

A new approach to space-time boundary integral equations for the wave equation

Steinbach, Olaf; Urzua-Torres, Carolina

10.1137/21M1420034

Publication date

Document Version Accepted author manuscript Published in SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis

Citation (APA)

Steinbach, O., & Urzua-Torres, C. (2022). A new approach to space-time boundary integral equations for the wave equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, *54*(2), 1370-1392. https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1420034

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A NEW APPROACH TO SPACE-TIME BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS FOR THE WAVE EQUATION*

OLAF STEINBACH[†] AND CAROLINA URZÚA-TORRES[‡]

Abstract. We present a new approach for boundary integral equations for the wave equation with zero initial conditions. Unlike previous attempts, our mathematical formulation allows us to prove that the associated boundary integral operators are continuous and satisfy inf-sup conditions in trace spaces of the same regularity, which are closely related to standard energy spaces with the expected regularity in space and time. This feature is crucial from a numerical perspective, as it provides the foundations to derive sharper error estimates and paves the way to devise efficient adaptive space-time boundary element methods, which will be tackled in future work. On the other hand, the proposed approach is compatible with current time dependent boundary element method's implementations and we predict that it explains many of the behaviours observed in practice but that were not understood with the existing theory.

Key words. Transient wave equation, retarded potentials, integral equations, coercivity

AMS subject classifications. $35L05,\,45P05,\,47G10$

1 2

1. Introduction. Different strategies have been used to derive variational methods for time domain boundary integral equations for the wave equation. The more established and successful ones include weak formulations derived via the Laplace transform, and also space-time energetic variational formulations, often referred as energetic BEM in the literature. These approaches started with the groundbreaking works of Bamberger and Ha Duong [5], and Aimi et al. [4], respectively. In spite of their extensive use [2, 3, 6, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 25, 26] at the time of writing this article, the numerical analysis corresponding to these formulations was still incomplete and presents difficulties that are hard to overcome, if possible at all.

One of these difficulties is the fact that current approaches provide continuity and coercivity estimates which are not in the same space-time (Sobolev) norms. Indeed, there is a so-called norm gap arising from a loss of regularity in time of the related boundary integral operators. Yet, recent work by Joly and Rodríguez shows that these norm gaps are not present in 1D [19]. Moreover, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no proof nor numerical evidence that such loss of time regularity should hold for higher dimensions either. These two observations encouraged us to believe that one may be able to prove sharper results using different mathematical tools. Another disadvantage of current strategies is that they do not provide the foundations for space-time boundary element methods, which are basically boundary element discretizations where the time variable is treated simply as another space variable, in contrast to techniques such as time-stepping methods and convolution quadrature methods [26]. Therefore, we need to establish mapping properties of the related boundary integral operators in Sobolev trace spaces in the space-time domain.

Space-time discretization methods offer an increasingly popular alternative, since they allow the treatment of moving boundaries, adaptivity in space and time simultaneously, and space-time parallelization [12, 27, 28, 30]. However, in order to exploit these advantages, one needs to have a complete stability and error analysis of the

^{*}Submitted to the editors 14/05/2021.

Funding: This publication arises from research funded by the John Fell Oxford University Press Research Fund.

[†]Institute of Applied Mathematics, TU Graz, Austria (o.steinbach@tugraz.at)

[‡]Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, TU Delft, The Netherlands (c.a.urzuatorres@tudelft.nl)

corresponding space-time Galerkin boundary element methods.

We construct a new approach to boundary integral equations for the wave equation by working directly in the time domain. Furthermore, we develop a mathematical framework that not only overcomes the aforementioned difficulties, but also paves the way to stable space-time FEM/BEM coupling, using either the symmetric approach or Johnson-Nédélec coupling [1, 15, 25]. We present these new results following the standard pieces and arguments from classical boundary integral equations. We hope this highlights some mathematical intuitions behind the obtained results and makes the article easier to read for those familiarized with the boundary integral equation literature. In addition to a new boundary integral equation formulation, we provide novel existence and uniqueness results for the Dirichlet and Neumann wave equation initial boundary value problems, when initial conditions are zero.

In order to understand some of the ideas and motivations we present in this work, it is worth mentioning that classical analysis for the wave equation considers the right hand side f in $L^2(Q)$. We refer the reader to [20, 21, 22] for further details. Indeed, it is the work by Lions and Magenes the one that paves the way to classical boundary integral equation analysis for the wave equation [5], which is based on Fourier analysis techniques. In contrast, the approach we pursue in this paper follows the cue from [8]. For this, we consider f to be in a functional space that is bigger than $L^2(Q)$, which naturally makes us enlarge the ansatz space in the same fashion as in [32].

The structure of this article is as follows. Section 2 introduces notation and summarizes results from the literature that will be needed later in the paper. We begin by using some key ideas of recent work on the wave equation in $H^1(Q)$ [31, 35]. Then, in Section 3, we introduce trace spaces, trace operators and their corresponding properties for three different families of spaces. With this we aim, on the one hand, to emphasize the link between the existing space-time (volumetric) variational formulations and our new results. On the other hand, we prove that the related trace spaces are indeed connected, which provides a new and deeper understanding of the different existing boundary integral formulations and their relation. Section 4 presents some required results on initial boundary value problems for the wave equation, while all the remaining building blocks of the new boundary integral equation formulation are presented in Section 5. This final section concludes with the existence and uniqueness results for solutions of related boundary integral equations.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. Model problem. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n=1,2,3, with boundary $\Gamma := \partial \Omega$. We assume Ω to be an interval for n=1, or a bounded Lipschitz domain for n=2,3. Let $0 < T < \infty$. For a finite time interval (0,T), we define the *space-time cylinder* $Q := \Omega \times (0,T) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and its lateral boundary $\Sigma := \Gamma \times [0,T]$. We also introduce the initial boundary $\Sigma_0 := \Omega \times \{0\}$, and the final boundary $\Sigma_T := \Omega \times \{T\}$. We denote the D'Alembert operator by $\square := \partial_{tt} - \Delta_x$, and write the *interior Dirichlet initial boundary value problem for the wave equation* as

$$\Box u(x,t) = f(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q,$$

$$u(x,t) = g(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \Sigma,$$

$$u(x,0) = \partial_t u(x,t)_{|t=0} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

2.2. Notation and mathematical framework. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We stick to the usual notation for the space $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ of functions which are bounded and infinitely often continuously differentiable; the subspace $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ of compactly

supported smooth functions; the spaces $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ of Lebesgue integrable functions; and the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathcal{O})$. Moreover, inner products of Hilbert spaces X are denoted by standard brackets $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$, while angular brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{O}}$ are used for the duality pairing induced by the extension of the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}$. For a Hilbert space X we denote by X' its dual with the norm

$$||f||_{X'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in X} \frac{|\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathcal{O}}|}{||v||_X} \quad \text{for } f \in X'.$$

94 In particular, we will use

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}) := \overline{C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}}, \quad H^1_0(\mathcal{O}) := \overline{C^{\infty}_0(\mathcal{O})}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}},$$

96 where

93

95

100

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} := \left(\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_{x_i}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

In the specific case $\mathcal{O} = Q = \Omega \times (0,T) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we identify $H^1(Q)$ with the Sobolev space

$$H^{1,1}(Q) := L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$$

using Bochner spaces, see, e.g., [21, Sect. 1.3, Chapt. 1] and [22, Sect. 2, Chapt. 4]. Furthermore, let

103
$$H_{0,}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)) := \left\{ v \in L^{2}(Q) : \partial_{t}v \in L^{2}(Q), \ v(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \right\},$$
104
$$H_{0,}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)) := \left\{ v \in L^{2}(Q) : \partial_{t}v \in L^{2}(Q), \ v(x,T) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

105 With this we introduce

106
$$H_{;0,}^{1,1}(Q) := L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Omega)) \cap H_{0,}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)),$$
107
$$H_{;0}^{1,1}(Q) := L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Omega)) \cap H_{,0}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)),$$

108 with norms

109
$$||u||_{H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q)} := \sqrt{||\partial_t u||_{L^2(Q)}^2 + ||\nabla_x u||_{L^2(Q)}^2},$$

$$||v||_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)} := \sqrt{||\partial_t v||_{L^2(Q)}^2 + ||\nabla_x v||_{L^2(Q)}^2}.$$

Note that the space $H^1_{;0,}(Q)$ corresponds to ${}_0H^1(Q)$ as used in [17, 21, 22]. In the case of zero Dirichlet boundary data along the lateral boundary Σ we define the subspaces

113
$$H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q) := L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap H_{0,}^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega)),$$
114
$$H_{0;0}^{1,1}(Q) := L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap H_0^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

We remark that $H_{;0,}^{1,1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q)$ prescribe zero initial values at t=0, while $H_{;0}^{1,1}(Q)$ and $H_{0;0}^{1,1}(Q)$ have zero final values at t=T.

