

Delft University of Technology

Cable bacteria promote DNRA through iron sulfide dissolution

Kessler, Adam J.; Wawryk, Michaela; Marzocchi, Ugo; Roberts, Keryn L.; Wong, Wei Wen; Risgaard-Petersen, Nils; Meysman, Filip J.R.; Glud, Ronnie N.; Cook, Perran L.M.

DOI 10.1002/Ino.11110

Publication date 2019

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in Limnology and Oceanography

Citation (APA) Kessler, A. J., Wawryk, M., Marzocchi, U., Roberts, K. L., Wong, W. W., Risgaard-Petersen, N., Meysman, F. J. R., Glud, R. N., & Cook, P. L. M. (2019). Cable bacteria promote DNRA through iron sulfide dissolution. Limnology and Oceanography, 64(3), 1228-1238. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11110

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Cable bacteria promote DNRA through iron sulphide dissolution

- 2 Adam J. Kessler^{1,2*}, Michaela Wawryk², Ugo Marzocchi^{3,4}, Keryn L. Roberts², Wei Wen Wong², Nils
- 3 Risgaard-Petersen⁴, Filip J. R. Meysman^{5,6}, Ronnie N. Glud^{7,8}, Perran L. M. Cook²
- 4
- ⁵ ¹School of Earth, Atmosphere & Environment, Monash University, Victoria, Australia
- 6 ² Water Studies Centre, School of Chemistry, Monash University, Victoria, Australia
- ³ Department of Analytical, Environmental and Geo-Chemistry, Free University of Brussels (VUB),
- 8 Brussels, Belgium
- 9 ⁴Center for Electromicrobiology and Section for Microbiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
- ⁵ Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium
- ⁶ Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZ Delft,
- 12 The Netherlands
- ⁷Nordcee, Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
- ⁸ Department of Ocean and Environmental Sciences, Tokyo University of Marine Science and
- 15 Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- 16
- 17 *Corresponding author: adam.kessler@monash.edu
- 18
- 19 "This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Kessler, A. J. et al. Cable
- 20 bacteria promote DNRA through iron sulfide dissolution. Limnol. Oceanogr. 64, 1228-
- 21 1238, doi:10.1002/lno.11110 (2019)., which has been published in final form at
- 22 <u>https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/lno.11110</u>. This article may be
- 23 used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use
- 24 of Self-Archived Versions."
- 25
- 26 Key words: cable bacteria; nitrogen; denitrification; DNRA
- 27
- 28

29 Abstract

- 30 Cable bacteria represent a newly discovered group of filamentous microorganisms, which are
- 31 capable of spatially separating the oxidative and reductive half-reactions of their sulphide-oxidising
- 32 metabolisms over centimetre distances. We investigated three ways that cable bacteria might
- 33 interact with the nitrogen (N) cycle: (1) by reducing nitrate through denitrification or dissimilatory
- nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) within their cathodic cells; (2) by nitrifying ammonium
- 35 within their anodic cells; and (3) by indirectly affecting denitrification and/or DNRA by changing the
- 36 Fe²⁺ concentration in the surrounding sediment. We performed ¹⁵N labelling laboratory experiments
- to measure these three processes using cable bacteria containing sediments from the Yarra River,
- 38 Australia, and from Vilhelmsborg Sø, Denmark. Our results revealed that in the targeted systems
- 39 cable bacteria themselves did not perform significant rates of denitrification, DNRA or nitrification.
- 40 However, cable bacteria exhibited an important indirect effect, whereby they increased the Fe²⁺ pool
- 41 through iron sulphide dissolution. This elevated availability of Fe²⁺ significantly increased DNRA and
- in some cases decreased denitrification. Thus, cable bacteria presence may affect the relative
 importance of DNRA in sediments and thus the extent by which bioavailable nitrogen is lost from the
- 44 system.

45

46 Introduction

- 47 The recent discovery of electric currents linking spatial separated biogeochemical processes (Nielsen
- 48 et al. 2010) and cable bacteria (Pfeffer et al. 2012) has set a new paradigm for sedimentary
- 49 biogeochemistry (Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen 2015; Nielsen et al. 2010). Briefly, cable bacteria
- 50 are members of the family *Desulfobulbaceae*, which is composed of a range of sulphur oxidising and
- 51 reducing bacteria. The predominant metabolism of cable bacteria is chemotrophic sulphide
- 52 oxidation, but these organisms separate the oxidation and reduction half-reactions by conducting
- electrons along their long, filamentous bodies (up to 3 cm) (Meysman 2017), as represented in Fig 1.
- 54 The many biogeochemical implications of long distance electron transport are yet to be fully
- 55 understood, but in addition to directly influencing the cycling of sulphur (S), cable bacteria also
- 56 indirectly affect the cycling of other elements. The high production of protons in the deep, anodic
- 57 part of the sediment results in a pH minimum, as low as < 6.5 (Malkin et al. 2014; Nielsen et al. 2010;
- 58 Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2012). Such acidification of the pore water stimulates the dissolution of iron
- 59 sulphide (FeS) and carbonate minerals in the sediment (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2012), which
- strongly alters the availability of Fe, Ca, Mn, and P at depth (Rao et al. 2016; Sulu-Gambari et al.
 2016b; Van De Velde et al. 2016). These dissolved constituents are then free to diffuse to the
- 62 surface, where oxygen availability and high pH (as induced by the cathodic reaction) favour the
- 63 precipitation of Fe- and Mn-oxides, Fe-phosphates, and Ca(Mg)-carbonates (Seitaj et al. 2015; Sulu-
- 64 Gambari et al. 2016a; Sulu-Gambari et al. 2016b). As a result of long distance electron transfer, cable
- 65 bacteria generate electric fields, which can be measured as an increase in electric potential with
- 66 depth (Damgaard et al. 2014). This electrogenic sulphur oxidation (e-SOx) is potentially widespread,
- 67 with cable bacteria discovered in marine systems across the globe (Burdorf et al. 2017; Burdorf et al.
- 68 2016; Malkin et al. 2014), and more recently in aquifers (Muller et al. 2016) and freshwater
- 69 sediments (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2015).
- 70 Nitrogen (N) is an important nutrient in aquatic environments, and understanding nitrate reduction
- 71 pathways is an important part of managing ever-increasing global loads (Conley et al. 2009).
- 72 Denitrification is an important N-removing process, whereby nitrate is reduced to N₂ gas. In
- 73 competition to denitrification is dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), which retains
- 74 N in the system. Thus, the balance of denitrification and DNRA can be an important control on
- vhether a system is net N removing or recycling (An and Gardner 2002; Dunn et al. 2013; Gardner et
- al. 2006; Giblin et al. 2013; Kessler et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2014). This is of great significance in
- 77 estuaries in particular, as denitrification-dominated estuaries may remediate high nitrate
- concentrations, while DNRA-dominated estuaries are likely to pass large bioavailable nitrogen loads
- to coastal waters and embayments. Nitrification, the oxidation of ammonium to nitrate, can
- 80 enhance N removal if coupled with denitrification. Nitrification is usually considered an aerobic
- 81 process, but anoxic nitrification processes are known involving Mn and possibly Fe oxides (Hulth et
- 82 al. 1999; Mortimer et al. 2004).
- 83 It is not yet known how cable bacteria control and influence the N cycle. Marzocchi et al. (2014)
- 84 showed that nitrate can be used as alternative cathodic electron acceptor in the absence of oxygen.
- 85 Whether the cable bacteria perform denitrification or DNRA is yet unclear, but recent work suggests
- that cable bacteria can reduce both nitrate and nitrite, but not N₂O (Risgaard Petersen et al. 2014).
- 87 However, cable bacteria have not yet been observed *in situ* in a high-nitrate, anoxic environment,
- 88 and it is not known whether cathodic nitrate-reduction occurs in a sub-oxic zone even in the
- 89 presence of oxygen. If so, denitrification or DNRA directly as the cable bacteria's cathodic half-

