overlap model

The characterization of the ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ photocatalysts unveils the dual promotional effect of ALD deposited  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters; furthermore, it reveals the characteristics which are previously identified as the properties of a photocatalyst that is appropriately promoted with copper. These characteristics include highly dispersed  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  particles with a significant amount of  $Cu<sup>1+</sup>$  content and a considerable amount of  $Ti^{3+}$  content due to the strong interaction of ALD grown  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters and TiO<sub>2</sub>. Such properties resulted in a highly active photocatalyst for HER reaction, with a minimum of 5 times more hydrogen production than pristine P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> for Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples (Fig. 7 and S7†). Beyond the significantly increased solar hydrogen production of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples, an intriguing trend in hydrogen productivity of ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ photocatalysts is observable. Fig. 7 indicates a linear increase of hydrogen productivity by increasing copper weight percent until a pinnacle at a copper content of 2.28 wt%, then it declines and plateaus afterward. On the other hand, the

surface  $Ti^{3+}$  content of these samples increases with almost a linear trend and plateaus at the copper content of 2.28 wt% (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the PSD of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters becomes wider by the increase of copper content (Fig. S3†), and their particle size starts growing at copper content higher than 4.40 wt% (Fig. 2). This suggests a direct relation between the photo catalytic activity of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst and its  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$ content, copper oxide loading, and copper oxide particle size. We modified the EPAO developed by Mills  $et al.<sup>29</sup>$  to quantify this relation.

The EPAO model<sup>29</sup> is a kinetic model based on the previous metal support interface (MSI) model of Bowker et  $al.^{30}$  The EPAO model describes the relationship between the cocatalyst weight loading and hydrogen production rate during photocatalytic methanol reforming using six main assumptions. These assumptions are described in detail in the original work.<sup>29</sup> The model assumes that the metal particles deposited on TiO<sub>2</sub> support (for a Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> system) form an electric field with the surrounding  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , resulting in a photocatalytically active circular area around the particle whose radius is a simple linear function of the radius of the metal particle. They also assumed that the number of metal particles is constant, and their size grows by increasing metal loading. Based on the insight obtained into our ALD synthesized  $Cu_xO/TiO_2$  photocatalysts, we modified the original EPAO model via more realistic assumptions and adapted it with our observations; however, the main assumptions of this model are maintained. These new assumptions of the modified EPAO (M-EPAO) model are based on the characteristics of the ALD synthesized  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples, making it a more realistic model; the four new assumptions are as below: Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
Support and philoson article cycle control 2.22 with promoted are the cycle in the second on 2022. This argues are the cycle in the second on 2022. This argues are the cycle in the second o

i. The number of cocatalyst islands depends on the cocatalyst loading and the dominant stage of the nucleation and growth processes. During the nucleation stage, the number of islands increases, while when the growth becomes dominant, the number of the particles may remain constant or decrease, depending on the governing mechanism.

ii. The average size of cocatalyst islands remains constant during the nucleation stage, and it increases during the growth stage.

iii. The increase of hydrogen production rate  $(r(H_2))$  stems from the rise of the number of cocatalyst islands in the cocatalyst loading range in which the nucleation is

Stage III



dominant, leading to an increase of photocatalytically promoted area.

iv. The heterojunction of cocatalyst/support (p–n junction in our case) and their strong interaction leads to the formation of a depletion zone (area) around the cocatalyst particles. As long as these areas do not overlap much, their extension increases the hydrogen production rate  $(r(H_2))$ .

Like the original EPAO model, the M-EPAO model assumes that each cocatalyst particle creates photocatalytically promoted area (PPA) around it (a depletion zone), which its radius is a linear function of the radius of the cocatalyst particle. As Fig. 8 illustrates, the M-EPAO model consists of three stages. In the first stages, due to the low population of the cocatalyst particles on the surface, the distance between the particles is long, and the PPA does not overlap. At this stage, the increase of copper oxide loading and consequently growth of new cocatalyst clusters on the surface increases the PPA, resulting in increased hydrogen production. The photocatalyst activity will increase by the increase of cocatalyst content until the point that the PPA of individual clusters starts overlapping due to the increasing number of particles (near the maximum activity copper content). This stage describes the ascending part of Fig. 7.