In this paper we will consider, as in [32], a generalized variational formulation to describe solutions of the wave equation (2.1) also for $f \in [H_{0;,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'$, instead of $f \in L^2(Q)$, as usually considered, e.g., [20]. Therefore we introduce the *extended*

space-time cylinder $Q_- := \Omega \times (-T,T)$. For $u \in L^2(Q)$, we define $\widetilde{u} \in L^2(Q_-)$ as 120 zero extension,

122
$$\widetilde{u}(x,t) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u(x,t) & \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q, \\ 0 & \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q_- \backslash Q. \end{array} \right.$$

- The application of the wave operator \square to $\widetilde{u} \in L^2(Q_-)$ is defined as a distribution on 123
- Q_- , i.e., for all test functions $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_-)$, we define 124

$$\langle \Box \widetilde{u}, \varphi \rangle_{Q_{-}} := \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{u}(x,t) \, \Box \varphi(x,t) \, dx \, dt = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) \, \Box \varphi(x,t) \, dx \, dt \, .$$

This motivates to consider the Sobolev space $H_0^1(Q_-)$ with the norm 126

127
$$\|\phi\|_{H_0^1(Q_-)} = \sqrt{\|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(Q_-)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2(Q_-)}^2} \quad \text{for } \phi \in H_0^1(Q_-),$$

- the dual space $[H_0^1(Q_-)]'$, and the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Q_-}$ as extension of the in-128
- ner product in $L^2(Q_-)$. We also introduce the restriction operator $\mathcal{R}: H^1_0(Q_-) \to H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}\phi := \phi_{|Q}$, and its adjoint $\mathcal{R}': [H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)]' \to [H^1_0(Q_-)]'$. Moreover, 130
- let $\mathcal{E}: H^{1,1}_{0,0}(Q) \to H^1_0(Q_-)$ be any continuous and injective extension operator with
- 132

133
$$\|\mathcal{E}\|_{H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q),H^1_0(Q_-)} := \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}v\|_{H^1_0(Q_-)}}{\|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)}} \,,$$

- and its adjoint $\mathcal{E}': [H_0^1(Q_-)]' \to [H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'$, satisfying $\mathcal{RE}\phi = \phi$ for all $\phi \in H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q)$. 134
- In order to consider $f \in [H_0^1(Q_-)]'$, we need a solution space that is bigger than 135
- $H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q)$. For this reason, we introduce the Banach space [32]

137
$$\mathcal{H}(Q) := \left\{ u = \widetilde{u}_{|Q} : \widetilde{u} \in L^2(Q_-), \ \widetilde{u}_{|\Omega \times (-T,0)} = 0, \ \Box \widetilde{u} \in [H^1_0(Q_-)]' \right\},$$

with the norm $||u||_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} := \sqrt{||u||_{L^2(Q)}^2 + ||\Box \widetilde{u}||_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'}^2}$, where 138

$$\|\square \widetilde{u}\|_{[H^1_0(Q_-)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \square \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}|}{\|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q)}} \,.$$

140 By completion, we finally define the Hilbert spaces

141
$$\mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q) := \overline{H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{;0,}(Q) := \overline{H_{;0,}^{1,1}(Q)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}} \subset \mathcal{H}(Q),$$

142 e.g.,

143
$$\mathcal{H}_{;0,}(Q) = \Big\{ u \in \mathcal{H}(Q) : \exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q) \text{ with } \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u - u_n||_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} = 0 \Big\}.$$

Note that $H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q)$ and $H_{0;0,}^{1,1}(Q) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)$, see [32, Lemma 3.5] for the

2.3. Transformation operator \mathcal{H}_T . For $u \in L^2(0,T)$ we consider the Fourier series

148
$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right), \quad u_k = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T u(t) \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right) dt.$$

150 As in [31] we introduce the transformation operator \mathcal{H}_T as

151
$$\mathcal{H}_T u(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k \cos\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right),$$

and it's inverse, i.e., for $v \in L^2(0,T)$.

154
$$\mathcal{H}_T^{-1}v(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{v}_k \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right), \quad \overline{v}_k = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T v(t) \cos\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right) dt.$$

- 156 By construction we have $\mathcal{H}_T: H_{0,1}^1(0,T) \to H_{0,0}^1(0,T)$, and $\mathcal{H}_T^{-1}: H_{0,0}^1(0,T) \to$
- 157 $H_{0,}^{1}(0,T)$. In the following, we summarize some additional properties fulfilled by
 - the operators \mathcal{H}_T and \mathcal{H}_T^{-1} , see [31, 35].
- Proposition 2.1.

163

1. For any $u, v \in L^2(0,T)$

$$\mathcal{H}_T u, v \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle u, \mathcal{H}_T^{-1} v \rangle_{L^2(0,T)}.$$

2. For all $u \in H_0^1(0,T)$

$$\frac{164}{165} \qquad \qquad \partial_t \mathcal{H}_T u = -\mathcal{H}_T^{-1} \partial_t u.$$

- 3. \mathcal{H}_T and \mathcal{H}_T^{-1} are norm preserving, i.e.,
- $\|\mathcal{H}_T w\|_{L^2(0,T)} = \|w\|_{L^2(0,T)}, \quad \|\mathcal{H}_T^{-1} w\|_{L^2(0,T)} = \|w\|_{L^2(0,T)} \quad \forall w \in L^2(0,T).$
- 169 4. For all $w \in L^2(0,T)$

$$\frac{170}{171} \qquad \langle w, \mathcal{H}_T w \rangle_{L^2(0,T)} \ge 0.$$

We conclude this subsection by extending the modified Hilbert transformation \mathcal{H}_T to our functional spaces. For $u \in L^2(Q)$ we first have the decomposition

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i,k} \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right) \varphi_i(x),$$

$$u_{i,k} = \frac{2}{T} \int_{Q} u(x,t) \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right) dt \,\varphi_i(x) \, dx,$$

where φ_i are the Neumann eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{178}{179} & -\Delta \varphi_i = \lambda_i \varphi_i \text{ in } \Omega, & \partial_{n_x} \varphi_i = 0 & \text{ on } \Gamma, & \|\varphi_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1, & 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_i & \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array}$$

They are an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ and an orthogonal basis in $H^1(\Omega)$, e.g., [20,

181 Chapt. 2]. With this we define

182
$$\mathcal{H}_T u(x,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i,k} \cos\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\right) \frac{t}{T}\right) \varphi_i(x), \quad (x,t) \in Q,$$
183

with
$$\mathcal{H}_T: H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q) \to H^{1,1}_{;0}(Q)$$
. Analogously, $\mathcal{H}_T^{-1}: H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q) \to H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q)$.

Remark 2.2. The time-reversal map κ_T , defined as [9, Eq. (2.36)] 185

186 (2.2)
$$\kappa_T w(x,t) := w(x,T-t) \text{ for } (x,t) \in Q, w \in H^1(Q),$$

- is often used instead of the transformation operator $\mathcal{H}_T: H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q) \to H^{1,1}_{;0}(Q)$. However, as we will see in Section 5, the composition \mathcal{H}_T with the standard boundary 187 188 integral operators turns out to be coercive and bounded in the same Sobolev trace 189 spaces. 190
- 2.4. Fundamental solution and retarded potentials. Let us briefly present 191 the boundary layer potentials for the wave equation, often called retarded potentials. 192 193 We refer the reader to [10] and [17] for further details. First, we introduce the fundamental solution of the wave equation, 194

$$G(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} H(t-|x|), & n=1, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{H(t-|x|)}{\sqrt{t^2 - |x|^2}}, & n=2, \\ \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\delta(t-|x|)}{|x|}, & n=3, \end{cases}$$

- with δ the Dirac distribution, and H the Heaviside step function. Let $\mathscr S$ be the single 197 layer potential and \mathcal{D} the double layer potential, i.e., for $(x,t) \in Q$ and regular enough 198 densities w and z, respectively, 199
- $(\mathscr{S}w)(x,t) := \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} G(x-y,t-\tau) w(y,\tau) ds_y d\tau,$ (2.4)200
- $(\mathscr{D}z)(x,t) := \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{n_y} G(x-y,t-\tau) \, z(y,\tau) \, ds_y \, d\tau.$ (2.5)201 202
- In the following, we will make use of the fact that $\mathscr S$ and $\mathscr D$ can also be interpreted 203 as distributional pairings. Concretely, for n = 3, these layer potentials are 204
- (2.6) $(\mathscr{S}w)(x,t) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w(y,t-|x-y|)}{|x-y|} ds_y,$ 205 $(2.7) \ (\mathscr{D}z)(x,t) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_{n_y} \frac{z(y,t-|x-y|)}{|x-y|} - \frac{\partial_{n_y} |x-y|}{|x-y|} \partial_t z(y,t-|x-y|) \right] ds_y.$ 206
- 208 The fact that the time argument is the retarded time $\tau = t - |x - y|$ motivates that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{D} are usually called retarded potentials. 209
- 3. Green's Formula, Trace Spaces and Trace Operators. We introduce 210 211 the lateral interior trace operator $\gamma_{\Sigma}^i: u \mapsto u_{|\Sigma}$ as continuous extension of the trace map defined in the pointwise sense for smooth functions. As in [23, Lemma 4.1] we 212 can write a space-time Green's formula for $\varphi \in C^2(Q)$ and $\psi \in C^1(Q)$ as 213

$$\Phi(\varphi,\psi) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Box \varphi \, \psi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{n_x} \varphi \, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i \psi \, ds_x \, dt - \int_{\Omega} \left[\partial_t \varphi \, \psi \right]_{t=0}^T dx,$$