- 90 reaction may be important processes (Fig 1a). We hypothesize that these direct reactions by cable91 bacteria may contribute significantly to sediment nitrogen cycling.
- 92 Furthermore, little is known about indirect effects of cable bacteria on N cycling. A recent study
- 93 showed that increased Fe²⁺ concentration promotes DNRA over denitrification in estuarine
- 94 sediments (Roberts et al. 2014) and freshwater lake sediments (Robertson et al. 2016; Robertson
- 95 and Thamdrup 2017). As cable bacteria can increase pore water Fe²⁺ through acidity generation and
- 96 dissolution of FeS, we hypothesize that cable bacteria can promote DNRA by other members of the
- 97 microbial community (Fig 1b).
- 98 In marine microbial fuel cells, a current is generated between a buried anode and cathode in the
- 99 overlying water, allowing oxidising microbes such as *Desulfobulbus* to oxidise sulphide in the
- absence of an oxidant (Lowy et al. 2006). The possible occurrence of biologically-mediated anoxic,
- anodic nitrification is currently debated (He et al. 2009; Qu et al. 2014; Vilajeliu-Pons et al. 2018; Xu
- 102 et al. 2015). As cable bacteria function analogously to a microbial fuel cell (Tender et al. 2002), we
- 103 hypothesize that cable bacteria can promote anoxic nitrification (Fig 1c) either directly as part of
- 104 their metabolism, or via symbiotic microbes using the anode provided by the cable bacteria.
- 105 In this study, we investigated the three hypothesized cable-bacteria-mediated nitrogen cycling
- 106 reactions described above, as depicted in Fig 1. First, we measured rates of denitrification and DNRA
- and compared their relative contribution to nitrate reduction in sediments with and without cable
- 108 bacteria to address whether cable bacteria could lead to a stimulation of DNRA relative to
- denitrification. Second, we repeated this experiment in sediment with active cable bacteria and
- inactivated cable bacteria to address if such a stimulation could be attributed to the ability of cable
 bacteria to perform DNRA or alternatively to promote DNRA by increasing Fe²⁺ availability. Third, we
- 112 tested whether cable bacteria can promote anodic nitrification in oxygen-free environments, by two
- methods. One method involved addition of ${}^{15}NH_4^+$ to the deep, anoxic part of the sediment. If cable
- bacteria promoted anoxic, anodic nitrification, this would produce ¹⁵N-NO₃, which would
- subsequently be rapidly reduced to 15 N-N₂. The other method involved adding different
- 116 concentrations of ${}^{15}NO_3$ to the water overlying the sediment, resulting in varying penetration of
- ¹⁵NO₃⁻ into the sediment. Therefore if anodic nitrification occurred, higher ¹⁵NO₃⁻ concentrations
- 118 would result in greater overlap of the zones of anoxic nitrification and ¹⁵NO₃⁻ denitrification, and the
- 119 measured rate of denitrification of ambient ¹⁴NO₃⁻ would increase with ¹⁵NO₃⁻ concentration.
- 120

121 Materials and methods

122 Sites and sediment collection

Sediment and water were collected from near to Scotch College (55°32'63.48"E 58°10'85.4"N) in the

124 Yarra River Estuary, Melbourne, Australia. This site is usually located in the salt wedge of the estuary,

and is characterised by periodical hypoxia in the bottom waters during low rainfall, combined with

- aphotic sediments due to the high turbidity of the overlying fresh water layer (Roberts et al. 2012).
- 127 The site has been used previously for studies of the fate of nitrate during nitrate reduction (Roberts
- et al. 2012; Roberts et al. 2014) and investigation of the sediment has shown an *in situ* population of
- 129 cable bacteria (Burdorf et al. 2017).
- 130 In addition to the experiments with sediment from the Yarra River, the anoxic nitrification
- 131 experiment was supplemented with a similar experiment using riparian sediment from Vilhelmsborg
- Sø (56°04'00.9"N 10°11'01.7"E), an artificial freshwater lake near Aarhus, Denmark.
- 133

134 Signatures for cable bacteria activity

- 135 Cable bacteria development was monitored in the Yarra River experiments by high-resolution pH
- 136 profiles. A 50 μm tip pH sensor (Unisense) was mounted on a motor-driven micromanipulator and
- 137 $\,$ profiles recorded at 50 μm steps near to the surface, and 200 μm steps below 2 mm. A reference
- 138 electrode (REF201 Red Rod electrode; Radiometer Analytical, Denmark) was kept in the overlying
- 139 water. Both electrodes were connected to a high-resistance (> $10^{13} \Omega$) multimeter (Unisense).
- 140
- 141 Cable bacteria development was monitored in the Vilhelmsborg Sø experiments by high-resolution
- 142 Electric Potential (EP) depth profiles, measured with house-built microsensors (Damgaard et al.
- 143 2014). The sensors were mounted on a motor-driven micromanipulator and profiles were recorded
- at 400 µm steps. A reference electrode (as above) was used. The EP sensor and the reference
- electrode were connected to a custom-made voltmeter with high internal resistance > $10^{14} \Omega$
- 146 (Aarhus University, Denmark) connected to a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter (AD216, Unisense,
- Denmark). The EP profiles also served to identify the depth and intensity of anodic activity (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2014).
- 149

150 Nitrate reduction experiments

- 151 To study the direct and indirect effects of cable bacteria on nitrate reduction, ¹⁵N experiments with
- 152 cores having active cable bacteria ("active-cables") or inactive cable bacteria ("inactivated-cables")
- and cores without any cable bacteria ("no-cables") were performed.
- 154 Collected sediment was sieved (0.5 mm), homogenised, and packed into short
- polymethylmethacyrlate (PMMA) core liners (L = 120 mm, ID = 42 mm). Cores were incubated in 10 L
- of oxygenated site water for approximately 3 weeks (with a maximum of 24 cores per bath). Three
- 157 weeks was chosen as a time where we expect significant cable bacteria activity based on typical
- dynamics observed in Yarra River and other sediments (Burdorf et al. 2017). Table 1 shows the
- details of the nitrate reduction experiments performed. To avoid the development of e-SOx, the
- sediment was cut at 2 mm depth every 1-2 days; the frequency of cutting varied over the various
- 161 experiments and is detailed in Table 1. Cutting is achieved by inserting a fine wire into the sediment
- at ~ 2 mm depth (just below the depth of oxygen penetration) using two pins, and pulling the wire