The overpopulation of cocatalyst clusters and overlap of PPA marks the decay of activity of the photocatalyst as a function of the cocatalyst loading. The photocatalytic activity decay resulting from PPA overlap can be attributed to local depletion of the reaction intermediates on the catalyst surface, which has a strong negative effect on the reaction rate in the case of densely spaced surface islands.<sup>61</sup> The overlap of PPA also results in interference of the electrical field around the cocatalyst particles and reduces the electron-hole separation efficacy.<sup>29,59</sup> The negative effect of PPA overlap outweighs the promotional effects of the cocatalyst, and further copper added to the photocatalyst does not contribute to activity enhancement but leads to hydrogen production rate decay. This situation is described in stage II of the M-EPAO model, representing the descending section of Fig. 7. The last stage of the M-EPAO model deals with the cocatalyst loading, which above it the particle growth dominates. At this stage, due to the coalescence of some of the particles, the number of cocatalyst particles decreases; nevertheless, as a result of the growth of cocatalyst particles and reduction in their number, the amount of available PPA and the overlapped area do not change significantly, and the photocatalytic activity of samples does not change considerably. The last stage of the M-EPAO model describes the range of cocatalyst loading in which the hydrogen productivity remains constant (copper wt% higher than 3.79 in Fig. 7).

Since the M-EPAO model needs the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  particle size to calculate the PPA, we used the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  content approximated using XPS analysis (Table 3) in our calculation. The  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ particle size as a function of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  content was approximated using a linear function (Fig. S8-a†), including two stage s of nucleation (constant size) and particle diffusion and

Stage



Fig. 9 The cumulative hydrogen production after 20 hours of reaction normalized by the mass of (a) photocatalyst and (b)  $Cu_xO$  as a function of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  loading. The solid lines are generated using the M-EPAO model for square (black) and hexagonal (blue) packing of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters.

coalescence (growth). This function is used in the M-EPAO model to estimate the Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size in different Cu<sub>x</sub>O content. Also, the number of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters was calculated using eqn (S9†), and a curve was fitted to this data (Fig. S8  $b<sup>†</sup>$ ). This figure shows how the population of Cu<sub>x</sub>O particles on the surface is changing.

We considered two surface packings of square and hexagonal for  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  cluster arrangement on the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ particles. By implementing the particle growth function in the M-EPAO model and optimizing its variables  $(a$  and  $b'$  in eqn (S7†)) in Matlab via minimizing the sum of square errors using the Global search function, the M-EPAO model fit to the experimental data was obtained and is plotted in Fig. 9 (for the details of calculations, please refer to the ESI;† also, the Matlab code is provided). The hydrogen production rate normalized to the mass of photocatalyst (µmol  $g_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$   $h^{-1})$  and the mass of Cu<sub>x</sub>O in the photocatalyst (µmol  $g_{Cu}^{\text{}}$ <sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), predicted using the M-EPAO models, are compared to the experimental values in Fig. 9. A quick visual comparison indicates that the M-EPAO model is able to predict the hydrogen production rate pretty well, especially for the square packing of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters. The model using the square packing of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters also shows smooth inflection near the maximum and minimum  $H_2$  productivity points, making its predictions closer to the experimental data. The average absolute relative deviations (AARD) calculated for square and hexagonal packing are 4.8% and 10.1%, respectively, demonstrating that the M-EPAO model fits better with the experimental data using square packing of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters. However, we should emphasize that due to the random arrangement of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters on the surface of the photocatalyst, neither square nor hexagonal packings cannot correctly describe the arrangement of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters. Therefore, the predicted  $H_2$  productivity rate using the M-EPAO model with the current assumptions for the dispersion of the cocatalyst particles on the surface will deviate from the experimental data to some degree; a more detailed model, taking the surface growth mechanisms into account and providing a better picture of the dispersion of

 $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters on the surface, may result in more accurate predictions.