215 where

216 (3.1)
$$\Phi(\varphi, \psi) := -\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \varphi \, \partial_t \psi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \varphi \cdot \nabla_x \psi \, dx \, dt.$$

In particular, for $\varphi \in C^2(Q)$ with $\partial_t \varphi(x,t)_{|t=0} = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ and for $\psi \in C^1(Q)$ with $\psi(x,T) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$, this gives Green's first formula

219 (3.2)
$$\Phi(\varphi, \psi) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Box \varphi \, \psi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{n_x} \varphi \, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i \psi \, ds_x \, dt.$$

- 3.1. Traces on $H^{1,1}_{;0}(Q)$, $H^{1,1}_{;0}(Q)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{;0}(Q)$. Following [22, Theorem 2.1, Chapt. 4 and p. 19] we get that the interior trace map γ^i_{Σ} is continuous and surjective from $H^1(Q)$ to $H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$. In addition, let $\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}: H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^1(Q)$ be a continuous right inverse.
- Let us introduce the spaces

225
$$H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma) := L^{2}(0,T;H^{1/2}(\Gamma)) \cap H_{0,}^{1/2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)),$$
226
$$H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma) := L^{2}(0,T;H^{1/2}(\Gamma)) \cap H_{0,}^{1/2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)),$$

with $H_0^{1/2}(0,T;L^2(\Gamma))$ and $H_0^{1/2}(0,T;L^2(\Gamma))$ defined by complex interpolation as

$$H_{0,}^{1/2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)) := [H_{0,}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma),L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)]_{1/2}, \\ H_{0,}^{1/2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)) := [H_{0,}^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma),L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma)]_{1/2}.$$

- Then, we have the following result, which is stated in [17] without a proof. Here we provide one for completeness.
- LEMMA 3.1. The interior trace map γ_{Σ}^{i} is continuous and surjective from $H_{;0,}^{1,1}(Q)$ to $H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$.
- 236 Proof. We adapt the proof of [22, Theorem 2.1, Chapt. 4] to $H_{;0,}^{1,1}(Q)$ (instead of 237 $H^1(Q)$). Recall that

238
$$u \in H_{0,1}^{1,1}(Q) = L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \cap H_{0,1}^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega)).$$

- 239 Without loss of generality, we can take $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$ and $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$
- 240 $x_n = 0$. Then, by using the notation $x = \{x', x_n\}$, with $x' = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, we can
- 241 write:

242
$$u \in H^1_{;0,}(Q) \Leftrightarrow u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{+,x_n}; L^2(0,T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})) \cap L^2(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),$$

$$u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{+,x_n}; L^2(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})) \cap H^1_{0,}(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),$$

- where \mathbb{R}_{+,x_n} indicates that the variable x_n is considered in the positive real line.
- 246 Then, we can apply Theorem 4.2 from [21, Chapt. 1] with

$$248 \qquad \qquad X = L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})) \cap H^1_{0,}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})), \quad Y = L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),$$

to get that $u(x', 0, t) \in [X, Y]_{1/2}$. Now, let us point out that Theorem 13.1 in [21, 250 Chapt. 1] gives

$$[X,Y]_{1/2} = [L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})) \cap H^1_{0,}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),Y]_{1/2}$$

$$= [L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),Y]_{1/2} \cap [H^1_{0,}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),Y]_{1/2}.$$

Consequently, by interpolation we get 254

$$[X,Y]_{1/2} = L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})) \cap H^{1/2}_{0,}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'})),$$

- which corresponds to $H_{0,}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{x'}\times[0,T])$. Hence, we conclude that $\gamma^i_{\Sigma}u\in H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$. Surjectivity also follows from Theorem 4.2 in [21, Chapt. 1]. 257
- 258
- By similar arguments, one can also prove: 259
- LEMMA 3.2. The interior trace map γ_{Σ}^{i} is continuous and surjective from $H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)$ 260
- to $H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$. 261

263

265

282

Finally, we define the lateral trace space 262

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) := \left\{ v = \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(Q) \right\}$$

with the norm 264

$$||v||_{\mathcal{H}_{0,(\Sigma)}} := \inf_{V \in \mathcal{H}_{:0,(Q)}: \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} V = v} ||V||_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}.$$

- Remark 3.3. By the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)$ and using the linearity of γ_{Σ}^{i} , we have that for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)$ there exists a sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ such that 266 267 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v - v_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,(\Sigma)}} = 0.$ 268
- Remark 3.4. The trace spaces investigated in this paper are closely related to 269 270 the spaces used in the classical time dependent BEM approach for the wave equation, introduced by Bamberger and Ha–Duong [5]. Indeed, as pointed out in [17, Remark 2], 271 $H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ agrees with ¹ 272

273
$$H_{\sigma,\Gamma}^{1/2,1/2} := \left\{ u \in LT(\sigma, H^{1/2}(\Gamma)); \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\sigma} |\hat{u}|_{1/2,\omega,\Gamma} d\omega < \infty \right\}$$

when $\sigma = 0$. Additionally, $\left(H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma)\right)'$ corresponds to 275

276
$$H_{\sigma,\Gamma}^{-1/2,-1/2} := \left\{ u \in LT(\sigma, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)); \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\sigma} |\hat{u}|_{-1/2,\omega,\Gamma} d\omega < \infty \right\}$$

- when $\sigma = 0$. Remarkably, σ is taken to be zero for practical computations and numerical experiments, yet the analysis of classical time dependent BEM does not 279 cover this case. We refer to [17] for the detailed definitions and a more comprehensive 280 discussion. 281
 - 4. Initial boundary value problems.
- 283 **4.1.** Homogeneous Dirichlet data. Instead of (2.1), let us first consider the Dirichlet initial boundary value problem with zero boundary conditions,

¹The norm $|\hat{\cdot}|_{r,\omega,\Gamma}$ relates to Sobolev norms with a parameter ω .

A possible variational formulation of (4.1) is to find $u \in H^{1,1}_{0;0,}(Q)$ such that 286

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t u \, \partial_t v \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f \, v \, dx \, dt$$

- is satisfied for all $v \in H^{1,1}_{0,0}(Q)$. When assuming $f \in L^2(Q)$ we are able to construct 288
- a unique solution $u \in H^{1,1}_{0:0}(Q)$ of the variational formulation (4.1), satisfying the 289
- stability estimate [31, Theorem 5.1], see also [20, Chapt. IV, Theorem 3.1],

$$||u||_{H^{1,1}_{0;0,(Q)}} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T ||f||_{L^2(Q)}.$$

- While the variational formulation (4.2) is well posed also for $f \in [H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)]'$, it is not possible to prove a related inf-sup condition to ensure the existence of a unique 292
- 293
- solution $u \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)$, see [35, Theorem 4.2.24]. However, by definition we have the
- inf-sup condition 295

296 (4.3)
$$\|\Box \widetilde{u}\|_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \Box \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}|}{\|v\|_{H_{:0}^{1,1}(Q)}} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q),$$

- and therefore we conclude unique solvability of the variational formulation to find 297
- $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0:0}(Q)$ such that 298

299 (4.4)
$$\langle \Box \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_{-}} = \langle f, v \rangle_{Q}$$

- is satisfied for all $v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)$, see [32, Theorem 3.9]. Moreover, for the solution u it 300
- 301

$$302 \quad \|\square\widetilde{u}\|_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \square\widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}|}{\|v\|_{H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)}} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle f, v \rangle_{Q}|}{\|v\|_{H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)}} \leq \|f\|_{[H_{0;,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'}.$$

- In fact, (4.4) is the variational formulation of the operator equation $\mathcal{E}'\square \widetilde{u} = f$ in 303
- 304

$$f_u(v) := \langle \mathcal{E}' \square \widetilde{u}, v \rangle_Q = \langle \square \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} \quad \text{for } v \in H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q) \subset H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)$$

is a continuous linear functional with norm 306

$$307 ||f_u||_{[H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q)} \frac{|f_u(v)|}{||v||_{H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)}} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \square \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}}{||v||_{H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)}} = ||\square \widetilde{u}||_{[H^1_0(Q_-)]'}.$$

Recall that for $u \in H^{1,1}_{0:0}(Q) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q)$ we have 308

$$f_u(v) = \langle \square \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} = -\langle \partial_t u, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q).$$

- Using the Hahn–Banach theorem, e.g., [34, Chapt. IV., Sect. 5], [7, Theorem 5.9-1],
- there exists a linear continuous functional $\widetilde{f}_u: H^{1,1,}_{:0}(Q) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