- 163 through the sediment, effectively slicing a surface layer without removing it from the core. This
- action inhibits the respiration and carbon uptake of the cable bacteria (Pfeffer et al. 2012; Vasquez-
- 165 Cardenas et al. 2015) and consequently their growth. This treatment is referred to as "no-cables".
- 166 Cores with inactivated cable bacteria were prepared by cutting only once immediately (< 1 hour)
- 167 before the experimental incubation. Therefore, this "inactivated-cables" treatment maintains the
- same biogeochemical conditions as an uncut core, but excludes the direct influence of the cable
- bacteria metabolism and e-SOx (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2015). As shown previously, the Fe²⁺ pool is
 diminished by diffusion after cable bacteria are inactivated; as diffusion is slow over cm distances,
- 171 the Fe²⁺ pool in anoxic layers of sediments with inactivated cable bacteria does not change
- 172 significantly within one hour of inactivation and persists even 33 hours after inactivation (Risgaard-
- 173 Petersen et al. 2012). To control for any small amount of oxygen entrained by inserting the cutting
- wire into the sediment, the wire was inserted into each "active-cables" and "inactivated-cables"
- sediment at every cutting time, but removed without drawing it through the sediment and
- 176 inactivating the cable bacteria.
- 177 Cores were transferred to separate, individually stirred PVC tube (L = 240 mm, ID = 50 mm) filled
- 178 with oxic site water. The overlying water in the PVC tubes were amended to a final concentration of
- $30 \,\mu$ M ¹⁵NO₃, and were sealed with a rubber stopper. After several hours (see Table 1), the stopper
- was gently removed. Samples of the overlying water were collected for ¹⁵N-N₂ (12 mL in a glass vial
 (Labco Exetainer)) and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ (6 mL in a polypropylene (PP) centrifuge tube, Falcon), both preserved
- with 100 μ L 50% ZnCl₂. The surface 2 cm of sediment was then extruded, transferred to a beaker
- 183 containing 2% ZnCl₂ and quickly and gently homogenised, then transferred to 12 mL glass vials for
- 184 $^{15}N_2$ analysis. A 6 mL subsample of this slurry was also collected in a PP centrifuge tube for $^{15}NH_4^+$
- 185 analysis. For details of this method, see Kessler et al. (2018).
- ¹⁵N-N₂ was measured by adding a 4 mL He headspace to the 12 ml glass vials, and analysed using a Sercon isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). ¹⁵NH₄⁺ was extracted with 1:1 2 M KCl, shaken for 1 hours at 120 rpm. The supernatant after centrifuging was transferred to a glass vial, purged with He and the NH₄⁺ converted to N₂ with alkaline hyperbromite (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 1995) and measured by IRMS. Denitrification and DNRA were measured as the rate of production of ¹⁵N-N₂.
- This nitrate experiment was performed twice, with slight modification. In the first experiment, the whole extruded 2 cm was slurried as above. In the second experiment, the extruded sediment was halved vertically. One half was slurried as above, while the second half was transferred to a 50 mL PP centrifuge tube which was then flushed for > 1 min with Ar to prevent oxidation. These samples were centrifuged and 1 mL of the filtered (0.22 μm) supernatant added to 0.5 mL 0.01 M ferrozine and stored in the dark. These samples were analysed for total dissolved iron concentration spectrophotometrically following Stookey (1970), by measuring the intensity of the purple ferrozine
- 198 complex in an ammonium acetate buffer after addition of hydroxylamine hydrochlorite, with all
- reagents made as described in (Viollier et al. 2000). Fe(III) is negligible in the filtered pore water
- 200 (Roberts et al. 2014), and so the total dissolved iron concentration was treated as being Fe²⁺.
- 201 ANOVA was used to compare treatments in each experiment using the software R (v 3.2.0) following
- 202 Crawley (2012). As denitrification and DNRA rates depend on a number of factors (e.g. carbon,
- 203 temperature) which may vary between experiments, we compare the contribution of DNRA to total
- 204 nitrate reduction, defined as %DNRA = 100 × DNRA / (denitrification + DNRA). This approach is
- similar to previous work on the relative importance of these processes (Kessler et al. 2018; Roberts
- 206 et al. 2014).
- 207

208 Nitrification experiments

Two types of experiment were performed to measure anoxic nitrification, with each experiment replicated. Table 1 summarises the details and procedures of the experiments performed.

211 ${}^{15}NH_4^+$ experiments

To test if cable bacteria can promote nitrification in anoxic sediments, ¹⁵N experiments were performed with sediments from Yarra River, Australia and Vilhelmsborg Sø, Denmark.

214 Cores with treatments "active-cables", "inactivated-cables" and "no-cables" were prepared,

- 215 incubated and cut as described for the nitrate reduction experiments, except that the PMMA core
- liners were replaced with polypropylene tubes (L = 70 mm, ID = 20 m) created by cutting 60 mL
- syringes (Thermo). 0.1 mL of anoxic, 100 mM ¹⁵NH₄Cl was injected via a hypodermic needle through
- a port 1.5 cm below the sediment surface into the centre of the tube. Tubes were then transferred
- to separate, individually stirred 50 mm ID PVC tube filled with oxic site water to prevent cross-
- 220 contamination. After \leq 60 min (see Table 1), tubes were removed from the bath and quickly
- extruded. Two, 1 cm slices were transferred to separate beakers containing 2% ZnCl₂ and quickly and
- 222 gently homogenised, then transferred to 12 mL glass vials for later analysis of ¹⁵N-N₂.
- The method varied slightly for the experiments performed with Vilhelmsborg Sø sediments as follows. Sediment was sieved (0.5 mm), poured into a glass aquaria, and incubated with aerated tap
- water. The overlying water was replaced weekly to avoid accumulation of metabolic products and to
- replenish nutrients. On the day of sampling, half of the cores were cut at a depth of 3 mm to inhibit
- 227 cable bacteria activity, and this treatment is referred to as "inactivated-cables" as above. Sediment
- cores were extracted from the tank and were immediately incubated for 15 min in a water bath with
- acetylene (10% partial pressure) to inhibit nitrification activity (Berg et al. 1982) in the surface
 millimetre of sediment, thereby minimizing eventual diffusion of nitrate from the surface sediment
- 231 layer to the lower layer where the anodic reaction occurred. Cores were subsequently extracted
- from the bath and 0.1 mL of a 100 mM solution of anoxic ¹⁵NH₄Cl was injected at 1.5 cm depth as
- above. At each time-point (see Table 1), three cores were processed as follows: each cores was
- sliced at 3 and 21 mm depth. Sediment from zero to 3 mm depth (surface) and from 3 to 21 mm
- 235 depth (bottom) was transferred into falcon tubes containing a solution of Allylthiourea (100 μ M) to
- stop nitrification activity (Ginestet et al. 1998 and references therein) and gently stirred to minimize
- 237 gas exchange with the atmosphere. 3 mm was chosen for the first slice to reflect the expected depth
- of the anodic cable activity in the cores based on microprofiler measurements (Fig S1) and previous
 experience with these sediments. These measurements were not possible with the Yarra River
- sediments. A total depth of 21 mm was chosen to closely match the 20 mm total depth analysed for
- the Yarra River cores. The solution was then left for a short period (< 2 min) to allow the coarser
- sediment particles to settle out, before an aliguot of the supernatant was transferred into 6 mL glass
- 243 vials and fixed with 100 μ L ZnCl 50% (w:w) for later ¹⁵N-N₂ analysis.
- 244 ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ experiment