The M-EPAO model enables us to calculate the photocatalytically promoted area  $(A_T$  in eqn  $(S5\dagger))$  of the photocatalyst created due to the strong interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$ cocatalyst clusters and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  support. Fig. 10 illustrates the surface coverage of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst with PPA as a function of Cu<sub>x</sub>O content calculated with M-EPAO model for square and hexagonal  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  cluster packing and compares them with the surface  $Ti^{3+}$  content on the photocatalyst measured using XPS analysis. Fig. 10 reveals the similarity between the PPA development on  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst (calculated value) and the trend of surface  $Ti^{3+}$  increase (experimental data); this demonstrates that the assumptions of the M-EPAO model are valid, and it can consistently describe the cocatalyst decorated photocatalyst systems. It also agrees with the previous observations, suggesting the significant contribution of  $Ti^{3+}$  to photocatalytic activity of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalysts.<sup>56,60</sup> The Ti<sup>3+</sup> species are produced due to the transfer of photo-excited electrons of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters to



Fig. 10 The  $Ti^{3+}$  content on the photocatalyst surface obtained with XPS analysis, and surface PPA coverage of photocatalyst as a function of CuxO content calculated with the M-EPAO model for square and hexagonal packing of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  cluster.

# Catalysis Science & Technology Paper

 $\text{TiO}_2$ ,<sup>62</sup> and the increase of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  content in Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples indicates the expansion of the depletion zone on the photocatalyst. It can be inferred that the depletion zone around the cocatalyst particles is the photocatalytically active area, and its growth increases the photocatalyst activity. It is worth noting that the maximum surface  $Ti^{3+}$  content that we observe using XPS is ∼60%, while the M-EPAO model predicts that at the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  content above 3.5 wt% the photocatalyst surface is almost covered with the PPA. This discrepancy is likely to arise from two points: 1) the speciation of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in the depletion zone is unknown for us, and we cannot consider it entirely consists of  $Ti^{3+}$ . Nevertheless, since  $Ti^{3+}$  is the main product of the strong interaction of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , we correlate the size of depletion zone to the amount of  $Ti^{3+}$ . 2) Considering ca. 1 keV kinetic energy of Ti 2p photoelectrons (when using aluminum Kα radiation for XPS analysis), about one-third of the detected Ti 2p photoelectrons are coming from the depth of 4 nm or more. This suggests that a considerable part of the detected  $Ti<sup>4+</sup>$  signal might originate from the interior of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs, which is not affected by the  $Cu_xO$  clusters; however, we cannot exclude the contribution of this portion of  $Ti^{4+}$  to the overall Ti 2p XPS signal, while they are not present in the model. Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
TiO.,<sup>34</sup> and the increase of Ti<sup>2</sup> content in the depletion are on the the dia promate included of AD grows Cr<sub>1</sub> Columbic Tip Content in the composite in the published on 2022. The common

Recently, Mills et  $al.^{29,63}$  successfully visualized the concept of photocatalytically active area around a platinum dot deposited on TiO<sub>2</sub> via soot oxidation experiment. Our XPS analysis, in agreement with their observation, shows how the surface coverage of photocatalyst with  $Ti^{3+}$ , or in other words the expansion of depletion zone, increases the photocatalytic activity of cocatalyst decorated photocatalysts. Our results suggest that the depletion zone formed around the cocatalyst particles, due to charge separation at the heterojunction, is the main active zone for hydrogen production during photocatalytic  $H_2$  evolution from a methanol/water mixture. The M-EPAO model can be employed to describe the photocatalyst promoted by surface decoration using metal or metal oxide clusters, and it can predict the optimum cocatalyst loading to maximize the photocatalytic activity via maximizing the photocatalytically active area.