312 (4.5)
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) = f_u(v)$$
 for all $v \in H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q)$,

$$\|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)]'} = \|f_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)]'} = \|\square \widetilde{u}\|_{[H^1_0(Q_-)]'}.$$

Indeed, for $u \in H^{1,1}_{0:0}(Q)$, we have the explicit representation

316 (4.6)
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) := \langle \Box \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} = -\langle \partial_t u, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \forall \ v \in H^{1,1}_{::0}(Q).$$

In the following we assume $f \in [H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'$, and we consider the variational formulation

318 to find
$$\lambda_i \in [H_{.0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$$
 such that

319 (4.7)
$$\langle (\gamma_{\Sigma}^i)'\lambda_i, v \rangle_Q = \langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma} = \widetilde{f}_u(v) - \langle f, v \rangle_Q \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q).$$

320 For
$$v \in H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q) \subset H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)$$
, it holds

321
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) - \langle f, v \rangle_Q = f_u(v) - \langle f, v \rangle_Q = \langle \square \widetilde{u}, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} - \langle f, v \rangle_Q = 0,$$

322 i.e.,

323
$$\widetilde{f}_u - f \in (\ker \gamma_{\Sigma}^i)^0 = (H_{0;,0}^{1,1}(Q))^0 := \left\{ g \in [H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]' : \langle g, v \rangle_Q = 0 \quad \forall \ v \in H_{0;,0}^{1,1}(Q) \right\}.$$

324 By the closed range theorem, we obtain

$$\widetilde{f}_u - f \in \operatorname{Im}_{[H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'}(\gamma_{\Sigma}^i)',$$

- which ensures existence of a solution $\lambda_i \in [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ of the variational formulation
- 327 (4.7). Since the norm in $[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ is defined by duality, this immediately implies the
- 328 inf-sup condition

329
$$\|\lambda\|_{[H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{,0}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \lambda, \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} v \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{,0}(Q)}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]',$$

and therefore uniqueness of $\lambda_i \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$. Moreover, this also gives

331
$$\|\lambda_i\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{;,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma} v \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|v\|_{H_{;,0}^{1,1}(Q)}}$$

$$= \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_u(v) - \langle f, v \rangle_Q|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}} \le 2 \|f\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'},$$

334 where we used

335
$$\sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_u(v)|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}} = \|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'}$$

$$= \|f_u\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'} = \|\square \widetilde{u}\|_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'} \le \|f\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'}.$$

We now rewrite the variational formulation (4.7) as

339
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) = \langle f, v \rangle_Q + \langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma}, \quad v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q).$$

340 In particular, for $u \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)$, and using (4.6), this gives

$$-\langle \partial_t u, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = \langle f, v \rangle_Q + \langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma}, \quad v \in H^{1,1}_{0}(Q).$$

342 i.e.,
$$\Phi(u,v) = \langle f, v \rangle_O + \langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma}.$$

- When comparing this with Green's first formula (3.2) for suitable chosen functions, 344
- 345 we observe that λ_i corresponds to the spatial normal derivative of u. Hence, also in
- the general case we shall write $\gamma_N^i u := \partial_{n_x} u = \lambda_i$ and call this distribution the interior 346
- spatial normal derivative of $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q)$, i.e., 347

348
$$\gamma_N^i: \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q) \to [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

In a similar way, we also define

350
$$\gamma_N^i: \mathcal{H}_{0;,0}(Q) \to [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

- For a related approach in the case of an elliptic equation, see also [23, pp. 116–117]. 351
- **4.2.** Inhomogeneous Dirichlet data. Next we consider the Dirichlet bound-352 ary value problem (2.1). For $g \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)$ there exists, by definition, an extension 353
- $u_g = \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma} g \in \mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)$, and the zero extension $\widetilde{u}_g \in L^2(Q_-)$. Thus, it remains to find
- $u_0 := u u_g \in \mathcal{H}_{0;0,}(Q)$ satisfying 355

$$\langle \Box \widetilde{u}_0, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} = \langle f, v \rangle_Q - \langle \Box \widetilde{u}_g, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q).$$

- Note that $u_g \in \mathcal{H}_{0,(Q)} \subset \mathcal{H}(Q)$ involves $\square \widetilde{u}_g \in [H_0^1(Q_-)]'$. For the solution u_0 we 357 358
- $\|\square \widetilde{u}_0\|_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \square \widetilde{u}_0, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}|}{\|v\|_{H_{:0}^{1,1}(Q)}} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0:0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle f, v \rangle_Q \langle \square \widetilde{u}_g, \mathcal{E}v \rangle_{Q_-}|}{\|v\|_{H_{:0}^{1,1}(Q)}}$ 359
- $\leq \|f\|_{[H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q)]'} + \|\mathcal{E}\|_{H^{1,1}_{0:,0}(Q),H^{1}_{0}(Q_{-})} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)},$ 360
- where we have used 361

- As before, we can determine $\lambda_i \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ as unique solution of the variational 363
- formulation (4.7), and where $\gamma_N^i u := \lambda_i$ is again the spatial normal derivative of the 364
- solution u of the Dirichlet boundary value problem (2.1), satisfying 365

366 (4.8)
$$\|\lambda_i\|_{[H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \le 2 \|f\|_{[H_{0:.0}^{1,1}(Q)]'} + \|\mathcal{E}\|_{H_{0:.0}^{1,1}(Q), H_0^1(Q_-)} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)}.$$

- Specially, for $f \equiv 0$, this describes the interior Dirichlet to Neumann map $g \mapsto \lambda_i =$ 367
- $\gamma_N^i u$, where u is the solution of the homogeneous wave equation with zero initial data. 368
- This can be written as $\lambda_i = \mathsf{S}_i \, g$, where $\mathsf{S}_i : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to [H_{0,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ is the so-called Steklov-Poincaré operator, and from (4.8) we immediately conclude 369
- 370

371 (4.9)
$$\| \mathsf{S}_i g \|_{[H^{1/2}_0(\Sigma)]'} \le c_2^{S_i} \| g \|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma),$$

372 with
$$c_2^{S_i} := \|\mathcal{E}\|_{H^{1,1}_{0;,0}(Q), H^1_0(Q_-)}$$
.

As before, we can write the variational formulation (4.7) as

374
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) = \langle f, v \rangle_Q + \langle \lambda_i, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma}, \quad v \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q).$$

Now, for $u \in \mathcal{H}_{;0,}(Q)$ there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)$ with 375

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} = 0.$$

Hence we can write 377

378
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{f}_{u_n}(v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[-\langle \partial_t u_n, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_n, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \right].$$

In particular, for $v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q) \cap H^2(Q)$, we can apply integration by parts to obtain 379

380
$$\widetilde{f}_{u}(v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\langle u_{n}, \Box v \rangle_{L^{2}(Q)} + \langle \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u_{n}, \gamma_{N}^{i} v \rangle_{\Sigma} - \langle u_{n}(T), \partial_{t} v(T) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right]$$

$$= \langle u, \Box v \rangle_{L^{2}(Q)} + \langle \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u, \gamma_{N}^{i} v \rangle_{\Sigma} - \langle u(T), \partial_{t} v(T) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

With this we finally obtain Green's second formula for the solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,1}(Q)$ of 382

383 (2.1) and
$$v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q) \cap H^2(Q)$$
,

384 (4.10)
$$\langle u, \Box v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u, \gamma_N^i v \rangle_{\Sigma} - \langle u(T), \partial_t v(T) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, v \rangle_Q + \langle \gamma_N^i u, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma}.$$

4.3. The Neumann boundary value problem. We now consider (as in (4.7)) 385 the variational problem to find $u \in \mathcal{H}_{:0}(Q)$ such that 386

387 (4.11)
$$\widetilde{f}_u(v) = \langle f, v \rangle_Q + \langle \lambda, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}(Q),$$

when $\lambda \in [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ is given. This is the generalized variational formulation of the 388 Neumann boundary value problem 389

390 (4.12)
$$\Box u = f \quad \text{in } Q, \quad \gamma_N^i u = \lambda \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \quad u = \partial_t u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0.$$

LEMMA 4.1. For all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{:0,}(Q)$ there holds the inf-sup stability condition 391

392 (4.13)
$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+T^2}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \le \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{i,0}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_u(v)|}{\|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{i,0}(Q)}}.$$

Proof. Using (4.5) and the norm definition by duality, we first have 393

394
$$\|\Box \widetilde{u}\|_{[H_{0}^{1}(Q_{-})]'} = \|\widetilde{f}_{u}\|_{[H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_{u}(v)|}{\|v\|_{H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q)}} .$$

Now, for $0 \neq u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)$, there exists a non-trivial sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1,1}_{0,0}(Q)$, 395