An alternative experiment to the ${}^{15}NH_4^+$ experiments was used to measure anoxic nitrification. In this experiment different concentrations of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ were added to the water overlying the sediment. A full explanation of the rationale for this experiment is included in the discussion section.

- Cores were prepared, incubated and cut as described for the nitrate reduction experiments using
 Yarra River sediment. Sediment was transferred to individual 50 mm ID PVC tube amended with
- 250 different concentrations of Na¹⁵NO₃ (see Table 1). PVC tubes were sealed with a rubber stopper and

- stirred for 3 hours, after which the surface 3 cm of sediment was extruded into a beaker containing
- 252 30 mL 2% ZnCl₂ and quickly and gently homogenised, then transferred to 12 mL glass vials. Two
- 253 experiments were performed to span a large range of nitrate concentrations (see Table 1). D₁₄ is
- defined as the rate of denitrification of ambient ${}^{14}NO_3$, and was calculated as $D_{14} = D_{15} \times p_{29}/(2 \times p_{30})$
- following Nielsen (1992), where $D_{15} = p_{29} + 2 \times p_{30}$ is the rate of accumulation of ¹⁵N-N₂ and p_{29} and
- 256 p_{30} are the rates of accumulation of $^{29}N_2$ ($^{14}N^{15}N$) and $^{30}N_2$ ($^{15}N^{15}N$) respectively.
- 257

258 Results

259 Nitrate reduction experiments

260 In the first nitrate reduction experiment (Fig 2a), the no-cables treatment showed appreciably lower 261 rates of DNRA (2.3 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹) than the active-cables treatment (12.8 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹, p < 0.005). No

- rates of DNRA (2.3 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹) than the active-cables treatment (12.8 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹, p < 0.005). No difference was observed in denitrification rate (p = 0.1), resulting in a much greater contribution of
- 263 DNRA in the active-cables treatment (%DNRA = 45 %) compared with the without-cables (%DNRA =
- 264 10 %). The second nitrate reduction experiment (Fig 2b) showed similar results, with similar rates of
- 265 denitrification between treatments (p = 0.2) and slightly higher DNRA rates in the active-cables and
- 266 inactivated-cables treatments leading to a significantly higher %DNRA in these treatments (5.0 % and
- 4.4 %) compared with the no-cables sediment (%DNRA = 2.3 %, p = 0.03). The active-cables and
- 268 inactivated-cables treatments showed no significant differences in denitrification rate (p = 0.9),
- 269 DNRA rate (p = 0.8) or %DNRA (p = 0.6). Notably, while the DNRA rates were similar in the two
- experiments, denitrification rates were approximately an order of magnitude higher in the second
 experiment (Fig 2b), resulting the much smaller values of %DNRA. The highest denitrification rate
- 272 observed (300 μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹) would represent a decrease of < 20% in the added ¹⁵NO₃⁻ concentration
- 273 over the experimental incubation.
- 274 Fig 3a shows that pore water average Fe²⁺ was significantly enhanced in the active-cables and
- inactivated-cables treatments compared with the no-cables control (p < 0.005). The contribution of
- 276 DNRA to nitrate reduction (%DNRA) was only weakly correlated with pore water Fe^{2+} (Fig 3b, p =
- 0.2), and similarly neither the rates of denitrification (p = 0.1, Fig S2a) nor DNRA alone correlated
- 278 strongly with Fe^{2+} (p = 0.8, Fig S2b).

279 Nitrification experiments

- 280 Fig 4a shows production of 15 N-N₂ in the 15 NH₄⁺ nitrification experiments. In all three experiments, a
- small amount of ¹⁵N-N₂ (\leq 1 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹) was measured in the deep layer of the active-cables cores,
- but was not significantly different compared to the controls (p > 0.05). Rates measured in the
- surface layer of sediment were approximately 5-10 times higher than rates in the bottom layer in the
- 284 Yarra River experiments. In the Vilhelmsborg Sø sediment cores with added acetylene, the surface
- ¹⁵N-N₂ production was also negligible indicating the almost complete inhibition of nitrification
- activity by the acetylene.
- For the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ nitrification experiment in Yarra River sediments, no significant difference was seen in D₁₄ (the rate of denitrification of ambient ¹⁴NO₃⁻) with the presence of cable bacteria (Fig 4b, p = 0.95 and 0.1 after log-transformation). The solid lines in Fig 4b show regressions for the "no-cables" treatment, and the dashed lines show the expected value of the "active-cables" treatment if an anoxic rate of 5 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹ anoxic nitrification were occurring (representing 0.1 % of the calculated anodic electron transfer), with overlap of the denitrification and anoxic nitrification zone modelled as a square root function with no overlap at zero and complete reduction of the produced ¹⁴NO₃⁻
- reduced to ${}^{29}N_2$ at 5000 µmol L⁻¹. Note that the data presented in Figure 4b come from two separate
- experiments covering the ranges of $0 400 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and $500 5000 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ nitrate (see Table 1),
- 296 resulting in the discontinuity at 400 μ mol L⁻¹.
- 297

- 298 Discussion
- 299

300 **1. Nitrate reduction performed by or in the presence of cable bacteria**

301 The enhancement of DNRA in the active-cables treatment (Fig 2a) indicates that cable bacteria can 302 influence nitrate reduction, but does not differentiate between two possible mechanisms: direct 303 cathodic reduction by the cable bacteria, or an indirect influence on the N cycle due to their 304 biogeochemical fingerprint (i.e. Fe-DNRA stimulation). The second nitrate reduction experiment 305 tested these hypotheses by adding a third treatment (inactivated-cables, Fig 2b). In this experiment, 306 there is no difference between denitrification, DNRA or %DNRA between the active-cables and 307 inactivated-cables treatments. Therefore, despite the known ability of cable bacteria to use nitrate 308 (or nitrite) as the cathodic electron acceptor (Marzocchi et al. 2014), it appears that the cable 309 bacteria themselves do not contribute significantly to DNRA in the presence of oxygen. Higher DNRA 310 rates and %DNRA in the inactivated-cables compared to the no-cables treatment indicates that 311 sediments with a history of cable bacteria exhibit increased DNRA, even though direct DNRA by the 312 cable bacteria was precluded. Therefore, we conclude that some by-product of cable bacteria's 313 biogeochemical fingerprint leads to increased DNRA rates.