# **Conclusions**

A set of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts with high control over the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  cluster size was prepared using ALD. TEM imaging and particle size distribution analysis showed a narrow PSD with an average Cu<sub>x</sub>O particle size of ~1.7 nm for the samples with a copper content below 3.79 wt%. The XPS analysis of these samples revealed  $Cu^{1+}$  as the dominant copper species for low copper content, while  $Cu^{2+}$  increases with higher copper content. The XPS analysis also revealed the drastic effect of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  ALD on the oxidation state of titanium, depicting a significant reduction of  $Ti^{4+}$  reduction to  $Ti^{3+}$ . The DFT simulations also showed the strong interaction of Cu<sub>x</sub>O and TiO<sub>2</sub>, leading to Ti<sup>4+</sup> reduction to Ti<sup>3+</sup> and Cu<sub>x</sub>O cluster stabilization. The results of photocatalytic hydrogen production and characterization of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  samples unveil the dual promotional effect of ALD grown  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters, *i.e.*, generation of a substantial amount of surface  $Ti^{3+}$ , and improved charge carrier separation and increased visible light absorption. The copper content at which the hydrogen productivity is maximum coincides with the copper content at which the  $Ti<sup>3+</sup>$  content plateaus. This illustrates the effect of the  $Ti^{3+}$  content on the hydrogen productivity of copperpromoted  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts and enables us to correlate the solar hydrogen production rate to the cocatalyst surface density, weight loading, and size. We modified the EPAO model to describe the behavior of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  system based on  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  content and average particle size. The similar trend of the increase of the surface  $Ti^{3+}$  content and the photocatalytically promoted area, calculated with the M-EPAO model, suggests that the depletion zone formed around the  $Cu<sub>x</sub>O$  clusters is the main active area for hydrogen production, and its expansion increases the hydrogen production rate. However, the overlap of the depletion zones results in activity loss of photocatalyst; hence, the increase of cocatalyst content will enhance the hydrogen rate until the point that the depletion zones of the individual cocatalyst clusters start to overlap.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mr. Bart van der Linden for his technical support during the photocatalytic hydrogen production experiments and Ms. Baukje Terpstra's support for ICP-EOS analysis. This research was supported by an award made through the ERA.Net for Materials Research and Innovation (M-ERA.Net), Horizon 2020 grant agreement number 685451. Funding was provided by the following funding organizations: Science Foundation Ireland, Ireland, Grant Number SFI/16/M-ERA/3418, Spanish Ministry MINECO/FEDER Grants Number PCIN-2017-056 and PCIN-2017-131, The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, Grant Number 732.017.101, and the European Union. MN acknowledges support from Science Foundation Ireland through the US-Ireland R&D Parntershop program, Grant number SFI/US/14/ E2915. We acknowledge access to SFI funded computing resources at Tyndall Institute and the SFI/HEA funded Irish Centre for High End Computing. We are grateful for support from the COST Action CA18234 "Computational materials sciences for efficient water splitting with nanocrystals from abundant elements".