396 $u_n \not\equiv 0$, with

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} = 0.$$

For each $u_n \in H_{:0}^{1,1}(Q)$ we can write, as in (4.6), 398

399
$$\widetilde{f}_{u_n}(v) = -\langle \partial_t u_n, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_n, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q),$$

and we define $w_n \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)$ as the unique solution of the variational formulation 400

$$-\langle \partial_t v, \partial_t w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x v, \nabla_x w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = \langle u_n, v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{;0,}(Q).$$

402 This variational formulation corresponds to a Neumann boundary value problem for

the wave equation with a volume source $u_n \in L^2(Q)$, and zero conditions at the

404 terminal time t = T. As for the related Dirichlet problem we conclude the bound

$$||w_n||_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T ||u_n||_{L^2(Q)}.$$

406 In particular, for the test function $v = u_n$, the variational formulation gives

$$-\langle \partial_t u_n, \partial_t w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_n, \nabla_x w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = ||u_n||_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

408 With this, we now conclude

$$409 \qquad \|\widetilde{f}_{u_n}\|_{[H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)]'} = \sup_{0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_{u_n}(v)|}{\|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)}} \ge \frac{|\widetilde{f}_{u_n}(w_n)|}{\|w_n\|_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)}}$$

$$410 = \frac{|-\langle \partial_t u_n, \partial_t w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_n, \nabla_x w_n \rangle_{L^2(Q)}|}{\|w_n\|_{H^{1,1}_{\cdot 0}(Q)}} = \frac{\|u_n\|_{L^2(Q)}^2}{\|w_n\|_{H^{1,1}_{\cdot 0}(Q)}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{T} \|u_n\|_{L^2(Q)}.$$

411 Completion for $n \to \infty$ now gives

$$\|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)]'} \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{T} \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}.$$

Hence, we can write, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

414
$$\|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:0}(Q)]'}^2 = \alpha \|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:0}(Q)]'}^2 + (1-\alpha) \|\widetilde{f}_u\|_{[H^{1,1}_{:0}(Q)]'}^2$$

$$\geq \alpha \frac{2}{T^2} \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + (1-\alpha) \|\square \widetilde{u}\|_{[H_0^1(Q_-)]'}^2$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} + \|\Box \widetilde{u}\|_{[H_{0}^{1}(Q_{-})]'}^{2} \right) = (1 - \alpha) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}^{2},$$

417 when

$$1 - \alpha = \alpha \frac{2}{T^2}$$

419 is satisfied, i.e.,

420
$$\alpha = \frac{T^2}{2 + T^2}, \quad 1 - \alpha = \frac{2}{2 + T^2}.$$

421 This concludes the proof.

LEMMA 4.2. For all $0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)$, there exists a function $u_v \in \mathcal{H}_{;0,}(Q)$ such that

424 (4.14)
$$\widetilde{f}_{u_n}(v) > 0.$$

Proof. For $0 \neq v \in H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)$, there exists a unique solution $u_v \in H^{1,1}_{;0}(Q) \subset \mathcal{H}_{;0}(Q)$, satisfying

$$-\langle \partial_t u_v, \partial_t w \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_v, \nabla_x w \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = \langle v, w \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \text{for all } w \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q),$$

and, for w = v, we obtain

$$\widetilde{f}_{u_v}(v) = -\langle \partial_t u_v, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u_v, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = ||v||_{L^2(Q)}^2 > 0.$$

- The inf-sup condition (4.13) and the surjectivity condition (4.14) ensure unique solv-430
- ability of the variational formulation (4.11). Moreover, for the unique solution
- $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(Q)$ we obtain 432

$$433 \quad \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+T^{2}}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \leq \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_{u}(v)|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}}$$

$$= \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle f, v \rangle_{Q} + \langle \lambda, \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} v \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}} \leq \|f\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'} + \|\lambda\|_{[H_{.0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'},$$

and when taking the lateral trace this gives

436 (4.15)
$$\|\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{2+T^{2}} \left[\|f\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'} + \|\lambda\|_{[H_{:,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \right].$$

- In particular, for $f \equiv 0$, this defines the interior Neumann to Dirichlet map $\lambda \mapsto \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u$ 437
- which can be written as $\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u = S_{i}^{-1}\lambda$ when using the inverse of the Steklov-Poincaré 438
- operator S_i . From (4.15) we then conclude 439

$$440 \quad \|\mathsf{S}_i^{-1}\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} \leq c_2^{S_i^{-1}} \|\lambda\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]', \quad c_2^{S_i^{-1}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{2+T^2} \,.$$

Now, using (4.9) and duality this gives 441

$$\|\lambda\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} = \|\mathsf{S}_{i}\,\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \leq c_{2}^{S_{i}}\,\|\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} = c_{2}^{S_{i}}\sup_{0\neq\mu\in[\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'}\frac{|\langle\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u,\mu\rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'}},$$

i.e., the inf-sup stability condition

$$444 \quad (4.17) \qquad \frac{1}{c_2^{S_i}} \|\lambda\|_{[H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'} \leq \sup_{0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_0,(\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle \mathsf{S}_i^{-1} \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_0,(\Sigma)]'}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'.$$

Furthermore, using (4.16) for $g_i := \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u$ and duality we obtain 445

446
$$||g_i||_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} = ||\gamma_{\Sigma}^i u||_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} = ||\mathsf{S}_i^{-1} \lambda||_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)}$$

$$\leq c_2^{S_i^{-1}} \|\lambda\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} = c_2^{S_i^{-1}} \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)} \frac{|\langle \lambda, v \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|v\|_{H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)}},$$

i.e., the inf-sup condition

450 (4.18)
$$\frac{1}{c_2^{S_i^{-1}}} \|g_i\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} \le \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{0,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)} \frac{\left| \langle \mathsf{S}_i \, g_i, v \rangle_{\Sigma} \right|}{\|v\|_{H_{0,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)}} \quad \text{for all } g_i \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma).$$

4.4. Adjoint problems. Related to the variational problem (4.11) we now con-451 sider the adjoint problem to find $w \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)$ such that 452

453 (4.19)
$$\widetilde{f}_u(w) = \langle f, u \rangle_Q + \langle g, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u \rangle_{\Sigma}$$

is satisfied for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)$. For $w \in H^{1,1}_{0,0}(Q)$, let $u_w \in \mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)$ be the unique

solution of the variational problem 455

456
$$\widetilde{f}_{u_w}(v) = \langle \partial_t w, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q).$$

457 For v = w, this gives

458
$$\widetilde{f}_{u_w}(w) = \|w\|_{H^{1,1}_{:,0}(Q)}^2.$$

Moreover, using the inf-sup stability condition (4.13), we obtain

$$460 \quad \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+T^2}} \|u_w\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \leq \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_{u_w}(v)|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}}$$

$$= \sup_{0 \neq v \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)} \frac{|\langle \partial_t w, \partial_t v \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(Q)}|}{\|v\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)}} \leq \|w\|_{H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)},$$

462 and thus it follows that

463
$$\widetilde{f}_{u_w}(w) = \|w\|_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)}^2 \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+T^2}} \|u_w\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)} \|w\|_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)}.$$

464 In other words, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2+T^2}} \|w\|_{H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q)} \leq \sup_{0 \neq u \in \mathcal{H}_{;,0}(Q)} \frac{|\widetilde{f}_u(w)|}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}} \quad \text{for all } w \in H^{1,1}_{;,0}(Q).$$

- 466 Since the inf-sup condition (4.13) also implies surjectivity, unique solvability of the
- variational formulation (4.19) follows. In fact, for $f \in [\mathcal{H}_{:0},(Q)]'$ and $g \in [\mathcal{H}_{0},(\Sigma)]'$ we
- have $w \in H_{::0}^{1,1}(Q)$ as the weak solution of the adjoint Neumann problem for the wave
- 469 equation

471

470 (4.20)
$$\Box w = f \quad \text{in } Q, \quad \gamma_N^i w = g \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \quad w = \partial_t w = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T.$$

- 5. Boundary Integral Equations.
- 5.1. Representation formula. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{;0,}(Q)$ be a solution of the generalized
- 473 wave equation $\mathcal{E}' \square u = f$ in $[H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)]'$. For $(x,t) \in Q$ and $v(y,\tau) = \kappa_t G(x-y,\tau)$,
- with $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ being the fundamental solution introduced in (2.3) and κ_t the time-reversal
- map from (2.2), formula (4.10) becomes the representation formula

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f(y,\tau) G(x-y,t-\tau) dy d\tau + \left\langle \gamma_N^i u, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i v \right\rangle_{\Sigma} - \left\langle \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u, \gamma_N^i v \right\rangle_{\Sigma}.$$

In particular, for $f \equiv 0$, we conclude the following representation formula

$$489 \quad (5.1) \qquad \qquad u(x,t) = (\mathscr{S}\gamma_N^i u)(x,t) - (\mathscr{D}\gamma_\Sigma^i u)(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q,$$

- with the single and double layer potentials \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{D} , defined as in (2.4) and (2.5),
- 482 respectively.
- **5.2. Single layer potential.** We first recall the definition (2.4) of the single layer potential

$$u_w(x,t) = (\mathscr{S}w)(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} G(x-y,t-\tau) \, w(y,\tau) \, ds_y \, d\tau, \quad (x,t) \in Q.$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. For the single layer potential we have