Recent studies have established a relationship between DNRA and Fe²⁺ in Yarra River sediments

- 315 (Kessler et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2014; Robertson et al. 2016), and so we propose that the
- 316 increased pore water Fe²⁺ concentration as induced by the activity of cable bacteria (Sulu-Gambari et
- al. 2016a; Sulu-Gambari et al. 2016b) may be responsible for the enhanced DNRA observed in the
 active-cables treatment. In the second nitrate reduction experiment, Fe²⁺ was significantly enhanced
- in the presence of cable bacteria (Fig 3a), presumably because of solubilisation of FeS by the acidity
- 320 generated by the cable bacteria's anodic reaction and/or equilibrium dissolution due to depletion of
- 321 pore water sulphide (Rao et al. 2016; Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2012; Sulu-Gambari et al. 2016a; Sulu-
- 322 Gambari et al. 2016b) (see Fig 1c). This result is also consistent with the recent findings of Otte et al.
- 323 (2018), who found significant correlations of both Fe²⁺-oxidising and Fe³⁺-reducing bacteria with
- 324 cable bacteria in both marine and freshwater systems. Specifically, the Fe²⁺-oxidising genera
- 325 *Pedomicrobium, Hoeflea, Chlorobium* and *Rhodopseudomonas* were identified as being correlated
- with cable bacteria. Notably, a member *Hoeflea* has been associated with nitrate-dependent iron
- 327 oxidation (Sorokina et al. 2012), though there are many other possible candidates that may be
- 328 present in our sediments. The contribution of DNRA to nitrate reduction was weakly correlated with
- Fe²⁺, with higher Fe²⁺ increasing %DNRA. While the weakness of this correlation reflects the
 complexity of the relationship between Fe²⁺ and nitrate reduction pathways, this general response is
- consistent with our previous observations (Kessler et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2014; Robertson et al.
- 332 2016) showing a link between Fe^{2+} availability and DNRA.
- There are two possible explanations for the influence of Fe²⁺ on nitrate reduction pathway. First, 333 several studies have suggested that Fe²⁺ can be a direct electron donor for DNRA bacteria (Coby et 334 335 al. 2011; Kessler et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2014; Robertson et al. 2016; Robertson and Thamdrup 336 2017; Weber et al. 2006), as depicted in Fig 1b. Mostly, it is suggested that Fe^{2+} reacts with nitrite 337 (NO_2) rather than nitrate, and that the earlier step of nitrate reduction to nitrite is performed by 338 other members of the denitrifying community (Robertson et al. 2016). This first step is usually slow, 339 and nitrite does not usually accumulate in these sediments, instead being rapidly reduced to N₂ by 340 denitrification and/or NH₄⁺ by DNRA, depending on which community dominates (Roberts et al. 341 2014). The first nitrate reduction experiment supports this hypothesis, though the effect is smaller in 342 the second experiment (Fig 2b). Secondly, it is known that Fe²⁺ can inhibit denitrification by 343 disrupting intracellular electron transport (Carlson et al. 2012), which would lead to a similar

- increase in %DNRA. Both the active-cables and inhibited-cables treatments appear to have slightly
 reduced denitrification in both experiments (Fig 2a and b), although because neither denitrification
- nor DNRA rates are generally correlated with Fe²⁺, this study cannot conclusively differentiate these
- 347 two effects. It is likely that both effects are relevant, depending on other conditions, and that other
- 348 factors influence both denitrification and DNRA. For example, microbes utilising the well-established
- 349 sulphide-driven DNRA pathway (An and Gardner 2002; Brunet and Garcia-Gil 1996) may scavenge
- 350 sulphide released by FeS dissolution in the anoxic zone. As the microbial communities responsible
- 351 for Fe²⁺- and sulphide-driven DNRA are not well established, it is difficult to separate these effects.
- 352 Similarly, sulphide has known toxic effects on denitrification (Sørensen et al. 1980). Despite these
- additional influences, which may account for the weak correlation in Fig 3b, it would appear that therole of cable bacteria in the nitrogen cycle is to enhance the relative importance of DNRA by other
- members of the sediment microbial community through increasing Fe^{2+} availability.
- 356

357 **2.** Anoxic nitrification at the cable bacteria anode

358 No evidence for anoxic nitrification was observed at either site (Fig 4). The measured nitrification rates in the anoxic bottom layer (where the ¹⁵NH₄⁺ was added) are consistently slightly higher in the 359 360 active-cables treatments, but this effect is never statistically significant. The ¹⁵N-N₂ measured at the surface sediment is presumably due to a small leak of ¹⁵NH₄⁺ solution to the surface through pores 361 362 and fractures in the sediment during the injection. Indeed the high variation in the mean rates in the 363 surface layers (s.e. = 20 % - 150 %) are consistent with random fractures in the sediment. It is possible that if DNRA dominates (see earlier discussion), then ¹⁵NO₃⁻ produced by anoxic nitrification 364 would be reduced back to ¹⁵NH₄⁺, which we would not detect using this method. As the %DNRA was 365 never above 50 % in either nitrate reduction experiment, and was usually approximately 10 % (Fig 2), 366 367 we would still expect denitrification to be measureable in this case. With the sediment from 368 Vilhelmsborg Sø, the finding that anoxic nitrification is negligible is consistent with the experiment

- 369 from the Yarra River.
- 370 For the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ experiment in Yarra River sediments, varying concentrations of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ were added to
- the oxic water overlying the sediment. This should have resulted in increasingly deeper penetration
- of NO_3^- , and therefore an increasingly deep zone of denitrification. If anoxic nitrification occurred, it
- would be expected that there is an additional source of ${}^{14}NO_{3}$ in the zone of denitrification, increasing as the depth of the denitrification zone increases. Therefore, there D₁₄ should be
- and casing as the depth of the demandation zone increases. Increases, incre
- 376 deviation of the "active-cables" treatment toward the dashed line in Fig 4b. Thus, this experiment
- 377 provides additional evidence that anoxic nitrification does not occur either as part of cable bacteria
- activity, or by nitrifiers in the presence of (anodic) cable bacteria. It is noteworthy that the higher
- 379 concentration treatment shows an increase in D_{14} with ${}^{15}NO_3^{-1}$ concentration. This indicates that one
- 380 or more of the assumptions of the isotope pairing technique are not met in this experiment, most
- 381 likely that the system has not reached a steady state (Nielsen 1992; Risgaard Petersen et al. 2003).
- As the present experiment is in any case exploiting a weakness in the isotope pairing technique, this does not invalidate the above finding, but does mean that the rates of D₁₄ found cannot be treated
- 384 as representative.
 - 385
 - 386 **3. Implications for cable bacteria-rich environments**