# References

1 N. S. Lewis and D. G. Nocera, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2006, 103, 15729–15735.

- 2 P. D. Nguyen, T. M. Duong and P. D. Tran, J. Sci.: Adv. Mater. Devices, 2017, 2, 399–417.
- 3 M. Muscetta, R. Andreozzi, L. Clarizia, I. Di Somma and R. Marotta, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 28531–28552.
- 4 S. Singh, S. Jain, V. Ps, A. K. Tiwari, M. R. Nouni, J. K. Pandey and S. Goel, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2015, 51, 623–633.
- 5 R. Singh and S. Dutta, Fuel, 2018, 220, 607–620.
- 6 C. Acar, I. Dincer and G. F. Naterer, Int. J. Energy Res., 2016, 40, 1449–1473.
- 7 M. Ni, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung and K. Sumathy, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2007, 11, 401–425.
- 8 M. Z. Hussain, B. van der Linden, Z. Yang, Q. Jia, H. Chang, R. A. Fischer, F. Kapteijn, Y. Zhu and Y. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 4103–4116.
- 9 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, Nature, 1972, 238, 37–38.
- 10 V. Kumaravel, S. Mathew, J. Bartlett and S. C. Pillai, Appl. Catal., B, 2019, 244, 1021–1064.
- 11 M. Reza Gholipour, C.-T. Dinh, F. Béland and T.-O. Do, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 8187–8208.
- 12 S. Mohajernia, P. Andryskova, G. Zoppellaro, S. Hejazi, S. Kment, R. Zboril, J. Schmidt and P. Schmuki, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 1432–1442.
- 13 F. Zuo, L. Wang, T. Wu, Z. Zhang, D. Borchardt and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 11856–11857.
- 14 D. Zywitzki, H. Jing, H. Tüysüz and C. K. Chan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 10957–10967.
- 15 Y. Liu, Z. Ye, D. Li, M. Wang, Y. Zhang and W. Huang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 473, 500–510.
- 16 Z. Wang, Y. Liu, D. J. Martin, W. Wang, J. Tang and W. Huang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 14956–14960.
- 17 Q. Hu, J. Huang, G. Li, J. Chen, Z. Zhang, Z. Deng, Y. Jiang, W. Guo and Y. Cao, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2016, 369, 201–206.
- 18 D. Praveen Kumar, N. Lakshmana Reddy, B. Srinivas, V. Durgakumari, V. Roddatis, O. Bondarchuk, M. Karthik, Y. Ikuma and M. V. Shankar, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2016, 146, 63–71.
- 19 D. Praveen Kumar, M. V. Shankar, M. Mamatha Kumari, G. Sadanandam, B. Srinivas and V. Durgakumari, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 9443–9445.
- 20 Y. Liu, Z. Wang and W. Huang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2016, 389, 760–767.
- 21 M. Jung, J. N. Hart, J. Scott, Y. H. Ng, Y. Jiang and R. Amal, Appl. Catal., A, 2016, 521, 190–201.
- 22 J. M. Valero, S. Obregón and G. Colón, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 3320–3329.
- 23 P. Khemthong, P. Photai and N. Grisdanurak, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 15992–16001.
- 24 W.-T. Chen, V. Jovic, D. Sun-Waterhouse, H. Idriss and G. I. N. Waterhouse, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 15036–15048.
- 25 M. Jung, J. Scott, Y. H. Ng, Y. Jiang and R. Amal, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, 39, 12499–12506.
- 26 A. M. D. Fornari, M. B. de Araujo, C. B. Duarte, G. Machado, S. R. Teixeira and D. E. Weibel, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2016, 41, 11599–11607.
- 27 E. P. Melián, C. R. López, A. O. Méndez, O. G. Díaz, M. N. Suárez, J. M. Doña Rodríguez, J. A. Navío and D. Fernández Hevia, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 11737–11748. **Paper Catalysis Science Catalysis Science articles. Published on 2022. Downloaded on 2022. Downloaded on 2022. Downloaded on 2022. Attribution-1 and Molecular Attribution-1 and Noncommercial 3.10:34 PM. The Unported No** 
	- 28 L. Li, L. Xu, W. Shi and J. Guan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 816–822.
	- 29 A. Mills, M. Bingham, C. O'Rourke and M. Bowker, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2019, 373, 122–130.
	- 30 M. Bowker, D. James, P. Stone, R. Bennett, N. Perkins, L. Millard, J. Greaves and A. Dickinson, J. Catal., 2003, 217, 427–433.
	- 31 B. J. O'Neill, D. H. K. Jackson, J. Lee, C. Canlas, P. C. Stair, C. L. Marshall, J. W. Elam, T. F. Kuech, J. A. Dumesic and G. W. Huber, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 1804–1825.