487
$$\mathscr{S}: [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to \mathcal{H}_{:0}(Q).$$

488 Proof. For $u_w = \mathscr{S}w$ and a suitable ψ , we can write the duality pairing as 489 extension of the inner product in $L^2(Q)$ as

490
$$\langle u_w, \psi \rangle_Q = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_w(x, t) \, \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} G(x - y, t - \tau) \, w(y, \tau) \, ds_y \, d\tau \, \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} w(y, \tau) \int_{\tau}^T G(x - y, t - \tau) \, \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \, ds_y \, d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} w(y, \tau) \, \varphi_\psi(y, \tau) \, ds_y \, d\tau$$

$$= \langle w, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i \varphi_\psi \rangle_{\Sigma},$$

$$494 \qquad = \langle w, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i \varphi_\psi \rangle_{\Sigma},$$

495 where

496
$$\varphi_{\psi}(y,\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{T} G(x-y,t-\tau) \,\psi(x,t) \,dx \,dt, \quad (y,\tau) \in Q,$$

- 497 is a solution of the adjoint problem (4.20). Hence, for $\psi \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,0}(Q)]'$, we obtain $\varphi_{\psi} \in$
- 498 $H_{;,0}^{1,1}(Q)$, and therefore $\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}\varphi_{\psi}\in H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$. From this, we conclude that $u_{w}\in\mathcal{H}_{;0}(Q)$
- 499 when $w \in [H_{.0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ is given.
- As a corollary of the previous result, we can define the single layer boundary integral operator

502 (5.2)
$$V := \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} \mathscr{S} : [H_{.0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma),$$

and the normal derivative of the single layer potential,

504 (5.3)
$$\gamma_N^i \mathscr{S} : [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

505 **5.3. Double layer potential.** We first recall the definition (2.5) of the double layer potential

$$u_z(x,t) = (\mathscr{D}z)(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{n_y} G(x-y,t-\tau) \, z(y,\tau) \, ds_y \, d\tau, \quad (x,t) \in Q.$$

PROPOSITION 5.2. For the double layer potential we have

$$\mathscr{D}:\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)\to\mathcal{H}_{0}(Q).$$

- *Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 5.1 choosing $u_z = \mathcal{D}z$.
- With the previous result we are in a position to consider the lateral trace of the double layer potential

513 (5.4)
$$\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}\mathscr{D}:\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)\to\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma),$$

and the so-called hypersingular boundary integral operator as normal derivative of

515 the double layer potential,

516 (5.5)
$$W := -\gamma_N^i \mathscr{D} : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to [H_{0,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

517 5.4. Boundary integral operators and Calderón identities. Without loss 518 of generality, let us consider the complementary domains

519
$$\Omega^c := B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^c := \Omega^c \times (0, T),$$

- with $B_R := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathbf{x}| < R \}$ is a sufficiently large ball containing Γ . With this, 520 we define the exterior traces γ_{Σ}^{e} and γ_{N}^{e} following the same ideas from Subsection 3.1, 521
- but using Q^c instead of Q. 522
- Remark 5.3. Clearly, the mappings 523

524
$$\gamma_{\Sigma}^{e}: H_{;0}^{1,1}(Q^{c}) \to H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma), \qquad \gamma_{\Sigma}^{e}: H_{;0}^{1,1}(Q^{c}) \to H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma),$$

$$\S^e_{\Sigma}:\mathcal{H}_{;0}(Q^c)\to\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma), \qquad \qquad \gamma^e_{\Sigma}:\mathcal{H}_{;,0}(Q^c)\to\mathcal{H}_{,0}(\Sigma),$$

527 are continuous and surjective, while

528
$$\gamma_N^e: H^{1,1}_{,0,}(Q^c) \to [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]', \qquad \gamma_N^e: H^{1,1}_{,0}(Q^c) \to [H^{1/2}_{0,}(\Sigma)]',$$

- are continuous. Moreover, Green's formulae and other properties of the interior trace 531
- operators γ_{Σ}^{i} and γ_{N}^{i} also apply to these exterior traces in their corresponding spaces. 532 Indeed, following Propositions 5.1 and 5.2, we have the continuity of the mappings 533

534
$$\mathscr{S}: [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to \mathcal{H}_{:0}(Q^c), \qquad \mathscr{D}: \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{:0}(Q^c).$$

We define the jumps across Σ by

536
$$[\gamma_{\Sigma}u] := \gamma_{\Sigma}^e u - \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u, \qquad [\gamma_N u] := \gamma_N^e u - \gamma_N^i u,$$

- which clearly do not depend on the choice of B_R . Now we can state the following result: 538
- PROPOSITION 5.4. The following jump relations hold for all $w \in [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ and 539 540 $z \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma),$

541
$$[\gamma_{\Sigma} \mathscr{S} w] = 0, \quad [\gamma_{N} \mathscr{S} w] = -w, \quad [\gamma_{\Sigma} \mathscr{D} z] = z, \quad [\gamma_{N} \mathscr{D} z] = 0.$$

- *Proof.* The jump relations are known to hold when w and z are smooth, e.g., [11, 542 Sect. 2.2.1], and [26, Sect. 1.3]. We extend them to $(w, z) \in [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \times \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)$ by using that the combined trace map $(\gamma_{\Sigma}, \gamma_{N}) : u \mapsto (\gamma_{\Sigma}u, \gamma_{N}u)$ maps $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+})|_{\overline{Q}}$ 543 544 onto a dense subspace of $[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \times H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ (cf. [8, Lemma 3.5]), and that $H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$
- is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)$. 546
- We can now define the boundary integral operators as follows: 547

Definition 5.5.

$$V w := \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} \mathscr{S} w = \gamma_{\Sigma}^{e} \mathscr{S} w,$$

$$K z := \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} \mathscr{D} z + \gamma_{\Sigma}^{e} \mathscr{D} z \right),$$

$$K' w := \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma_{N}^{i} \mathscr{S} w + \gamma_{N}^{e} \mathscr{S} w \right),$$

$$W z := -\gamma_{N}^{i} \mathscr{D} z = -\gamma_{N}^{e} \mathscr{D} z.$$

559

569

From this definition and (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), we obtain:

THEOREM 5.6. The boundary integral operators introduced in Definition 5.5 are continuous in the following spaces:

$$\mathsf{V}: [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]' \to \ \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma),$$

K:
$$\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma),$$

$$\mathsf{K}': [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]',$$

$$W: \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow [H^{1/2}_{.0}(\Sigma)]'.$$

Next, we take traces on the representation formula (5.1) and get

$$\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u = (\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{I} - \operatorname{K})\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i}u + \operatorname{V}\gamma_{N}^{i}u,$$

$$\gamma_N^i u = \mathsf{W} \, \gamma_\Sigma^i u + (\frac{1}{2} \, \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}') \gamma_N^i u.$$

As usual, we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u \\
\gamma_{N}^{i} u
\end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}
\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{K}\right) & \mathsf{V} \\
\mathsf{W} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}'\right)
\end{pmatrix}}_{=:\mathsf{C}_{Q}^{i}} \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u \\
\gamma_{N}^{i} u
\end{pmatrix}$$

with the interior Calderon projection C_Q^i .

Using standard arguments (see for example [26, Sect. 1.4]), we can now prove

$$\begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \mathsf{C}_Q^i \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall w \in [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]', \, z \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma).$$

Furthermore, this gives $(\mathsf{C}_Q^i)^2 = \mathsf{C}_Q^i$, from which we get

$$573 \quad \mathsf{V}\,\mathsf{W} = (\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{K})(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}), \quad \mathsf{W}\,\mathsf{V} = (\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{K}')(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}'), \quad \mathsf{V}\,\mathsf{K}' = \mathsf{K}\,\mathsf{V}, \quad \mathsf{K}'\,\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{W}\,\mathsf{K}\,.$$

- 5.5. Coercivity of boundary integral operators. In this subsection, we are going to prove coercivity properties of boundary integral operators, i.e., of the single layer boundary integral operator V and the hypersingular boundary integral operator W, which ensure unique solvability of related boundary integral equations.
- THEOREM 5.7. The single layer boundary integral operator $V:[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)$ satisfies the inf-sup stability condition

$$580 \quad (5.7) \qquad c_1^V \, \|w\|_{[H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'} \leq \sup_{0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_0,(\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle \mathsf{V} \, w, \mu \rangle_\Sigma|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_0,(\Sigma)]'}} \quad \textit{for all } w \in [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'.$$

Proof. For $w \in [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ we consider the single layer potential $u = \mathscr{S}w$ which defines a solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(Q)$ of the homogeneous wave equation. When taking the lateral trace of u this gives $g = \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u = \mathsf{V} w \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\Sigma)$. In fact, u is the unique solution of the Dirichlet boundary value problem