- 387 Since the discovery of cable bacteria and their complex metabolism, many questions have arisen
- about their ability to affect other biogeochemical processes. There is strong evidence that cable
- bacteria can reduce nitrate (or nitrite) at their cathode (see Fig 1) (Marzocchi et al. 2014). That work
- was performed under laboratory conditions in high-nitrate (> 250 μM), anoxic water. As yet, *in situ* observations of cable bacteria have not been reported in such an environment, but this remains a
- 392 viable ecological niche for such activity. The present work shows that when the overlying water is
- 393 oxygenated, cable bacteria do not contribute significantly to DNRA, as might be expected from
- 394 traditional thermodynamic redox cascades (Froelich et al. 1979), or at least that cable bacteria DNRA
- 395 occurs at low rates relative to total nitrate reduction.
- 396

397 Cable bacteria appear to play a role in the N cycle through the dissolution of FeS by the acidgenerating anodic half-reactions. This increased Fe²⁺ pool then serves as a driver for DNRA following 398 recent observations Fe²⁺ directly and indirectly enhancing DNRA, including in the Yarra River (Kessler 399 400 et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2014; Robertson et al. 2016; Robertson and Thamdrup 2017). As the 401 relative rates of DNRA and denitrification are of global interest as global N loads increase (Conley et 402 al. 2009; Gruber and Galloway 2008; Steffen et al. 2015), understanding the conditions under which 403 DNRA may be enhanced (or denitrification suppressed) is critical. If cable bacteria are significantly 404 enhancing DNRA, then the stable, seasonally hypoxic systems most closely associated with cable 405 bacteria (Burdorf et al. 2017; Burdorf et al. 2016; Malkin et al. 2014; Nielsen 2016; Seitaj et al. 2015) 406 may become more N-recycling during the seasonal cable bacteria dominance. This is particularly 407 interesting as the Fe-cycling associated with cable bacteria has been shown to buffer against euxinia (Seitaj et al. 2015). The proposed mechanism is that the Fe²⁺ solubilised at the anodic end of cable 408 409 bacteria diffuses upwards, creating an iron oxide layer at the surface. This iron oxide layer provides a firewall against free sulphide diffusing out of the sediment once sulphide supply exceeds cable 410 411 bacteria demand. This work suggests that the net value of cable bacteria as mediators of water quality may be limited, as the same Fe²⁺ release may inhibit N removal from estuarine and coastal 412 413 waters by directly inhibiting denitrification and/or favouring its recycling through DNRA.

414

415 Acknowledgements

- 416 We thank V Eate, D Brehm and S Koh for assistance in the field and laboratory. This work was
- 417 supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project grant DP150101281 to Cook, Glud &
- 418 Meysman, by a Monash University ResearchFirst Award to Wawryk and Kessler, and from the
- 419 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-
- 420 Curie grant agreement No 656385 to Marzocchi. Risgaard-Petersen was financially supported by the
- 421 Danish National Research Foundation DNRF136.

422 References

423

An, S., and W. S. Gardner. 2002. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) as a nitrogen 424 425 link, versus denitrification as a sink in a shallow estuary (Laguna Madre/Baffin Bay, Texas). 426 Marine Ecology Progress Series 237: 41-50. 427 Berg, P., L. Klemedtsson, and T. Rosswall. 1982. Inhibitory effect of low partial pressures of acetylene 428 on nitrification. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 14: 301-303. 429 Brunet, R., and L. Garcia-Gil. 1996. Sulfide-induced dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia in 430 anaerobic freshwater sediments. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 21: 131-138. 431 Burdorf, L. D. and others 2017. Long-distance electron transport occurs globally in marine sediments. 432 Biogeosciences 14: 683. 433 Burdorf, L. D. W., S. Hidalgo-Martinez, P. Cook, and F. Meysman. 2016. Long-distance electron 434 transport by cable bacteria in mangrove sediments. Marine Ecology Progress Series 545: 1-8. 435 Carlson, H. K., I. C. Clark, R. A. Melnyk, and J. D. Coates. 2012. Toward a mechanistic understanding 436 of anaerobic nitrate-dependent iron oxidation: balancing electron uptake and detoxification. 437 Frontiers in microbiology 3. 438 Coby, A. J., F. Picardal, E. Shelobolina, H. Xu, and E. E. Roden. 2011. Repeated anaerobic microbial 439 redox cycling of iron. Applied and environmental microbiology 77: 6036-6042. 440 Conley, D. J. and others 2009. Controlling eutrophication: nitrogen and phosphorus. Science 323: 441 1014-1015. 442 Crawley, M. J. 2012. The R book. John Wiley & Sons. 443 Damgaard, L. R., N. Risgaard-Petersen, and L. P. Nielsen. 2014. Electric potential microelectrode for 444 studies of electro-biogeophysics. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences: 445 2014JG002665. 446 Dunn, R. J., D. Robertson, P. R. Teasdale, N. J. Waltham, and D. T. Welsh. 2013. Benthic metabolism 447 and nitrogen dynamics in an urbanised tidal creek: Domination of DNRA over denitrification 448 as a nitrate reduction pathway. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 131: 271-281. 449 Froelich, P. N. and others 1979. Early oxidation of organic matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern 450 equatorial Atlantic: suboxic diagenesis. Geochimica et cosmochimica acta 43: 1075-1090. 451 Gardner, W. S., M. J. Mccarthy, S. An, D. Sobolev, K. S. Sell, and D. Brock. 2006. Nitrogen fixation and 452 dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) support nitrogen dynamics in Texas 453 estuaries. Limnology and Oceanography 51: 558-568. 454 Giblin, A. E., C. R. Tobias, B. Song, N. Weston, G. T. Banta, and V. H. Rivera-Monroy. 2013. The 455 importance of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) in the nitrogen cycle of 456 coastal ecosystems. Oceanography 26: 124-131. 457 Ginestet, P., J. M. Audic, V. Urbain, and J. C. Block. 1998. Estimation of nitrifying bacterial activities 458 by measuring oxygen uptake in the presence of the metabolic inhibitors allylthiourea and 459 azide. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64: 2266-2268. 460 Gruber, N., and J. N. Galloway. 2008. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. 461 Nature 451: 293. 462 He, Z., J. J. Kan, Y. B. Wang, Y. L. Huang, F. Mansfeld, and K. H. Nealson. 2009. Electricity Production 463 Coupled to Ammonium in a Microbial Fuel Cell. Environmental Science & Technology 43: 464 3391-3397. 465 Hulth, S., R. C. Aller, and F. Gilbert. 1999. Coupled anoxic nitrification/manganese reduction in 466 marine sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 63: 49-66. 467 Kessler, A. J., K. L. Roberts, A. Bissett, and P. L. M. Cook. 2018. Biogeochemical controls on the 468 relative importance of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium in 469 estuaries. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 32: 1045–1057.