	- 32 S. Saedy, D. Palagin, O. Safonova, J. A. van Bokhoven, A. A. Khodadadi and Y. Mortazavi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 24396–24406.
	- 33 H. Van Bui, F. Grillo and J. R. van Ommen, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 45–71.
	- 34 J. R. van Ommen and A. Goulas, Mater. Today Chem., 2019, 14, 100183.
	- 35 F. S. M. Hashemi, F. Grillo, V. R. Ravikumar, D. Benz, A. Shekhar, M. B. E. Griffiths, S. T. Barry and J. R. van Ommen, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 9005–9013.
	- 36 K. Cao, J. Cai, X. Liu and R. Chen, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2018, 36, 010801.
	- 37 X. Wang, Z. Zhao, C. Zhang, Q. Li and X. Liang, Catalysts, 2020, 10, 1298.
	- 38 J. A. Singh, N. Yang and S. F. Bent, Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng., 2017, 8, 41–62.
	- 39 D. Benz, Y. N. T. Nguyen, T.-L. T. Le, T.-H. T. Le, V.-T. Le, J. R. van Ommen and H. Van Bui, Nanotechnology, 2021, 32, 425601.
	- 40 R. Beetstra, U. Lafont, J. Nijenhuis, E. M. Kelder and J. R. van Ommen, Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2009, 15, 227–233.
	- 41 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1996, 54, 11169–11186.
	- 42 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci., 1996, 6, 15–50.
	- 43 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1999, 59, 1758–1775.
	- 44 P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1994, 50, 17953–17979.
	- 45 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
	- 46 J.-J. Tao, H.-P. Ma, K.-P. Yuan, Y. Gu, J.-W. Lian, X.-X. Li, W. Huang, M. Nolan, H.-L. Lu and D.-W. Zhang, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 7159–7173.
	- 47 C. Liu, S. L. Nauert, M. A. Alsina, D. Wang, A. Grant, K. He, E. Weitz, M. Nolan, K. A. Gray and J. M. Notestein, Appl. Catal., B, 2019, 255, 117754.
	- 48 D. Muñoz-Rojas, M. Jordan, C. Yeoh, A. T. Marin, A. Kursumovic, L. A. Dunlop, D. C. Iza, A. Chen, H. Wang and J. L. M. Driscoll, AIP Adv., 2012, 2, 042179.
	- 49 F. Grillo, H. Van Bui, J. A. Moulijn, M. T. Kreutzer and J. R. van Ommen, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2017, 8, 975-983.
- 50 N. E. Richey, C. D. Paula and S. F. Bent, J. Chem. Phys., 2020, 152, 040902.
- 51 P. A. DeSario, J. J. Pietron, T. H. Brintlinger, M. McEntee, J. F. Parker, O. Baturina, R. M. Stroud and D. R. Rolison, Nanoscale, 2017, 9, 11720–11729.
- 52 M. C. Biesinger, Surf. Interface Anal., 2017, 49, 1325–1334.
- 53 Y. Liu, B. Zhang, L. Luo, X. Chen, Z. Wang, E. Wu, D. Su and W. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 15260–15265.
- 54 F. Fang, Y. Liu, X. Sun, C. Fu, Y. Prakash Bhoi, W. Xiong and W. Huang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 564, 150407.
- 55 M. Y. Kang, H. J. Yun, S. Yu, W. Kim, N. D. Kim and J. Yi, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2013, 368-369, 72–77.
- 56 L. Xiong, F. Yang, L. Yan, N. Yan, X. Yang, M. Qiu and Y. Yu, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2011, 72, 1104–1109.
- 57 R. Marschall, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 2421–2440.
- 58 S. Obregón, M. J. Muñoz-Batista, M. Fernández-García, A. Kubacka and G. Colón, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 179, 468–478.
- 59 A. T. Garcia-Esparza and K. Takanabe, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 2894–2908.
- 60 Y. Ji, W. Guo, H. Chen, L. Zhang, S. Chen, M. Hua, Y. Long and Z. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 27053–27059.
- 61 A. Holm, E. D. Goodman, J. H. Stenlid, A. Aitbekova, R. Zelaya, B. T. Diroll, A. C. Johnston-Peck, K.-C. Kao, C. W. Frank, L. G. M. Pettersson and M. Cargnello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 14481–14494. Catalysis Science & Technology<br>
State, Education A. J. Materia, A. Percenting, M. Motorcommercial Catalyne Materials, A. Percenting, Catalyne and Catalyne Materials, A. 2022, 79<br>
1202, 132, Article. Published and S. P. Mot
	- 62 L. Luo, T. Zhang, X. Zhang, R. Yun, Y. Lin, B. Zhang and X. Xiang, Catalysts, 2020, 10, 539.
	- 63 A. Mills, M. Bingham and C. O'Rourke, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2020, 124, 13550–13559.