586 When using the interior Steklov–Poincaré operator S_i we can determine the related 587 interior Neumann trace

$$\lambda_i = \gamma_N^i u = \mathsf{S}_i \, g \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

- Since the Steklov–Poincaré operator S_i is invertible, this gives $g = S_i^{-1} \lambda_i$, i.e., $g = \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u$
- 590 is the lateral trace of the solution of the Neumann boundary value problem

- 592 From the inf-sup stability condition (4.17) of the inverse interior Steklov-Poincaré
- 593 operator S_i^{-1} we now conclude

$$\frac{1}{c_2^{S_i}} \|\lambda_i\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \leq \sup_{0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle S_i^{-1} \lambda_i, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'}} = \sup_{0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle V w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'}}$$

595 For the exterior problem we can derive a related estimate, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{c_2^{S_e}} \|\lambda_e\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \le \sup_{0 \ne \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle V w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'}},$$

- where λ_e is the exterior Neumann trace of the single layer potential $u = \mathcal{S}w$. Now,
- 598 $\,$ and using the jump relation of the adjoint double layer potential, this gives

599
$$\|w\|_{[H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} = \|\lambda_i - \lambda_e\|_{[H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'}$$

$$\leq \|\lambda_i\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} + \|\lambda_e\|_{[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'} \leq (c_2^{S_i} + c_2^{S_e}) \sup_{0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_0, (\Sigma)]'} \frac{|\langle V w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\mu\|_{[\mathcal{H}_0, (\Sigma)]'}}$$

- which implies the desired inf-sup condition.
- 602 While the inf-sup stability condition (5.7) ensures uniqueness of a solution of a related
- 603 boundary integral equation, the following result will provide solvability.
- LEMMA 5.8. For any $0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_0,(\Sigma)]'$ there exists a $w_{\mu} \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ such that

$$\langle \mathsf{V} w_{\mu}, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} > 0$$

- 606 is satisfied.
- 607 Proof. For given $0 \neq \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'$ we define the adjoint single layer potential
- 608 $u_{\mu} \in H_{:,0}^{1,1}(Q)$ by

609
$$u_{\mu}(y,\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} G(x-y,t-\tau) \,\mu(x,t) \,ds_x \,dt \quad \text{for } (y,\tau) \in Q.$$

For the lateral trace $\gamma_{\Sigma}^i u_{\mu} \in H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ and arbitrary $w \in [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ we then have

611
$$\langle w, \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u_{\mu} \rangle_{\Sigma} = \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} w(y, \tau) \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\Gamma} G(x - y, t - \tau) \mu(x, t) ds_x dt ds_y d\tau$$

612
$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} G(x - y, t - \tau) w(y, \tau) ds_y d\tau \mu(x, t) ds_x dt = \langle \mathsf{V} w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma}.$$

613 Moreover, we compute

614
$$U_{\mu}(x,t) := \int_{0}^{t} u_{\mu}(x,s) \, ds \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q,$$

with the lateral trace $g_{\mu} := \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} U_{\mu} \in H_{0,}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)$. Hence, there exists a unique solution $v_{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(Q)$ of the Dirichlet problem for the wave equation,

618 We then conclude

619
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{x}} v_{\mu}(x,s) \, \partial_{s} v_{\mu}(x,s) \, ds_{x} \, ds$$
620
$$= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \left[\partial_{ss} v_{\mu}(x,s) \, \partial_{s} v_{\mu}(x,s) + \nabla_{x} v_{\mu}(x,s) \cdot \nabla_{x} \partial_{s} v_{\mu}(x,s) \right] \, dx \, ds$$
621
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Omega} \left[\left[\partial_{s} v_{\mu}(x,s) \right]^{2} + \left[\nabla_{x} v_{\mu}(x,s) \right]^{2} \right] \, dx \, ds$$
622
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \partial_{t} v_{\mu}(t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla_{x} v_{\mu}(t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0,T].$$

623 In the case

624
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t v_{\mu}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x v_{\mu}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T],$$

- and together with the zero initial conditions, we would conclude $v_{\mu} \equiv 0$ in Q, which
- then implies $g_{\mu} \equiv 0$ on Σ , and thus $u_{\mu} \equiv 0$. But this contradicts $\mu \not\equiv 0$. Therefore we

627 have

628
$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_x} v_{\mu}(x,t) \, \partial_t v_{\mu}(x,t) \, ds_x \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \| \partial_t v_{\mu}(T) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla_x v_{\mu}(T) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 > 0,$$

629 and with

630
$$\partial_t U_\mu = u_\mu$$
 in Q , $\partial_t v_\mu = \partial_t g_\mu$ on Σ , $g_\mu = \gamma^i_\Sigma U_\mu$, $w_\mu := \gamma^i_N v_\mu \in [H^{1/2}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'$

631 we finally conclude

$$\langle \mathsf{V} w_{\mu}, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} = \langle w_{\mu}, \gamma_{\Sigma}^{i} u_{\mu} \rangle_{\Sigma} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{x}} v_{\mu}(x, t) \, \partial_{t} v_{\mu}(x, t) \, ds_{x} dt > 0.$$

633 The solution of the Dirichlet boundary value problem

634
$$\Box u = 0$$
 in Q , $u = q$ on Σ , $u = \partial_t u = 0$ on Σ_0

635 is given by the representation formula

636
$$u(x,t) = (\mathscr{S}\gamma_N^i u)(x,t) - (\mathscr{D}g)(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q,$$

where we can determine the yet unknown Neumann datum $w = \gamma_N^i u \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ as

the unique solution of the first kind boundary integral equation

639 (5.8)
$$Vw = (\frac{1}{2}I + K)g \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

640 i.e., of the variational formulation

$$(5.9) \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{V} \, w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} = \langle (\frac{1}{2} \, \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}) g, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \text{for all } \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)]'.$$

- 642 Solvability of the variational formulation (5.9) follows from Lemma 5.8, while unique-
- 643 ness of the solution is a consequence of Theorem 5.7. Instead of the variational
- formulation (5.9), we may use the modified Hilbert transformation \mathcal{H}_T as defined in
- subsection 2.3 to end up with an equivalent variational problem to find $w \in [H_{.0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$
- 646 such that

$$(5.10) \qquad \qquad \langle \mathcal{H}_T \, \mathsf{V} \, w, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} = \langle \mathcal{H}_T(\frac{1}{2} \, \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}) g, \mu \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \text{for all } \mu \in [\mathcal{H}_{,0}(\Sigma)]'.$$

- Due to the inclusion $H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{H}_{,0}(\Sigma)$, we obviously have $[\mathcal{H}_{,0}(\Sigma)]' \subset [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$
- which will allow for a Galerkin–Bubnov space-time boundary element discretization
- 650 of (5.10).
- Remark 5.9. For a solution u of the homogeneous wave equation with zero initial
- data but inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and a suitable test function v
- 653 we can write Green's first formula as

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_{n_x} u \, v \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left[\partial_{tt} u \, v + \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v \right] dx \, dt \, .$$

In particular, for $v = \partial_t u$, this results in the energy representation

656
$$E(u) := \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\partial_{tt} u \, \partial_{t} u + \nabla_{x} u \cdot \nabla_{x} \partial_{t} u \right] dx \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \| \partial_{t} u(T) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla_{x} u(T) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} > 0.$$

- Note that this representation is the basis of the energetic BEM, see, e.g., [4]. Instead,
- when using the particular test function $v = \mathcal{H}_T u$ and Proposition 2.1 this gives

661
$$\int_0^T \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial n_x} u \,\mathcal{H}_T u \,ds_x dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left[\mathcal{H}_T \partial_t u \,\partial_t u + \nabla_x u \cdot \mathcal{H}_T \nabla_x u \right] dx \,dt \ge 0.$$

Specifically, for the single layer potential $u = \mathscr{S}w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\backslash\Sigma$ we then conclude

$$\langle w, \mathcal{H}_T \, \mathsf{V} \, w \rangle_{\Sigma} = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathcal{H}_T \partial_t u \, \partial_t u + \nabla_x u \cdot \mathcal{H}_T \nabla_x u \right] dx \, dt \ge 0 \,.$$

In fact, when considering the spatially one-dimensional case n=1 we can prove the

665 following ellipticity estimate [29, 33]

666
$$\langle w, \mathcal{H}_T \, \mathsf{V} \, w \rangle_{\Sigma} \ge c_1^V \| w \|_{[H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'}^2$$
 for all $w \in [H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$.

Since the single layer boundary integral operator $V: [H_{0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]' \to \mathcal{H}_{0}, (\Sigma)$ is invertible,

we can write the solution of the boundary integral equation (5.8) as

669
$$w = \gamma_N^i u = \mathsf{V}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K})g = \mathsf{S}_i g,$$

670 representing the Dirichlet to Neumann map with the interior Steklov-Poincaré oper-

671 ato

S_i =
$$V^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}I + K) : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$$
.