- Lowy, D. A., L. M. Tender, J. G. Zeikus, D. H. Park, and D. R. Lovley. 2006. Harvesting energy from the
 marine sediment–water interface II: Kinetic activity of anode materials. Biosensors and
 Bioelectronics 21: 2058-2063.
- 473 Malkin, S. Y. and others 2014. Natural occurrence of microbial sulphur oxidation by long-range
 474 electron transport in the seafloor. ISME J 8: 1843-1854.
- 475 Marzocchi, U. and others 2014. Electric coupling between distant nitrate reduction and sulfide
 476 oxidation in marine sediment. The ISME journal 8: 1682-1690.
- 477 Meysman, F. J. 2017. Cable Bacteria Take a New Breath Using Long-Distance Electricity. Trends in
 478 microbiology.
- 479 Mortimer, R. J. and others 2004. Anoxic nitrification in marine sediments. Marine Ecology Progress
 480 Series 276: 37-51.
- 481 Muller, H. and others 2016. Long-distance electron transfer by cable bacteria in aquifer sediments.
 482 ISME J 10: 2010-2019.
- 483 Nielsen, L. P. 1992. Denitrification in sediment determined from nitrogen isotope pairing. FEMS
 484 Microbiology Letters 86: 357-362.
- 485 Nielsen, Lars p. 2016. Ecology: Electrical Cable Bacteria Save Marine Life. Current Biology 26: R32 486 R33.
- 487 Nielsen, L. P., and N. Risgaard-Petersen. 2015. Rethinking sediment biogeochemistry after the
 488 discovery of electric currents. Annual review of marine science 7: 425-442.
- 489 Nielsen, L. P., N. Risgaard-Petersen, H. Fossing, P. B. Christensen, and M. Sayama. 2010. Electric
 490 currents couple spatially separated biogeochemical processes in marine sediment. Nature
 491 463: 1071-1074.
- 492 Otte, J. M. and others 2018. The distribution of active iron cycling bacteria in marine and
 493 freshwater sediments is decoupled from geochemical gradients. Environmental
 494 microbiology.
- 495 Pfeffer, C. and others 2012. Filamentous bacteria transport electrons over centimetre distances.
 496 Nature 491: 218-221.
- 497 Qu, B., B. Fan, S. K. Zhu, and Y. L. Zheng. 2014. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation with an anode as the
 498 electron acceptor. Env Microbiol Rep 6: 100-105.
- Rao, A. M., S. Y. Malkin, S. Hidalgo-Martinez, and F. J. Meysman. 2016. The impact of electrogenic
 sulfide oxidation on elemental cycling and solute fluxes in coastal sediment. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 172: 265-286.
- Risgaard-Petersen, N., L. R. Damgaard, A. Revil, and L. P. Nielsen. 2014. Mapping electron sources
 and sinks in a marine biogeobattery. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences:
 2014JG002673.
- Risgaard-Petersen, N. and others 2015. Cable Bacteria in Freshwater Sediments. Applied and
 Environmental Microbiology 81: 6003-6011.
- Risgaard-Petersen, N., A. Revil, P. Meister, and L. P. Nielsen. 2012. Sulfur, iron-, and calcium cycling
 associated with natural electric currents running through marine sediment. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 92: 1-13.
- Risgaard-Petersen, N., N. P. Revsbech, and S. Rysgaard. 1995. Combined microdiffusion-hypobromite
 oxidation method for determining nitrogen-15 isotope in ammonium. Soil Science Society of
 America Journal 59: 1077-1080.
- 513 Risgaard Petersen, N., L. R. Damgaard, A. Revil, and L. P. Nielsen. 2014. Mapping electron sources
 514 and sinks in a marine biogeobattery. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 119:
 515 1475-1486.
- Risgaard Petersen, N., L. P. Nielsen, S. Rysgaard, T. Dalsgaard, and R. L. Meyer. 2003. Application of
 the isotope pairing technique in sediments where anammox and denitrification coexist.
 Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 1: 63-73.

- Roberts, K. L., V. M. Eate, B. D. Eyre, D. P. Holland, and P. L. Cook. 2012. Hypoxic events stimulate
 nitrogen recycling in a shallow salt wedge estuary: The Yarra River estuary, Australia.
 Limnology and Oceanography 57: 1427-1442.
- Roberts, K. L., A. J. Kessler, M. R. Grace, and P. L. M. Cook. 2014. Increased rates of dissimilatory
 nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) under oxic conditions in a periodically hypoxic
 estuary. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 133: 313-324.
- Robertson, E. K., K. L. Roberts, L. D. Burdorf, P. Cook, and B. Thamdrup. 2016. Dissimilatory nitrate
 reduction to ammonium coupled to Fe (II) oxidation in sediments of a periodically hypoxic
 estuary. Limnology and Oceanography 61: 365-381.
- Robertson, E. K., and B. Thamdrup. 2017. The fate of nitrogen is linked to iron(II) availability in a
 freshwater lake sediment. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta **205**: 84-99.
- Seitaj, D. and others 2015. Cable bacteria generate a firewall against euxinia in seasonally hypoxic
 basins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112: 13278-13283.
- Sørensen, J., J. Tiedje, and R. Firestone. 1980. Inhibition by sulfide of nitric and nitrous oxide
 reduction by denitrifying Pseudomonas fluorescens. Applied and Environmental
 Microbiology **39:** 105-108.
- Sorokina, A. Y., E. Y. Chernousova, and G. A. Dubinina. 2012. Hoeflea siderophila sp. nov., a new
 neutrophilic iron-oxidizing bacterium. Microbiology 81: 59-66.
- 537 Steffen, W. and others 2015. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing
 538 planet. Science 347: 1259855.
- 539 Stookey, L. L. 1970. Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Analytical chemistry 42:
 540 779-781.
- Sulu-Gambari, F., D. Seitaj, T. Behrends, D. Banerjee, F. J. R. Meysman, and C. P. Slomp. 2016a.
 Impact of cable bacteria on sedimentary iron and manganese dynamics in a seasonallyhypoxic marine basin. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta **192**: 49-69.
- Sulu-Gambari, F., D. Seitaj, F. J. R. Meysman, R. Schauer, L. Polerecky, and C. P. Slomp. 2016b. Cable
 Bacteria Control Iron–Phosphorus Dynamics in Sediments of a Coastal Hypoxic Basin.
 Environmental Science & Technology 50: 1227-1233.
- Tender, L. M. and others 2002. Harnessing microbially generated power on the seafloor. Nat Biotech
 20: 821-825.
- 549 Van De Velde, S. and others 2016. The impact of electrogenic sulfur oxidation on the
 550 biogeochemistry of coastal sediments: A field study. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 194:
 551 211-232.
- 552 Vasquez-Cardenas, D. and others 2015. Microbial carbon metabolism associated with electrogenic 553 sulphur oxidation in coastal sediments. The Isme Journal **9:** 1966.
- Vilajeliu-Pons, A., C. Koch, M. D. Balaguer, J. Colprim, F. Harnisch, and S. Puig. 2018. Microbial
 electricity driven anoxic ammonium removal. Water research 130: 168-175.
- Viollier, E., P. Inglett, K. Hunter, A. Roychoudhury, and P. Van Cappellen. 2000. The ferrozine method
 revisited: Fe (II)/Fe (III) determination in natural waters. Applied geochemistry 15: 785-790.
- Weber, K. A., M. M. Urrutia, P. F. Churchill, R. K. Kukkadapu, and E. E. Roden. 2006. Anaerobic redox
 cycling of iron by freshwater sediment microorganisms. Environmental Microbiology 8: 100 113.
- Xu, X. and others 2015. Nitrification, Denitrification, and Power Generation Enhanced by
 Photocatalysis in Microbial Fuel Cells in the Absence of Organic Compounds. Energy & Fuels
 29: 1227-1232.
- 564

565

Tables

Table 1: Details of experiments performed. "Details" provides the incubation times and/or nitrate concentrations used in that experiment. All experiments were performed with Yarra River Sediments except the third $^{15}NH_4^+$ nitrification experiment, which was performed with Vilhelmsborg Sø sediment as indicated.

Sample collection	Treatments	Details	Cut dates ⁺	Experiment date
Nitrate reduction ex	xperiments			
30/01/17	12 x active-cables 12 x no-cables	2, 4, 6, 8 h	06/02, 13/02, 15/02, 17/02, 19/02, 1 h before expt.	23/02/16
16/02/18	8 x active-cables 8 x inactivated-cables 8 x no-cables	6 h	Daily 17-20/02, Twice daily 21-25/02, 1 h before expt.	26/02/18
¹⁵ NH ₄ ⁺ nitrification e	experiments			
17/11/16	9 x active-cables 12 x inactivated-cables	20, 40, 60 min	1 h before expt.	06/12/16
30/01/17	8 x active-cables 8 x no-cables	30, 60 min	06/02, 13/02, 15/02, 17/02, 19/02, 1 h before expt.	20/02/17
28/02/17 (Vilhelmsborg Sø)	12 x active-cables 12 x inactivated-cables	30, 75, 100, 120 min	1 h before expt.	30/03/17
¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻ nitrification e	experiments			
17/11/16	12 x active-cables 12 x inactivated-cables	10, 30, 100, 400 μM ¹⁵ NO3 ⁻	1 h before expt.	07/12/16
30/01/17	12 x active-cables 12 x no-cables	500, 1000, 2500, 5000 μM ¹⁵ NO ₃	06/02, 13/02, 15/02, 17/02, 19/02, 20/02, 21/02, 1 h before expt.	22/02/17

⁺ Active-cables treatments were never cut. Inactivated-cables treatments were cut only once, on the day of the experiment. No-cables treatments were cut approximately every two days as described.

Figure captions

Figure 1: Schematic of cable bacteria showing typical sediment depth-profiles of O₂ (red), H₂S (green) and pH (black). Also shown in italics are the anodic and cathodic half-equations for cable bacteria metabolism and the proposed reactions involving the N cycle: denitrification and DNRA at the cathode (A), Fe-DNRA at the pH minimum (B) and anoxic nitrification (NIT) at the anode (C).

Figure 1 (print version): Schematic of cable bacteria showing typical profiles of O_2 (solid), H_2S (long dash) and pH (short dash). Also shown in italics are the anodic and cathodic half-equations for cable bacteria metabolism and the proposed reactions involving the N cycle: denitrification and DNRA at the cathode (A), Fe-DNRA at the pH minimum (B) and anoxic nitrification (NIT) at the anode (C).

Figure 2: summary of nitrate reduction experiments results. Shown are rates of denitrification and DNRA and %DNRA for the active-cables (A), inactivated-cables (I) and no-cables (N) treatments. (a) shows the first experiment (23/02/2016, N=12) and has only treatments A and N. (b) shows the second experiment (26/02/2018, N=8), with all three treatments. Note the different axes to assist visualisation. Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 3: The link between cable bacteria, Fe^{2+} and %DNRA. (a) average Fe^{2+} concentration in the upper 20 mm of sediment is significantly lower in the no-cables treatment than the active-cables or inactivated-cables treatments (p = 0.01). Data shown is from the same experiment as shown in Fig 2b. Error bars represent standard error. N = 6-8. (b) %DNRA is weakly correlated with Fe^{2+} for the same data shown in panel a (p = 0.2). Marker colour denotes the data as being part of the active-cables (A), inactivated-cables (I) and no-cables (N) treatments. N = 20.

Figure 4: Results of anoxic nitrification experiments in Yarra River (YR) and Vilhelmsborg Sø (VS) sediments. (a) Rate of ¹⁵N-N₂ production in the ¹⁵NH₄⁺ nitrification experiment. Each experiment shows both an active-cables (A) and either an inactivated-cables (I) or no-cables (N) treatment. Surface and bottom refer to the surface and deep sediment layers. N = 3 for experiment 1 & 3 and N = 4 for experiment 2. "surface" is 0-10 mm depth for YR and 0-3 mm depth for VS. "bottom" is 10-20 mm depth for YR and 3-21 mm depth for VS. Note that rates are minimum rates, as ¹⁵N₂ lost to the overlying water column is not considered. (b) D₁₄ during the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ nitrification experiment using Yarra River sediment. The dashed line represent the deviation expected if anoxic nitrification occurred at a rate of 5 umol m⁻² h⁻¹ (0.1 % of total cable bacteria anodic electron transfer) and all of this nitrification resulted in ²⁹N₂ at 5000 µmol L⁻¹ nitrate. Error bars represent standard error. N = 3.

A. Cathodic reaction of cable bacteria:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O}_2 + \mathbf{4}\mathbf{H}^* + \mathbf{4}\mathbf{e}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{2}\mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} \\ \\ DEN: & 2NO_3^- + 12H^* + 10e^- \rightarrow N_2^- + 6H_2\mathbf{O} \\ \\ DNRA: & NO_3^- + 10H^* + 8e^- \rightarrow NH_4^+ + 3H_2\mathbf{O} \end{array}$$

B. pH minimum

Fe-DNRA:
$$NO_3^{-} + 8Fe^{2+} + 21H_2O$$

 $\rightarrow NH_4^{+} + 8Fe(OH)_3 + 14H^{+}$

C. Anodic reaction of cable bacteria: $H_2S + 4H_2O \rightarrow SO_4^{-2} + 10H^+ + 10e^-$ *NIT:* $NH_4^+ + 3H_2O \rightarrow NO_3^- + 10H^+ + 8e^-$