673 Hence we find that

VS_i =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
I + K : $\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)$

675 is invertible. As we can formulate a related boundary integral equation also for the

exterior Dirichlet boundary value problem,

$$V \gamma_N^e u = (-\frac{1}{2} \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{K}) g \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

678 this gives that the exterior Steklov-Poincaré operator

S_e =
$$-V^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}I - K) : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$$

680 is invertible, and so is

681
$$\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{K}=-\mathsf{VS}_e:\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)\to\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)\,.$$

682 Consequently

683
$$VW = (\frac{1}{2}I - K)(\frac{1}{2}I + K) : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma),$$

684 and thus

685
$$W = V^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}I - K)(\frac{1}{2}I + K) : \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to [H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'.$$

This finally implies that the hypersingular boundary integral operator $W: \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$

687 $[H_{,0}^{1/2}(\Sigma)]'$ satisfies the inf-sup stability condition

688 (5.11)
$$c_1^{\mathsf{W}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma)} \le \sup_{0 \neq \eta \in H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)} \frac{|\langle \mathsf{W} \, v, \eta \rangle_{\Sigma}|}{\|\eta\|_{H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)}} for all \, v \in \mathcal{H}_{0,}(\Sigma).$$

689 The solution of the Neumann boundary value problem

690
$$\Box u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \quad \partial_{n_x} u = \lambda \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \quad u = \partial_t u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0$$

691 is given by the representation formula

692
$$u(x,t) = (\mathcal{S}\lambda)(x,t) - (\mathcal{D}z)(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q,$$

693 where we can determine the yet unknown Dirichlet datum $z = \gamma_{\Sigma}^i u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,1}(\Sigma)$ as the

unique solution of the first kind boundary integral equation

695
$$Wz = (\frac{1}{2} I - K)\lambda \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

696 Unique solvability follows as described above.

6. Conclusions. In this paper, we presented a new framework to describe the mapping properties of boundary integral operators for the wave equation. The results are similar as known for the boundary integral operators for elliptic partial differential equations, i.e., providing ellipticity and boundedness with respect to function spaces of the same Sobolev spaces. This will be the starting point to derive quasi-optimal error estimates for related boundary element methods which are not available so far, and which will be reported in forthcoming work. Other topics of interest include efficient implementations of the proposed scheme using the modified Hilbert transformation, a posteriori error estimates and adaptivity, an efficient solution of the resulting linear systems of algebraic equations, and the coupling with space-time finite element methods. Ongoing work also includes the numerical properties of the modified Hilbert transformation and exploring other operators, like the usual Hilbert transform, in order to regularize the boundary integral equations for the wave equation.

710 REFERENCES

697

698

699

701

703

705

707

709

714 715

716

717

718

719

720

 $721 \\ 722$

723

 $724 \\ 725$

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

 $733 \\ 734$

735

736

737

738 739

740

 $741\\742$

743

744

745

746

- [1] T. Abboud, P. Joly, J. Rodríguez, and I. Terrasse, Coupling discontinuous Galerkin methods and retarded potentials for transient wave propagation on unbounded domains, J. Comput. Phys., 230 (2011), pp. 5877–5907.
 - [2] A. AIMI, M. DILIGENTI, AND C. GUARDASONI, On the energetic Galerkin boundary element method applied to interior wave propagation problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 235 (2011), pp. 1746–1754.
 - [3] A. AIMI, M. DILIGENTI, C. GUARDASONI, I. MAZZIERI, AND S. PANIZZI, An energy approach to space-time Galerkin BEM for wave propagation problems, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg., 80 (2009), pp. 1196–1240.
 - [4] A. AIMI, M. DILIGENTI, C. GUARDASONI, AND S. PANIZZI, A space-time energetic formulation for wave propagation analysis by BEMs, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7), 8 (2008), pp. 171–207.
 - [5] A. BAMBERGER AND T. H. DUONG, Formulation variationnelle pour le calcul de la diffraction d'une onde acoustique par une surface rigide, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 8 (1986), pp. 598– 608.
 - [6] L. Banz, H. Gimperlein, Z. Nezhi, and E. P. Stephan, Time domain BEM for sound radiation of tires, Comput. Mech., 58 (2016), pp. 45–57.
 - [7] P. G. CIARLET, Linear and Nonlinear Functional Analysis with Applications, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2013.
 - [8] M. COSTABEL, Boundary integral operators on Lipschitz domains: elementary results, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 19 (1988), pp. 613–626.
 - [9] M. COSTABEL, Boundary integral operators for the heat equation, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 13 (1990), pp. 498–552.
 - [10] M. COSTABEL, Developments in boundary element methods for time-dependent problems, in Problems and methods in mathematical physics (Chemnitz, 1993), vol. 134 of Teubner-Texte Math., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1994, pp. 17–32.
 - [11] M. COSTABEL AND F.-J. SAYAS, Time-dependent problems with the boundary integral equation method, in Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics Second Edition, E. Stein, R. Borst, and T. J. R. Hughes, eds., Wiley, 2017.
 - [12] M. J. GANDER AND M. NEUMÜLLER, Analysis of a new space-time parallel multigrid algorithm for parabolic problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38 (2016), pp. A2173–A2208.
 - [13] H. GIMPERLEIN, Z. NEZHI, AND E. P. STEPHAN, A priori error estimates for a time-dependent boundary element method for the acoustic wave equation in a half-space, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 40 (2017), pp. 448–462.
 - [14] H. GIMPERLEIN, C. ÖZDEMIR, D. STARK, AND E. P. STEPHAN, hp-version time domain boundary elements for the wave equation on quasi-uniform meshes, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 356 (2019), pp. 145–174.
- 747 [15] H. GIMPERLEIN, C. ÖZDEMIR, D. STARK, AND E. P. STEPHAN, A residual a posteriori er-748 ror estimate for the time-domain boundary element method, Numer. Math., 146 (2020), 749 pp. 239–280.
- 750 [16] H. GIMPERLEIN, C. ÖZDEMIR, AND E. P. STEPHAN, Time domain boundary element methods 751 for the Neumann problem: error estimates and acoustic problems, J. Comput. Math., 36 752 (2018), pp. 70–89.

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769 770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

 $779 \\ 780$

781 782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789 790

791

792

- 753 [17] T. HA-DUONG, On retarded potential boundary integral equations and their discretisation, in 754 Topics in computational wave propagation, vol. 31 of Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng., 755 Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 301–336.
- [18] M. E. HASSELL, T. QIU, T. SÁNCHEZ-VIZUET, AND F.-J. SAYAS, A new and improved analysis
 of the time domain boundary integral operators for the acoustic wave equation, J. Integral
 Equations Appl., 29 (2017), pp. 107–136.
- 759 [19] P. Joly and J. Rodríguez, Mathematical aspects of variational boundary integral equations 760 for time dependent wave propagation, J. Integral Equations Appl., 29 (2017), pp. 137–187.
 - [20] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, The boundary value problems of mathematical physics, vol. 49 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, 1985.
 - [21] J.-L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. I, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 181, Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
 - [22] J.-L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. II, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 182, Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
 - [23] W. McLean, Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000.
 - [24] D. PÖLZ AND M. SCHANZ, On the space-time discretization of variational retarded potential boundary integral equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 99 (2021), pp. 195–210.
 - [25] F.-J. SAYAS, Energy estimates for Galerkin semidiscretizations of time domain boundary integral equations, Numer. Math., 124 (2013), pp. 121–149.
 - [26] F.-J. SAYAS, Retarded potentials and time domain boundary integral equations. A road map, vol. 50 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2016.
 - [27] C. Schwab and R. Stevenson, Space-time adaptive wavelet methods for parabolic evolution problems, Math. Comput., 78 (2009), pp. 1293–1318.
 - [28] O. Steinbach, Space-time finite element methods for parabolic problems, Comput. Meth. Appl. Math., 15 (2015), pp. 551–566.
 - [29] O. STEINBACH, C. URZÚA-TORRES, AND M. ZANK, Towards coercive boundary element methods for the wave equation, (2021). arXiv:2106.01646.
 - [30] O. Steinbach and H. Yang, Comparison of algebraic multigrid methods for an adaptive spacetime finite-element discretization of the heat equation in 3D and 4D, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl., 25 (2018), pp. e2143, 17.
 - [31] O. STEINBACH AND M. ZANK, Coercive space-time finite element methods for initial boundary value problems, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., 52 (2020), pp. 154–194.
 - [32] O. STEINBACH AND M. ZANK, A generalized inf-sup stable variational formulation for the wave equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 505 (2022). Paper No. 125457, 24 p.
 - [33] C. URZÚA-TORRES (JOINT WORK WITH O. STEINBACH), A new approach to time domain boundary integral equations for the wave equation, Oberwolfach Reports, 17 (2021), pp. 371–373.
 - [34] K. Yosida, Functional analysis, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [35] M. Zank, Inf-Sup Stable Space-Time Methods for Time-Dependent Partial Differential Equations, vol. 36 of Monographic Series TU Graz/Computation in Engineering and Science,
 Verlag der TU Graz, Graz, 2019.